

The Mandela Effect and JFK: 4 or 6 Seats in the Lincoln Convertible

By Noel Joshua Hadley 3/8/23

ADMIT it. The moment you heard about the six seats is when you picked up the phone and called your dad. The online records will show it was June of **2016** when the latest ME news broke over the web and many sons began calling their fathers. June <u>4</u> is the earliest date that I can find. I remember the day and it's most certainly what I did. I called him up and asked how many people were in the 1961 Lincoln Continental convertible that he was assassinated in. Without batting an eye, he said <u>six</u>. Not the answer I was expecting to hear. I was shocked. Speaking for myself, it was four seats and always had been. Why the additional passengers now? My father was born in 52 and would have been eleven on **November 22**, **1963**, when President **John F. Kennedy** rode in a motorcade through Dealey Plaza in downtown Dallas. Like any good baby boomer, the Kennedy assassination was of particular interest to him. He'd even written a paper on a potential second shooter in high school. You'd think that would discredit me, being born 17 years after the fact, but as I was saying, many sons began calling their fathers and the results were split right down the middle. Among the hundreds of shared experiences, it seems to have mattered very little how many dozens or hundreds of times one had viewed the Zapruder film. There were those among the baby boomers who were shocked to see the additional two passengers whereas nothing had changed for others. Such is the nature of the Mandela Effect. And another thing. When asked about the number of passengers, many reportedly responded with the number four but then immediately changed their answer to six, as if the new reality was settling in. The 4-seater people were dropping like flies.



Supposing you derive from the six-seater reality, then it will be difficult to imagine the Lincoln convertible in any other light. We're nearly ten years into this and I still can't adjust my eyes to the new absolute. First and foremost, the roll bar is a total eyesore. That was missing. Texan Governor **John Connally** had a wife, but she wasn't in the car. Her name is **Nellie**, by the way. I couldn't even tell you who that is sitting in front of Connally either. In my reality it was always the Texan Governor seated as the front passenger, directly in front of the President. I've read all the criticism too. I am told I put such a focus on John and Jackie all these years that I didn't think to notice John and Nellie. Oh, that must be it. You caught me. Is that pink Nellie is wearing? So is Jackie. They're both wearing pink. My eyes can't stop zigzagging back and forth between the two of them. It's quite impossible to miss the Governor's wife in this reality.



Another detail I'm having difficulty with is the black trim on Jackie Kennedy's dress. The pink Chanel suit and the pink pillbox hat I recall, as well as the white gloves, but my eyes are drawn directly to the black trim now. That wasn't always so. [CORRECTION: I looked it up. She is wearing a navy trim rather than a black one, easily mistakable. Happens all the time.] Even in black and white photos such the swearing in on Air Force One, my eyes are still drawn away from LBJ to the trim around Jackie's neckline. It stands out and dominates the page. I'm not saying she never wore a darker hue. All I can tell you is that the Kennedy assassination is not the same experience as it once was. Many details have changed. Tiny minute ones. You have to reexamine every detail.



For me the Zapruder film was always in color, but some have insisted it was always black and white. They reported never seeing it in color until the Mandela Effect, and I believe them. Of the black and white people, some recall four passengers and others six. That tells you there are at least several different realities colliding together.



John Connally though. I'm telling you pictures such as this one never existed. Yes, his wife and the roll bar are new additions but just as importantly some of the conspiracies surrounding the JFK assassination are missing. I can no longer find any references to the driver turning around and shooting Kennedy. The mere suggestion would be an impossible one given that he would have had to point the gun directly through Nellie to get at the President, but at one time it was a point of discussion. And here is another one. *Follow* the bunny rabbit trail with the provided link and you will read the transcribed words of **Dr. Peter David Beter**. He is speaking on **August 21, 1975**, only 12 years after the event. And what he has to say is impossible given the present scenario. You will have to scroll several paragraphs down to read it for yourself, though I have already done the heavy lifting for you.

