

The Dino Deception *by* Edgar D. Trollip

Introduction

My father always brought me presents from his overseas endeavors. In those years he was working with the South African Task Force and was often involved in special ops. No matter the nature of the trip he would always bring gifts. Sometimes it would be a hero figurine or a cool remote control car. However, my favorite toy was a battery operated stegosaurus. I loved it! It was so 'real'. It was complete with dino sounds and able to move smoothly at the press of a button. Oh boy! Any 4 year old's dream. That was also more or less the time Jurassic Park made it to the big screen. Even though I was underage my father took me to the cinemas to go see it. He knew I enjoyed anything dinosaur at the time. It was one of my all time favorite films. We had it recorded on VHS and watched it many times.



Later during my teenage years when PlayStation was a thing I enjoyed playing Dino Crisis. Because which young man doesn't love shooting velociraptors and running from the gaping jaws of a blood thirsty T-Rex popping out of the shadows?



Recently, during my work in the FMCG space, it has come to my attention **how many toys for boys are dinosaurs. Most toy stores have at least a quarter of the entire boys section dedicated to dinosaurs.** The rest is cars, legos and superheroes. Next time you walk down the toy aisle

have a look in your retailer. I could not help myself from thinking, *is this not part of the deception and the brainwashing?* It does seem odd.

Were they even real? I am talking about dinosaurs. Now, I do think the sounds and the exact appearance can be debated extensively. However, what are the best proofs of their physical existence and what does the Bible have to say about the matter?

The answer is: not much. The Bible does not tell us much about these creatures. At least not in all its sizes and varieties that pop culture has come to embrace and ‘science’ has explained to us. **Yes, we do get the descriptions of Leviathan and Behemoth, dragons and other chimeras like satyrs and lion-like men of Moab. But dinos? I have not read something pertaining to the gigantic lizards from the Mesozoic Era in scripture.** Even if there was just one interaction. For instance a village fighting off velociraptors? Or Noah saddling up some sauropods to help construct the ark. Yes, we do find some unique or what some would deem mythical creatures in scripture. Isaiah 34 comes to mind and Job’s account of Leviathan and Behemoth. Those we can cross reference and verify with other sources.

Give a Dog a Bone

If the dinosaurs were here for such a period, they would have been thriving and doing pretty well for themselves. I think if we dig just about anywhere deep enough we should find some dino bones as they were the prominent and dominant species according to the scientific stories everywhere. But were they though? In the words of Jeremy P. James from www.zephaniah.eu:

*If dinosaurs existed on the earth for millions of years, **then they should have left a record so extravagant and so extensive that it would be virtually impossible to excavate any part of the earth and not find some evidence of their fossilized remains.***

*The ancient Romans, Greeks, Persians, Egyptians, Babylonians, Assyrians, and Sumerians **should have** accumulated great quantities of largely intact dinosaur skeletons.*

*And as they built the Great Wall in 200 BC, the Chinese **should have** unearthed tens of thousands of impressive femurs and jaw-bones, great domed skulls and enormous fossilized rib-cages. But they didn't.*

*No-one found anything. A million years is a very, very long time. Yet zoologists tell us that dinosaurs roamed the earth for 165 million years. Just imagine for a moment the mountain of sturdy bones that such a staggering population would have produced. If only one animal in a million left a fossilized skeleton and the population density was a mere ten individuals per square mile, **then there should be several large, as yet undiscovered dinosaur fossils within a mile of your home, plus dozens of smaller specimens. Even though these assumptions are highly conservative, they point to the obvious fact that fossilized dinosaur remains should be extremely plentiful over most of the earth.***

Why then are they only ever found by 'experts' and only in remote locations? Why are there so few specimens in museums around the world? And why do so many of these feeble specimens consist of just a few bones? Despite the multiplicity of missing parts, entire animals, including their environment, are reconstructed from very little fossilized material. The artist has taken over from the scientist! Anything he chooses to sketch is accepted as reality and absurd conclusions are drawn – literally – from non-existent evidence.

The skull



Artist's depiction of the animal in a paleontology book



The Animal



What stuck me was that last part, when the artist takes over from the 'scientist'. Seems to be very similar to NASA's work. **There is only a hint of evidence and the artist's brush takes over with boundless creative liberty and you have whatever you want to have.** We have seen this. NASA produces a photo that looks like dust particles from one of their telescopes and the photoshop specialists draw galaxies spanning across lightyears. Works like magic. Trust the science. Creating things with our imagination is

fun, I do it all the time, but it is fictitious and not the truth. When we do hold something fictitious up to be true it is called a lie.

A massive gaping hole in the dinosaur timeline is the omission of any documentation by ancient civilizations. Not the Romans, Greeks, Persians, Egyptians, Babylonians, Assyrians, Sumerians or Chinese have any proof depicting sauropods or velociraptors or any of the other many variants of dinosaurs.

Ancient Rock Carvings

None of the ancient cultures have carved, drawn or written about dinosaurs or gathered their bones in any way. Here in South Africa we have the KhoiSan, and we are told by the ‘experts’ they are some of the oldest people groups around with some of their rock carvings dating back 22 000 years old. I know, I know this age isn’t 22 000 years old but just hang with me for a second. Let’s take a closer look at the documented ‘proof’ of dinos from ancient civilisations?

According to [Exploring the History of the Khoi People - MRC SL](#):

*The Khoi people, also known as the Khoisan or Bushmen, are a tribe of hunter-gatherers who are native to southern Africa. **Some 22,000 years ago, they were the largest group of humans on earth.** Today, only about 100,000 Khoisan remain. They have a unique culture and language, and are known for their deep connection to the land and their traditional way of life.*

Except for being one of the oldest people groups around they are also very well known for preserving rock carvings. Since the Khoisan were around at least 22 000 years and the young earth creationists have dinosaurs existing about 6 000 years ago, there should be some cave drawings from the Khoisan.



It is truly astonishing how well these cave drawings are preserved. Look at the detail and proportions of the image. Very well done indeed. You will see bushmen, cattle and even elephants. But something you won't see is dinosaurs. There is one Khoi rock carving I found that has a small sauropod looking creature but it is very small compared to other creatures. To be fair it could be anything from a lizard to a small crocodile taking into account the proportions.