An advantage of the shaped charge, from the Conspirators' viewpoint, is that contrary to a gun or shotgun it would not produce a bullet or buckshot which might be found by someone in the vicinity and cause undesirable questions to be asked. The only problem with the shaped charge would be its noise. Such a bang would tend to attract the attention of others in the car. However, the Conspirators knew that Jackie Kennedy would be too distraught and preoccupied with Jack himself after the blast to have such details register, and the driver of the car would also be preoccupied with the urge of business of trying to maneuver out of the ambush. But that still left **Governor John Connally**, riding in the front seat ahead of the President. The sound of the shaped charge could be expected to attract his attention, even if it was muffled and partially lost in the confusion of gunshots from snipers.

The possibility existed that Connally alone might be able to detect that some sort of device had been fired just behind him inside the car. Therefore, John Connally was a specific target in the ambush along with Kennedy. He was not, as has often been supposed, merely the victim of a stray bullet, much less the victim of a bullet that had first struck Kennedy as alleged by the Warren Commission. Connally was potentially the single most dangerous witness to the assassination. So, it was imperative that he be incapacitated or killed outright. It did not really matter whether Connally was killed or just seriously injured, so long as his ability to observe events clearly was ruined. This they, of course, accomplished.

Peter Beter it seems was quite the character. He released a series of 80 audio newsletter tapes between 1975 and 1982 and I have only shown you a small snippet of them. Among other discussion points, Beter claimed **Lyndon B. Johnson** was involved in the Kennedy assassination, that several public figures such as **David Rockefeller**, **Henry Kissinger**, and **Jimmy Carter** were already dead and being impersonated, Jonestown was a staged hoax, and that the U.S. Government had developed weather modification weapons. Man, Beter was ahead of his time. This guy was into some deep *staff* decades before any of us. Well researched too. How does a guy like that fail to recognize there were six people in the car? In his reality there were only four. Or else he couldn't have pitted John Connally in the front seat, directly ahead of the President. It simply cannot be racked up to oversight either. Beter is discussing the possibility of a shotgun blast from within the car. The theory only works if there are two rows of seats rather than three. There is the reality that I remember.



The **November 29, 1963** issue of **LIFE** Magazine includes a picture of the four seater which matches my memory and many are claiming it *is* the smoking gun we're after. I hate to be the bearer of bad news but *alas* it is not listed as Kennedy's Lincoln convertible. LIFE insists LBJ and his wife **Claudia Johnson** rode in this one. I can't help but agree with them. Every other Media backed photograph of Kennedy's convertible has changed, why would this solitary image remain? Though the bouquet of roses are straight up suspicious. Jackie had roses. But then what about Lady Bird, did she have roses? Seems to me like LIFE Magazine was leading the reader to believe they were staring into Kennedy's vehicle.

Regardless, I can tell you with one-hundred percent certainty that the first time I have ever seen such a vehicle, a six-seater that is, was no earlier than June of 2016. Many have succumbed to The Purge, but I will not be so easily absorbed. Also, I've given you the bad news first. There *is* residue and I will now show it to you.



Boom. There it is. The Historic Auto Attractions museum in Roscoe, Illinois has a replica of the four-seater car which JFK was assassinated in. Behind them is a second automobile. The museum claims it's the actual secret service car which followed the Kennedy's on the day of whereas the four-seater is just a replica and thank heavens for that. Had the Lincoln been an original then I will surmise it too would have conformed to our new sensibility. So at least we are left with is a car resembling what so many of us remember. The normies will be pressed to claim it is a terrible replica, because here we have before us an auto museum which went out of its way financially speaking to acquire a piece of American history and yet failed to pay attention to the *little* details, apparently. They wouldn't be the only ones to do so. Flip the page because I am not done yet showing you the residue.



A **JFK** assassination reconstruction film was produced by the **U.S.** Secret Service in **1963** and I'm only counting **four** people. I see the persons representing John, Jackie, John, and the driver but that is all. Where is Nellie? Where is the person sitting in front of Connally? I am showing you screenshots from my own personal viewing. There were only ever three passengers and one driver. Don't take my word for it though. <u>Watch it for yourself</u> and report back if you see anything different.

Really, you should give it a view. The film is impressive. It seems mighty strange that the Secret Service would put so much time and effort into a reproduction, reliving the entire parade route for investigative purposes, only to leave out a key detail. Just look at what as being done. They're lining up a kill shot from the Book Depository window. And mind you, the Texas Governor was shot in every version of reality. It is the trajectory of the bullet that they're after. Did the secret service not have a six-seater in their possession? Any investigation into the murder of a POTUS would be a spare no expense affair. The entire investigation would be thrown into question if a person sitting in front of Connally were left out of the equation.



Here is yet another oddity relating to the Kennedy assassination. The woman seated within the automobile it is holding up a Newspaper with a caption that reads: KENNEDY SLAIN ON DALLAS STREET. And then look behind her. I spy an obelisk, but not just any obelisk. It's the soldier's monument in Daley Plaza. Just to the right of it is the Book Depository Building. It is clear what is going on here. We are staring in upon Dallas and that is a replica automobile if ever I've seen one. Where is the roll bar and the added bench seat?

The photo was included in a <u>travel blog</u> regarding the different locations of particular interest to November 22, including Lee Harvey Oswald's bedroom, and was dated to **April 2015**. And so, we are given something to think about. Fifty years have passed since the Kennedy assassination. *Yes*, **50**. Five-O. Five decades are gone, half a century, and where are the six-seater replicas? You'd think somebody would have gotten on the bandwagon by now. This public-school education failure was brought to you by our sponsor, The Mandela Effect.



IFK assassination witness breaks his silence and raises new questions 0

Sep. 10, 2023 at 2:38 pm

[UPDATE 9/12/23: Among JFK's secret service detail on the 22nd of November was somebody by the name of **Paul Landis**. He is 88 years old at present and still kicking whereas the bucket is nowhere in sight, but also, as of **September 10, 2023**, he has a story to tell, a *new* story. How is that possible? It has been sixty years since the Kennedy assassination. Ah, there it is. The Big 6-0, the Diamond anniversary. I have commented in other places how Intel just loves bringing new light, new angles, new epiphanies to their psyops every five or ten years or so. It is predictable behavior by this point, usually landing right on the mark. I figure it's their way of causing you to relive the spell cast in the aftermath of the performance and not to forget about them. The article title says it all. JFK assassination witness breaks his silence and raises new questions. Imagine that. Somebody was keeping mum about his day in Dallas for six whole decades. In the hands of the Media, they have savory treats to offer, and they want you to eat out of them. Let's see what the announcement is this time around, shall we?

What it comes down to is a copper-jacketed 6.5 mm projectile. The Warren Commission decided one of the bullets fired that day struck the president from behind, exited from the front of his throat and continued on to hit Connally, somehow managing to injure his back, chest, wrist and thigh. It seemed incredible that a single bullet could do all that, so skeptics called it the "magic bullet" theory.

Investigators came to that conclusion partly because the bullet was found on a stretcher believed to have held Connally at Parkland Memorial Hospital, so they assumed it had exited his body during efforts to save his life. But Landis, who was never interviewed by the Warren Commission, said that is not what happened.

In fact, he said, he was the one who found the bullet — and he found it not in the hospital near Connally but in the presidential limousine lodged in the back of the seat behind where Kennedy was sitting.

When he spotted the bullet after the motorcade arrived at the hospital, he said he grabbed it to thwart souvenir hunters. Then, he said he entered the hospital and placed it next to Kennedy on the president's stretcher, assuming it could somehow help doctors figure out what happened. At some point, he now guesses, the stretchers must have been pushed together, and the bullet was shaken from one to another.