The Ancient Origin and Ancient Alien fans would be quick to mention the Ica Stones. Many have claimed the Ica Stones to prove not only man and dinos coexisting but also ancient technologically advanced people. Here are some stones found by the Peruvian physician, Javier Cabrera Darquea that claimed he found them.



I do think a good case can be made for ancient tech and civilizations before us being more advanced. **Yes, depicted on the middle right is a dude using a telescope and on the far right is another dude doing the first Chris Barnard-like heart transplant. But, let's stick to the soup of the day: Dinosaurs. The first two are almost animation like dinosaurs. Looking like they just stepped out of *The Land Before Time*.**


There are some reservations I have with Darquea's find. Well, not only me, but many of his peers.

Many have asked, why don't scientists simply date the stones and settle the matter? The problem is that stones without organic material trapped in them can only be dated according to the strata layer in which they are found. Since Cabrera's stones come from some mystery cave which has never been identified, much less excavated, **there is no way to date them.**

Today, most agree that the stones are nothing more than an interesting hoax, however, others maintain that attempts to discredit the stones are merely a way to hide evidence that conflicts with mainstream perspectives. The Ica museum in which the stones are housed remains open to those who would like to interpret the mysteries for themselves.

Then my last example will be a snippet from www.genesispark.com:

To the right is a picture of what appears to be a bipedal dinosaur with small arms in head-to-head combat with a mammoth from the book *Buried Alive* by Dr. Jack Cuozzo (click to enlarge). It was taken by the author in Bernifal Cave, one of the caverns in France that is renowned for Neanderthal art. Mammoth drawings are not unusual in such cave art, but the depiction of an apparent theropod dinosaur is remarkable.



Now if you zoom in on the picture to the right I can understand your imagination will take you there. **Kind of like when you look at clouds all of us can imagen different images by looking at the clouds.** This for me is a long stretch to say it is Dino-Mammoth-battle going down. I am not arguing about the existence of mammoths. There is a fair amount of evidence to prove the mammoth's existence. However, an overweight dino with teeny tiny arms rolling on their belly and facing off against a mammoth is clutching at straws. If you only had the rock painting and no pencil sketch taking artistic liberties I doubt that is the conclusion anybody would come to.



As you would have noticed on the Khoi rock paintings the artists are quite accurate when depicting animals and humans in proportion. Not the same can be said about the 'brontosaurus' here. Except for the fact that the drawing in its entirety is teeny tiny and has to be enhanced just to make something out, there is another problem. It seems like that tail would have been worse than a ball-and-chain disabling the poor thing from moving. I think this was supposed to be something else like a croc or dragon but instead ended up being an odd shaped 'brocosaures'. Whoops! No erasers.

They could not just crumple it up like a sheet of paper and ditch it in the nearest trash can. Rock carvings are forever my friend. Even the flawed ones. I chose these 2 examples from www.genesispark.com as they are the best 'proofs' of dinosaurs and humans coexisting by young earth creationists. I am not picking a fight with my young earth creationist friends. They do great work and I do enjoy many of their write ups. I am simply questioning these specific 'proofs'.

Now, what I love about www.gensispark.com is they also document all the dragons. Dragons everywhere. Dragons on paintings, rock carvings, wood carvings, just about any depiction imaginable and you will see they are more legit. Having multiple sources validating the claims of the ancient artifacts. **Unlike the dino carvings, that are similar to missing link or monkey-man-skulls, are often refuted when peer reviewed. Dragons and sea serpents are very well documented** across the flat plane of the non-rotating earth. If you have seen any conclusive evidence on rock carving or ancient artifacts please send them my way. Maybe I just could not find them and neither could the young earth creationists. **These 'best proofs' from mainly the young earth creationists are easily refutable in my opinion.**

Ancient Maps

The mapmakers have all taken their fair share of liberties. I do understand that. But interestingly enough the disputes were always about depictions of the geography and never about the creatures on the maps. That does leave us with some interesting information that is unaccounted for.

Take a look below at the *Carta Marina* by Olaus Magnus. It was done between 1527 and 1539. So nearly 500 years ago and more or less when the Millennial Kingdom was drawing to a

close. What do you see? I see unique sea creatures and some demonic entities roaming the desolate places. Both of those do find origins in the Bible. No dinosaurs though.



The *Urbano Monte* map is no stranger in these parts. It has been under the magnifying glass of many of the stolen history researchers and flat earthers alike. The possibilities and the stories this map could tell are probably endless. Here is a small snippet from it:



You might think there is no proof these animals Monte is depicting ever existed. Is the Bible a valid source? If yes, I wonder if we can match up some of these creatures with the Bible? I can see a unicorn, leviathan like creature and satyrs. **And I am not sure if the massive creature is a Behemoth or a type of chimera. Could be.**

Unicorns? Yes, Isaiah 34:7 and Job 39:9-10.

Crocodiles or Leviathan? Yes, Job 41:1 and Psalms 74:14.

Fawns or satyrs? Yes, Isaiah 13:21.

Behemoths or chimaras? Yes, Job 40 and the Genesis 6-incursion.

Urbano Monte was not just filling empty spaces with random doodles as mr. Rumsey the map expert would suggest. These were actual creatures. Everything else on the Urban Monte maps were accurate. Why not the creatures?

Again, there are no triceratops, velociraptors or tyrannosauruses. Mapmakers were not shy of depicting the other bad boys, why not dinosaurs? Maybe because they were already extinct or maybe they never existed to begin with. Let's keep excavating.

Medieval Art

Amongst the medieval art work the depictions of St. George slaying dragons like a ranger from Middle Earth, must be the most popular one. Again, I don't have a problem with dragons. Where are the rest of *The Land Before Time*-dinos? The chicken-like lizards should feature somewhere, especially if they were the most dominant species of their time.

Many, including the young earth creationist, have pointed to the carvings on the **belfry window of the Holy Trinity Church in the High Caucasus of Northern Georgia.** Many have suggested that the two creatures carved are dinosaurs documented from medieval times. On closer inspection you will notice something else though. Those tails.



They are all curled up tails. Where have I seen this before? This would rather suggest these are chameleons or even salamanders.

Except for this carving from the medieval period, let me give you a glimpse of what St. George was up to. Slaying dragons. Enough so to make any protector of

Middle Earth proud.



I can get onboard the idea that dragons were and perhaps are real. There is simply too much evidence to prove its existence and activities that can not be refuted. Except for the secular proofs the Bible has many verses on these flying lizards. More on that later.

This is about the best medieval proof of Dinosaurs I could find. If you do have others please send them my way. If it was not the bushmen and ancient carvings or the medieval artists or the map makers that placed Dinosaurs on the map (pun intended), who did?

And after that period we do not see much at all during the 1800's or 1900's. Maybe everybody was too occupied going to World Fairs, making war and trying to make ends meet after that. **Is it not odd that all the folklore experts, like the Brothers Grimm, Hans Christian Anderson, Lewis and Tolkien never mention dinosaurs in any of their works? None that I could find at least. Many fantastical and mythological creatures. No, dinosaurs though.**

This is what we have so far:

- Dinosaur bones have not been gathered by the ancients,
- There seems to be an omission on rock art of dinosaurs from older civilizations,
- Old Maps depict dragons, sea serpents and other creatures found in the Bible, but not dinosaurs
- Medieval proofs only thoroughly document dragons and other creatures we do find in the Bible,
- And not even the famous folklore storytellers were creative enough to come up with dinosaurs.

We can venture a little further back in time to find a couple of clues. However ancient historians have not mentioned dinosaurs as we know them today. Your best bet to pin point dinosaurs is moving forward in time rather than back. **Make no mistake dinosaurs, enter the fray again post Millennial Kingdom in the 17th century. That should probably tell you all about it. Yes, with the release of HaSatan. If you are not in the know, allow me to walk you through it and not leave you hanging. Enter mr. Robert Plot.**

Robert Plot

The first ever description of a dinosaur fossil had been by Robert Plot, first director of the Ashmolean Museum at Oxford in his Natural History of Oxford-shire of 1677. The Plot thickens.



Oh, no! I see that hand clutching the scroll Mr. Plot - classic Freemason pose. He was also keen on using alchemy for medicinal reasons. Was he perhaps a wizard? This does not look good. We are off to a bad start. Wait, what? I checked and Plot was not a Mason. The Brotherhood dissed him in fact. Mm-hmm, I wonder why.

Masonic Brother Yasha Beresiner gives the follow commentary on Plot's work Natural History of Stafford-shire (1686) in the


Masonic Papers :

This volume contains the earliest recorded account of accepted masonry and is considered the most implicit report on the fraternity available for the period at the end of the 17th century. It is printed in paragraphs 85 to 88 inclusive, on pages 316 to 318 of the tome.

Isn't that something? Remember, Plot was not part of the Brotherhood. In fact Freemasons see Plot's writing in a derogatory light towards them. Plot was not only mentioning many of the Masonic practices but to a large extent exposing them. It seems like many lodges were truly run

in secret and now Plot was blabbering out all their activities. The Brothers were not happy campers. Later they criticize Plot for attacking them.

So Plot was a pretty decent bloke. Now, let's go straight to the reason you are here and the reason Plot is so well-known in scientific circles. The image on the right was the image of a lost 'dinosaur bone' that Plot became famous for. To clear up the muddled water, at that point in time Plot did not claim it to be a dinosaur bone though. That only came years later. Here is the kicker: Plot said in no uncertain terms that it was part of a giant human femur.



According to www.strangescience.net:

*Plot then concluded that **the bone must have belonged to a giant.***

*To support his gigantic interpretation, he cited plenty of examples: Goliath, Pusio and Secundilla (two giants described by Pliny), **a supersized Tartar** supposedly slain in the 16th century "whose fore-head was 24 inches broad." His evidence also extended to exotic locales:*

Yet if we look abroad amongst the present barbarous Nations of both Indies, where they live still according to Nature, and do not debauch her with the sensual Delights of the more civilized World, we shall find (if the Relations either of English or Hollanders be of any credit) that there are now men and women adequate to [giants] in stature; several having been seen, especially about the Straights of Magellan, often; and one near the River of Plate by Tho. Turner, 12 foot high.

The Tartars do have some part to play in the hidden history. They were giants. According to Plot even in his day many giants were still walking about especially in the Straights of Magellan. How could Plot come to such a simple conclusion for the bones he found? Easy. **You see at**


this point in the game dinosaurs have not made a blip on the scientific radar yet. That is how simple it was. However, it took a reverend to twist Plot's conclusions.

Reverend William Buckland

Trust the church leadership to come up with the idea of dinosaurs. Shouldn't they be counting the tithes or something? His name was Reverend William Buckland. Bear in mind those were the days when you could be a theologian, a geologist and paleontologist. Buckland happened to be all three.

Between 1815 and 1824, Buckland, was the first reader of Geology at the University of Oxford. Oxford was quite the brewing pot for all things secret society at the time. In 1824 Buckland became President of the Geological Society. It was here where he spilled the beans and he finally announced the discovery of bones at Stonesfield. He had collected fossilized bones of the so-called Megalosaurus or Giant Lizard. The bones he found were the creature's lower jaw, vertebrae and limb bones. This would later become known as a 'Dinosaur'. Therefore, Buckland became the first person to describe a non-avian dinosaur in a scientific journal.

Was Buckland right? Was it a dinosaur or was it perhaps just a dragon or a giant again? Or just one of the many giant lizards depicted by the map makers. There is not much written up on the subject matter.



I could not determine if the specific find was ever peer reviewed. At least we still have everything and can do it now, right? Well, not exactly. In classic Smithsonian-style some of the best proofs have gone missing. Here is a snippet for www.smithsonianmag.com:

*On top of that, **some pieces of Megalosaurus have gone missing**. American Museum of Natural History paleontologist Roger Benson notes that some Megalosaurus fossils that had been illustrated in the scientific literature, such as a jaw with teeth and the end of a femur that was infamously illustrated in the 1700s with the label “scrotum humanum” for its resemblance to a human body part, **are not in any museum’s collections, and their whereabouts are unknown**. All of this uncertainty has led to widely varying interpretations of Megalosaurus over time.*

Many still reference Buckland as the first man to discover dinosaurs. To me it is just astounding how you can have 3 puzzle pieces of a 1000-piece puzzle and come to any conclusions of what the puzzle will look like. The more you bring Buckland’s dino bones under scrutiny the worse it gets. I also have other reasons to have my suspicions around Buckland’s integrity.