The Warren Commission invented the "magic bullet" theory based upon a single bullet found on a stretcher believed to be held by John Connally at Parkland Memorial Hospital. Did I misquote any of that? Please write me a letter explaining it if I did. Because really, it would be a darn shame if I misquoted how laughable any of this sounds. How about the 9/11 terrorist passports they conveniently found on a NYC sidewalk, sound familiar? I'm having a difficult time seeing any difference between the two accounts. They simply found a bullet on a stretcher in a Dallas hospital and assumed both the bullet and the stretcher must have belonged to Connally at one time, and furthermore, that it had been shaken from his body without the surgeons and the nurses noticing. *Yeah right*.

Turns out, Landis was the one who planted it there. That is his confession 60 years later. I know the article isn't expected to be read quite like that but it's obviously what happened. He tampered with the crime scene and planted a piece of evidence, kind of like those 9/11 passports. The bullet he said was found in the limousine, lodged in the back of the seat behind where Kennedy was sitting. His reasoning for doing so, apparently, was to starve off the treasure hunters, but even that doesn't make any sense. The secret service agent in the president's limo was **Clint Hill**, not Landis.

What was he doing digging around in the limousine to begin with, seeking evidence or obstructing it? The car was a crime scene. If it was the souvenir crowd that he was truly concerned about, then why not stand there like a bad ass with his dark glasses and his gun and whatever communication device he had, warding off the Media and the trinket scavengers? How did he know there weren't other bullets to be discovered, or even Saudi passports for that matter?

But then he didn't even set it on Connally's stretcher. No, he claims to have set it right next to Kennedy in hopes of furthering the investigation. The story doesn't end there though. No, not by a long shot. The bullet somehow jumped from Kennedy's stretcher to another stretcher which just so happens to have been the one used by Connally, assumedly. Well now, that takes the magic bullet theory to new soaring heights. Everything we know about physics is dead wrong. Has the Ballistics Department heard about it? One must wonder if Landis planted the evidence onto the wrong stretcher and somebody else was sent in for the purposes of auto-correct, *oops*.

Landis' account varies in a couple of respects from two written statements he filed in the week after the shooting. Aside from not mentioning finding the bullet, he reported hearing only two shots. Likewise, he did not mention going into the trauma room where Kennedy was taken.

Landis said the reports he filed after the assassination included mistakes; he was in shock and had barely slept for five days.

Up until now, Landis never once mentioned finding the bullet, which is totally suspect since two written statements filed within the week after the shooting have him stating only two shots were fired. To make matters worse, there is no mention of him ever going to the trauma room. He wasn't there according to his own testimony, why the secret? If he did arrive, then the medical staff and his coworkers either didn't see him or didn't recognize him or agreed that there was nothing to see there and simply let him do his thing. Some of you will tell me he left out those very convenient details because he was in shock in the trauma room and had barely slept for five days. That's the story he's going with, anyways. But then the following paragraph, which ends the article by the way, is a curiosity piece. It was not until 2014 that he realized the official account of the bullet differed from his memory, he said, but he did not come forward then out of a feeling that he had made a mistake in putting it on the stretcher without telling anyone.

It was not until **2014**, only one year after the Golden anniversary, or the Jubilee year if you will, that Paul Landis realized his memory differed from the official account of things, *huh?* Up until that point, the narrative was one in which Paul Landis placed the bullet on the stretcher. He knew it and apparently everyone else was self-aware of his actions. His two written testimonies relayed that fact, or so he thought, and as far as he was concerned, it wasn't a secret from anyone. His recent memory restoration is leading some to conclude that his remembrances are false or that he is making the whole thing up. But then, if what he is saying is true, you and I know what is happening. Paul Landis is recollecting a parallel timeline which no longer exists and he's also being gaslit. It is by no coincidence that the 2014 comes into this either. We appear to have another Mandela Effect on our hands.]