For instance in 1818, Buckland was elected a member of the Royal Society. The Royal Society finds its roots in the Invisible College of 1660. The Royal Society consisted of the regrouped Rosicrucians (Rosies). After the Rosies were defeated in Germany, they simply rebranded themselves as the Royal Society and regrouped in England. At that point they had been holding hands with Freemasonry for a very long time. Buckland was up to no good, joining them.

Now, if Buckland’s involvement in the Rosies wasn’t enough, Buckland ate some strange types of flesh. He obviously did not mind violating the clear instructions in the Torah. He even consumed a small part of Louis XIV’s heart in pigment form. Many of the meat on Buckland’s unique diets were a clear violation of Leviticus 11. As a reverend, shouldn’t he be reading his Bible and teaching others on the instructions in righteousness? Anywho, one of his favorites were the occasional mice on toast. Among other non-kosher meats, he had porpoise, panther, and even puppy. I am not sure I can trust a guy that eats puppies. Part of Buckland’s bucket list was to taste every animal on Earth.

With all the loose ends, missing bones, Rosies injecting their own spin on things and influencing his works and Buckland's toxic diet, it is difficult to trust any of his findings.

I am uncertain of the type of individual he was. But I think a fair point can be made that he was driving the Rosie agenda. Best case scenario, even if those now missing bones of Buckland's a giant lizard are truthful, it might as well just turn out to be just that. A giant lizard or even a dragon.

Richard Owen

Richard Owen was a British anatomist and paleontologist who coined the term Dinosauria in 1842 to describe the ancient reptiles he studied. You could see Owen as the original 'inventor' of the Dinosaur. **Richard Owen was a Fellow of the Royal Society (F.R.S.) until his death in 1892.** I am detecting a pattern here. Despite the various controversies, the biologist and paleontologist still had his supporters and was nominated for the Royal Society's governing council in 1862 and 1870. Other famous names at the society included Charles Darwin and Thomas Henry Huxley. Both Darwin and Huxley were evolutionary biologists. Darwin was big on evolution but not big on dinosaurs. However, Huxley would have told you the birds are descendants from the dinosaurs. Wouldn't that signify de-evolution though? Probably none of my business and not my field of expertise.



Now, Owen's dino research predecessors Gideon Mantell and Reverend Buckland (who you already met) earlier and independently, found some large fossilized bones which they had been unable to associate with any known animal species. Mantell believed the earth may have been inhabited at some time in the distant past by some unusually large reptiles. However **it was Owen who took the unjustified leap of affirming that this hypothesis was a scientifically proven fact.** Buckland, being a reverend and all that, understood that the worldwide flood described in Genesis would have massive implications on the Dino Theory. The dislocation and redistribution of animal carcasses would have been of a significance and well preserved.

Buckland did not come to draw any hard conclusions as to which fossils belonged to which species.

As the flood was still a scientific conundrum to contend with Buckland did not proclaim his findings to the world or post it on Facebook it was Owen that made it famous.

Owen was also unknowing the main catalyst to later contend that the flood was not a worldwide event. For many reasons they were the fires that fanned the evolution flame and are left with the whole fairy tale to this day. Bunch of baloney. But what can you expect from the secret societies?

Except for their misconstrued ideas, there are matters far worse. Like Christians not reading their Bibles. But first, how does a dinosaur's anatomy work or does it?

Anatomical Abnormalities

Have you ever wondered why the komodo dragons are not just walking on their hindlegs, like in the dino movies? Or why your average house gecko has not been moonwalking on the ceiling of late. There is a slight challenge for the lizards. It is called anatomy. Their bodies only allow them to walk on all fours. Before the zoologists object, I know, I know there are some exceptions like the common basilisk and some do stand on their hindlegs when in combat or giving hugs. These creatures are adorable and hardly make a blip on the fear alert radar. But do a quick comparison of these slender, balanced bodies with a T-Rex. The T-Rex is top heavy and its center of gravity is way off to even be a functioning animal.




Now, here is a guy that has been shadowed banned and you cannot even find his work if you would type in his website name in a search engine. Sometimes it is best to take a subject on as a

tag team so the next part is a study of fellow truther, Jeremy James and his work pertaining to dinosaurs' anatomy. You can find his complete paper [here](#).

*Many of the dinosaur species that scientists claim to have existed, and on which considerable research has been conducted, **are physiologically impossible**. When dinosaurs were first proposed, in the 1840s, the science of animal physiology was in its infancy. The fossil fabricators did not realize just how preposterous their creations actually were. In their eagerness to come up with truly strange animals, they designed a creature which simply could not have existed. Here is just a short list of the glaring anatomical anomalies which prove that dinosaurs are works of fiction:*

- *Many are so oddly shaped, with most of their **body weight distributed at the upper end**, that they could not have maintained their balance while in motion.*
- *Many were so large that their **bones and ligaments would have been unable to support their weight**.*
- *Many would have needed **enormously large hearts to pump the blood needed to supply their huge volume of tissue with oxygen**. Relative to its overall size, no land-dwelling species today has a heart of that magnitude.*
- *Creatures of such enormous size would have needed a **very efficient mechanism for losing excess heat**, such as large flaps or cooling appendages. Most of the dinosaur species proposed by paleontologists lack such a mechanism.*
- *Many species were so large that the **cranial capacity needed to ensure efficient locomotion**, especially under stress, was greater than the capacity of their fossilized skulls.*
- *Most of the dinosaur species proposed by paleontologists are supposed to be herbivores, subsisting entirely on vegetable matter, **but the reptilian physiology of these alleged species conflicts with this assumption**. Very few reptile species today are herbivores. The notable exceptions are*

iguanas and tortoises. All the rest – crocodiles, alligators, caymans, monitors, geckos, and hundreds of species of lizard and snake – live on a diet of small ruminants, birds, fish, rodents, insects, eggs, and worms.



Even though the velociraptor is a much more viable species than a T-Rex, both were 'invented' by none other than **Henry Fairfield Osborn**. Here is mr. Osborn and a buddy finding a limp bone of a sauropod. Aren't they ecstatic? Reminds me of the faces of the Apollo 11 astronauts who were so overjoyed with the post-Moon landing interview.



I think one obtains an eye for such matters. Faces don't lie, even though tongues do. Mr. Osborn has no shortage of controversies. Osborn's uncle was the infamous financier, J.P. Morgan. Nothing to see here I am sure. Some of his childhood friends included the future American president **Theodore Roosevelt**. To add insult to injury, no jokes, the T-rex was named after President Roosevelt. Osborn was not even trying to hide who he was working for.

33. The First *Tyrannosaurus* Skeleton, 1905

The most famous of all dinosaurs, *Tyrannosaurus rex*, was discovered by Barnum Brown in 1902 in Creek, Montana, and it was first described here by Henry F. Osborn. The skeleton was not complete, but enough was present to attempt a reconstruction. So we have in this article the very first picture of *T. rex*. One of the nice features of the restoration is that it involved the collaboration of four of the truly great figures in the history of dinosaur discovery: Brown, who discovered the skeleton; Osborn, who named and described it; Richard S. Lull, who prepared the skeleton; and William D. Matthew, who drew the restoration. Lull and Matthew are featured later in this exhibition (see [item 38](#) and [item 42](#) respectively).




Fig. 1. Rough outline showing scale of size of *Tyrannosaurus rex*. By W. D. M. The association of the small forearm is probably incorrect.

A distinctive feature of the drawing is the inclusion of a human figure for scale. This is not the first time for such a comparison; Osborn had compared a human to a *Diplodocus* skeleton in 1899 (see [item 25a](#)). But the comparison is especially effective here; without it, the true size of *T. rex* could not be conveyed by this small text illustration. Matthew commented in a letter to his wife that the toothy smile of *T. rex* reminded him of President Roosevelt, and so he had taken to calling it "Teddysaurus."

Osborn was a racist and anti-Semite. This is not a smear campaign, these are just historical facts from [Swans Commentary](#). Besides he had so much going for him at the time he was producing his own negative propaganda by himself. Osborn's "closest and

most zealous eugenicist friend was the New York lawyer and amateur naturalist, Madison Grant, who enjoyed writing racist papers. Osborn was raised Presbyterian, yet he described himself as an evolutionary theist. I am not sure what he meant by this. We do know Osborn was pushing evolution so passionately, especially among liberal Christians, frightening five states that eventually banned teaching evolution. Guess they weren't liberal enough. These are the types of people we are dealing with.

Just like Buckland and Owen, Osborn was part of the Royal Society, run by the Rosies. Just keep in mind this was never his field of expertise. **Just like the world is currently taking medical advice from a guy that cannot even keep viruses from attacking Windows.** Here is an extract from the Royal Society Publishing:

Osborn started investigations in psychology and embryology, and published some of his results; but he and his fellow student W. B. Scott (afterwards Professor of Geology at Princeton) soon became more fascinated by the remarkable discoveries of extinct vertebrates by Cope and Marsh in the rocks of the western United States, and they decided that the study of fossils should be their life-work.

Ah, I never knew that is how it worked, but it seems to be the world we live in today. **A psychologist that has knowledge of embryos is telling us which bones belong to which dinosaur and naming the dinosaurs. Does nobody scrutinize this? It would be like the accountant teacher taking over the science class. Seems like you do not require any prior expertise or experience in the field, you simply just rock up and be the dictating arm of the Rosies and show some pictures of people digging up something that looks like bones, take a picture and then make an elaborate sketch of a skeleton which is 95% imagination and voila! Dinosaurs are born.**

Except for anatomical conundrum, the phony scientists and fake 'discoveries' and the 'invention' of the vast array of dinosaur species, my main problem is with Christians not

reading the Bible. I think that should outweigh anything within the conspiracy realm. However it is fascinating how the two dovetail so nicely.

Biblical Roadblocks

The sheer lack of proof of dinosaurs places a huge question mark on the legitimacy of the dinos. Except for the paper thin proof of 'dinosaur bones', there are also some other obstacles. Especially for my Christian brethren.

Yes, biblically speaking we see behemoth, satyrs, dragons and leviathans. However, this is nowhere near the fast array of dinosaurs mentioned in the 'scientific' community and the science fiction community. Have those two spheres not become intermingled? It has become difficult to distinguish between science and science fiction and it has become somewhat inverted.

Now, if you are coming to the dino-debate from a biblical worldview, there are a whole host of other problems. There are at least 5 significant biblical roadblocks on the road to Jurassic Park and Dino Fantasy Land:

- Do they fit in the biblical timeline?
- Did humans have dominion over them?
- Were they taken on the ark?
- Were they the greatest among beasts according to the bible?
- Were they able to be tamed?

Yes, sure many creation ministries, young earth creationist and the like have tried to solve these issues for us. Do not get me wrong, in most instances they do great work and I have gained plenty of understanding through their findings. However, when it comes to the dino-question they have been found wanting in my humble opinion. I will now do my best to break down each of these conundrums one by one.

Timeframe

How old is Earth? Well, it depends who you ask, doesn't it? If you are clinging to the Masoretic text it is about 6000 years old. If you are a fan of the Septuagint you will have an area of 7000 years. If you ask the Kabbalah Jews or the Atheists they rip out the billions of years-trump card as if that explains everything away. According to the very credible Smithsonian:

*Based on the very old zircon rock from Australia we know that the Earth is at least 4.374 billion years old. But it could certainly be older. **Scientists tend to agree that our little planet is around 4.54 billion years old**—give or take a few hundred million.*

It sounds very similar to NASA's amazing camera's able to capture photos for galaxies billions of lightyears away. It also sounds like the preface for entering fantasy land, *billions and billions of years ago...*

And when do they say the dinosaurs rocked up? According to mainstream science on <https://www.nhm.ac.uk>:

***Non-bird dinosaurs lived between about 245 and 66 million years ago**, in a time known as the Mesozoic Era. This was many **millions of years before the first modern humans**, *Homo sapiens*, appeared. Scientists divide the Mesozoic Era into three periods: the Triassic, Jurassic and Cretaceous.*

What a bunch of dino dung. I do believe the earth is much older than the young earth creations would have us believe. But talk about taking liberties with the timeline. It feels like whenever science fails to explain something they just make up ridiculous distances, ages and measurements. And all the sheeple just nod and say “okay” in acknowledgment of their lack of grasping the so-called scientific expertise. However, where does this place the dinosaurs on the creation timeline? “Science”, whatever that means, places them prior to humans.



Craig Deeley
@craiguito



RIP the dinosaurs. Can't believe it's 65 million years already. Always in my thoughts

Unless they lived in the age before *tobu wa-bobu*, before the earth became void and desolate. Could be. I am not ruling it out that they might have been part of the Genesis 1:1 creation.

The timelines of the Bible and age of the dinosaurs do in no way, shape or form line up. Dinosaurs and the biblical timeline are not out by the thousands of years but rather by millions of years. There is the first problem. But let's not get ahead of ourselves. Let's say the young earth creationist are correct. Then dinos would have been created on the sixth day along with the other animals. **However, if they lived during the age of Adam and were created along with the other animals on day 6, there is another problem we run into.**

Dominion over Dinosaurs

Contrary to popular belief, we are devolving. Our predecessors were much stronger, larger and smarter. Adam is described in many writings as a dude of great stature. Read the apocalypse of Abraham and you will know what I am talking about. Adam was probably what we would call a giant today. Given that he was a huge dude, would he have been able to have dominion over dinosaurs? Keep in mind the animals were also larger in ages past, much larger. So I think when you apply the theory of relativity the comparative ratios would be very similar. The command to have dominion over all the creatures is given to man in Genesis 1:


*"And God blessed them, and God said unto them, Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and **subdue it: and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth.**"*

Genesis 1:28 (KJV)



Men have been killing and hunting any and every sort of animal you can think of virtually from the beginning of time. We have the rock paintings to prove that. How does that match up with the dino-profiles. Some dinosaurs have been portrayed as killing machines akin to the offspring of the watchers. We will get into that in more detail soon.

According to 'science' there were some ghastly and ferocious gigantic lizards around. For example the Spinosaurus.



Apparently the Spinosaurus was 8 meters or 59 feet in height, these dinos used to roam in Northern Africa. This is the height of a 5-storey building. How did Adam have dominion over these bad boys? You can surely not expect Adam to go dabbing at the gigantic lizard with a sword and spear. Either Adam had some form of supernatural strength or advanced weaponry to have dominion over these bad boys. Neither of these are mentioned in scripture though.



How could Adam and his offspring compete with the speed of a velociraptor or the attacks of Tyrannosaurs. Not even to mention a stampede of a herd of triceratops. Adam and his

counterparts could never have dominion over a dinosaur. What do the young earth creationist have to say? This is a question that is avoided like the plague in those circles.

Dinos On The Ark

I hate to be the bearer of bad news, but Barney, the purple dinosaur never made it to the ark. Not even one of his distant relatives for that matter. Well, it depends on how close you recon it is to a crocodile. Let me show you.

Did dinosaurs make it on the ark? This has become a common conundrum amongst young earth creationists. There are some that explain that the oxygen levels dropped once the ice canopy of the earth gave way for rain. With the decrease in oxygen level, dinosaurs could not inhale as much as they needed. Suffocating somewhere and submerged in the flood waters. Did I get that right? This you cannot find in scripture though.



Others have argued that dinosaur eggs were taken on the ark rather than the grown animal. Dinosaurs could probably have been kept in egg form. They can take up to 6 months to hatch. Well, that is if you can believe anything on science.org:

*But a new study finds that dinosaur eggs took **between 3 and 6 months to hatch**—twice as long as predicted from bird eggs of similar size. Those long incubation times likely made it tough for them to outcompete faster generating animals, such as modern birds and mammals, in the aftermath of a mass extinction.*

This would make sense to me. Ending up with 3 month-old dinos at the end of Noah's boat trip. However the Scripture contradicts the dino egg notion:

“*And of all the living of all flesh, two of each, you are to bring into the ark, to **keep them alive with you** – a male and a female.*

*“Of the birds after their kind, and of the cattle after their kind, and of all creeping creatures of the ground after their kind, two of each are **to come to you**, to keep them alive.”*

Berëshith (Genesis) 6:19-20 (TS2009)

The problem is the animals came to Noah. He wasn't going on an easter egg hunt for Dino eggs. And even if he did do that, how would he know if he had one of each sex? The next verse goes on to explain how Noah was also to take enough feed for them. How on earth would he be able to sustain the diets of dinosaurs for an entire year?

At least the people at the ark encounter were not playing the dino egg-card. They went all out. Dinosaurs rocking up two by two and on the ark. This is also the conclusion the brethren at Answers in Genesis came to. No ice canopy killing them when it dissolves and not dino eggs. Here is their exact conclusion on

www.answersingenesis.org:

In Genesis 6:19–20, the Bible says that two of every sort of


land vertebrate (seven or seven pairs of the “clean” animals) were brought by God to the ark.

Therefore, dinosaurs (land vertebrates) were represented on the ark.

Why does this matter? Well, you see if dinos made it unto the ark they made it off the ark. They would have roamed the earth for many more years. Don't you think? Being on top of the food chain they would in some form have remained unto this day even. But no, not one of them is still with us. Yes, I do get the concept of extinction of animals due to various reasons. If they died how and when and who killed them off. I am not sure if they are accounted for in that way.



Some young earth creationist have pointed out the dinosaurs made it past the disembarking of the ark but were soon hunted for meat as they would have been able to feed an entire village. There are multiple problems there. For instance Noah's boys knew clean and unclean they were not dining on dino. Secondly, do you think people like Jacob's sons would pass up the opportunity to plow fields with some of them? If they could subdue them why not make them work for you and keep them from harm's way.



Greatest of Beasts

If dinosaurs were on the earth during those times they would have been mentioned either in the same or similar categories to Behemoth and Leviathan and dragons. Interestingly the word does not classify these among the beasts. The Word does tell us who is the strongest of all beasts?

A lion which is strongest among beasts, and turneth not away for any.

Proverbs 30:30 (KJV)

Now, I know that strongest is not necessarily the same as largest. If dinosaurs were to be accounted among the beasts created on the 6th day would they not have been the strongest? Yes. They were not present in king Solomon's reign at least. He documents the lions as the strongest. Now, there could be more to the verse that I am currently excavating.

Taming Tyrannosaurs

That brings me to the next point: Even if they were the strongest among beasts, we are aware that lions, along with many other wild and dangerous animals, have been trained by men. Even the scripture confirms this:

*”For every species of beasts and birds, of reptiles and creatures of the sea,
is tamed and has been tamed by the human race.“*

James 3:7 (NASB1995)



Unfortunately, we do not have the account of Jacob saddling up a triceratops to flee from his brother Esau or Noah yoking up some sauropods to help build the ark. Just think of the amount of work that could have been done on a farm with trained dinos. However, Biblically speaking, we find the same farm animals we find on farms today.

The only beast mentioned that cannot be tamed is Leviathan. There is a very good reason for that. Without getting into the nitty gritty, Leviathan is a personification of Death. Death will be the last enemy to be conquered by Yahusha ha Messiach. I do believe it is both a creature and on an esoteric level a personification of Death. Noel did a very good job at illustrating that connection in his Hellmouth papers.

Who's Story Is The Dinosaur Narrative?

For this section I would have loved to post a picture of a dinosaur holding a banner saying 'Nephilim lives matter'. Maybe next time.

Although I do not believe we had a plethora of dinos chowing down on some juicy humans, there were others that did alike. Nephilim. We do see the Nephilim do something similar. Creating havoc and innocent bloodshed and leaving utter destruction in their wake. The Nephilim and their devices were at war with Yahuah's creation and order. They are the ones that wanted to usurp the dominion of the Most High that was delegated to man.

If you are reading this the Genesis 6-conspiracy is where you have been living the last couple of years and this story is nothing new to you. Have a look at the watchers' incursion from the book of Jubilees:

*And it came to pass when the children of men began to multiply on the face of the earth and daughters were born unto them, that the angels of God saw them on a certain year of this jubilee, that they were beautiful to look upon; and they took themselves wives of all whom they chose, and they bare unto them sons and they were giants. **And lawlessness increased on the earth and all flesh corrupted its way, alike men and cattle and beasts and birds and everything that walks on the earth -all of them corrupted their ways and their orders, and they began to devour each other, and lawlessness increased on the earth and every imagination of the thoughts of all men (was) thus evil continually.** And God looked upon the earth, and behold it was corrupt, and **all flesh had corrupted its orders**, and all that were upon the earth had wrought all manner of evil before His eyes.*

Jubilees 5:2

All flesh. Including every bird and everything that walks the earth were corrupted. That is why even the animals were destroyed. This leaves two possibilities in my mind:

1. **Dinosaurs were the offspring of giants/nephilim mating with reptiles or experiments of such nature** or
2. Nephilim were **hybridizing species**. Perhaps the dinosaurs were a frankenstein-like experiment of birds and reptiles copulating.

Do not ask me on the methods and details as this is sheer speculation however I did find some rabbinical ground for my speculations:

Similarly, Isaac told Jacob: Arise! Go to Padan-aram, to seek a wife proper for him. He did not wish him to be like the men of the generation of the flood, who wallowed in unchastity and indulged in sexual intercourse with species other than their own. Observe what is written

concerning them: **The sons of God saw the daughters of men ... and took them wives, whomsoever they chose. That was the reason they were obliterated from the earth. The animals, likewise, copulated with species other than their own: the horse with the ass, the ass with the horse, and the serpent with the bird, as it is said: And God saw the earth, and behold, it was corrupt; for all flesh had corrupted their way upon the earth. Notice that Scripture does not say in this verse "all man" but all flesh.**

Therefore, He blotted out every living substance which was upon the face of the ground, both man and cattle. No being that had copulated with a species other than its own entered the ark, as it is said: From all the pure cattle. Because the ark could harbor only pure beings, they came from among those alone, as it is said: Two and two unto Noah.

Tanchuma Noach 12

Midrash

Every now and then our controllers do throw us a bone. In this case a dinosaur bone.

Serpents were mating with birds according to rabbinic sources. How that biology works, I have no idea. Unless they were seraphim. Seraphim in all probability could shapeshift. Here is where my 90's movie trivia comes in. The controllers probably know this and that is why they are not afraid to throw it in our faces in fiction form in movies like *Jurassic Park*:

Maybe **dinosaurs have more in common with present-day birds than with reptiles.**

Look at the pubic bone, turned backward, like a bird's.

Look at the vertebrae, full of air sacs and hollows, like a bird's.

And even the word 'raptor' means 'bird of prey'.

Dr. Alan Grant, Jurassic Park, 1993

Why, thank you dr. Grant. The story of the dinosaurs is in many ways similar to the story of the Nephilim, the giant-hybrids, the offspring of the watchers with women. Don't believe me? Well, on a rainy day give Spielberg's 1993 version of *Jurassic Park* a go again. When you do, there is a nice word swap game you can play. Everytime they say 'dinosaurs', swap it for the word 'nephilim' and come to learn what esoteric Hollywood is conjuring up. For instance:

“God creates dinosaurs (nephilim), God kills dinosaurs (nephilim), God creates man, man kills God, man brings back dinosaurs (nephilim).”

Dr. Ian Malcolm, Jurassic Park, 1993

Makes sense now, doesn't it? Man is moving away from Yahuah, yet going through great lengths to conjure up the gods of old. **This is where CERN and the DUMBs come in. They are not looking for Alice in Wonderland down there.** They are looking for the gods of old and doing their utmost to attain some sort of power or release from the abyss in my opinion.

The same reason many artifacts were reported stolen from the Baghdad museum when US troops ransacked it. Including some of the treasure of Nimrud/Nimrod. Of course there is a Mandela effect right there. At first they told us it was stolen, yet recently they have come out with news that it was never stolen, just hidden in a secret compartment. In my opinion probably just to keep the barking hounds at bay and avert any further suspicions. People have always wanted the hidden knowledge of the resurrection and everlasting life without Yahuah and knowing Yahusha. That is the unfortunate cautionary tale of mankind.

If they are able to replicate the recipe of Nimrod, to become a giant it would have devastating ripple effects. It made me think if this dialogue in *Jurassic Park*:

Dr. Ian Malcolm: John, the kind of control you're attempting simply is... it's not possible. If there is one thing the history of evolution has taught us it's that **life will not be contained. Life breaks free, it expands to new territories and crashes through barriers, painfully, maybe even dangerously,** but, uh... well, there it is.

John Hammond: There it is.

Henry Wu: You're (Dr. Ian Malcolm) implying that a group composed entirely of female animals will... breed?

Dr. Ian Malcolm: No. I'm, I'm simply saying that **life, uh... finds a way.**

What life are they talking about here? **I would like to think normal life-life, but it seems more like nephilim-life. Nephilim lives matter, you know? Our controllers think so at least.** If the dinosaur narrative does not portray the story of the nephilim, there is another, more specific candidate.

The Plumed Serpent

If you have been in these circles for long enough, chances are you have heard about him. This character often pops its head out in some cultures. **His name is Quetzalcóatl or he is also known as the 'Plumed Serpent'. Come to think of it, it is probably what you would expect if you would breed birds and reptiles as per the previous texts we covered.**



After those pictures, I will never look at my chickens the same again. Gigantic, flesh-eating birds are quite the beast. I wonder if these bad boys were considered kosher. **A KFC mega bucket would never be able to compete with the amount of meat on a plumed serpent.** Anyways, I digress.

Quetzalcoatl (a combination of the quetzal bird and serpent) is a very prominent deity in Aztec civilizations. Among other things Quetzalcoatl has been related to arts, crafts, wind and the Sun. More importantly, he was the patron god of the Aztec priesthood. This is not the levitical or melchizedek priesthood, rather a pagan priesthood. A counterfeit priesthood to those designated by Yahuah. Do you remember who else was a counterfeit priest? HaSatan.

Thou wast in the delight of the paradise of God; *thou hast bound upon thee every precious stone, the sardius, and topaz, and emerald, and carbuncle, and sapphire, and jasper, and silver,*

and gold, and ligure, and agate, and amethyst, and chrysolite, and beryl, and onyx: and thou hast filled thy treasures and thy stores in thee with gold.

*From the day that thou wast created thou with the cherub: I set thee on the holy mount of God; thou wast in the midst of the stones of fire. Thou wast faultless in thy days, from the day that thou wast created, until iniquity was found in thee. Of the abundance of thy merchandise thou hast filled thy storehouses with iniquity, and hast sinned: therefore thou hast been cast down wounded from the mount of God, and **the cherub has brought thee out of the midst of the stones of fire.***

Ezekiel 28:13-16 (LXX)

Of course you need to read this passage in the Septuagint since the newer translations will have you think HaSatan is a cherubim. Which he is not, however HaSatan seems to be thrown out by the cherubim. Similar to how they were protecting the garden and the ark of the covenant. They seem like Yah's personal deployment of guards or heaven's security team. Not that he needed any but it is their job description.

Back to the point, it seems like HaSatan was created in a type of priestly garment with the stones of the priestly breastplate. You bible scholars out there would remark some missing stones in the breastplate. Yet, very similar to the Aztec seraphim worshipping priests, dressing in the full regalia to represent or/and attracted the spiritual entity of the plumed serpent.

Seems like a hungry old fellow. This is possibly the best explanation for the dinos. They were nephilim hybrid creatures possibly using lizard hybrids and birds. That we have established. But could dinosaurs rather represent someone even more specific? Even HaSatan himself? They were the plumed serpent before being masked as Barney. If you combine the size of the Nephilim with the features of lizards or seraphim you will come out with a


type of dragon creature except with feathers.



Only in pop culture did they lose their feathers and became more like two legged , muscular lizards. Before they were the seraphim, the angelic serpents. Pop culture has hidden them neatly and packaged them as dinosaurs. Moreover, we will find out shortly this is none other than the Dragon of old if you are not convinced yet.

Seraphim: How To Tame Your Dragon

In scripture we often see the phrase ‘fiery serpents’. This is the seraphim. In the account of events in the wilderness (Numbers 21:4-9, Deuteronomy 8:15), the Hebrew word “saraph” is normally translated “fiery serpent” or “poisonous serpent”. I was doing my best to avoid the deep end of the pool and yet here I find myself: dragons. Noel did a great job in his paper [Wastelands of the Seraphim](#) describing the seraphim. If you are one of Noel’s cereal readers some of this will look familiar since I am borrowing some snippets from his paper.



Except for Ezekiel, we have another witness approaching the bench, Isaiah. Isaiah specifically distinguished between your normal, average Joe snake and the fiery flying serpent. The Targum goes one step further and just point blank calls them basilisks. Look here:

*The burden of the beasts of the Negev: into the land of trouble and anguish, from whence come the young and old lion, the viper and **fiery flying serpent**, they will carry their riches upon the shoulders of young asses, and their treasures upon the bunches of camels, to a people that shall not profit them.*

Isaiah 30:6 (Cepher)

*They carry upon their beasts of burden on the way southward, into a land of oppression and distress, a place of the lion, and the whelps of the lionesses, and serpents, and of the **flying basilisks**—they carry upon the shoulders of their young cattle their treasures, and they bring upon the bunches of camels whatever is in their treasuries unto a people, that shall not profit them.*

Isaiah 30:6 (Targum)

Yes, cockatrice is another name for a basilisk. Have you ever wondered where the 'cock' part plays into the creature? Well, look at this depiction of a cockatrice by Marcus Gheeraerts (1520-1590) the Elder.



If that looks like a giant chicken combined with a serpent to you as well then my eyes are not fooling me. You might be wondering what the weasel is up to. Legend has it weasels were the natural enemy of the cockatrice. Weasels are said to be immune to the basilisk's gaze and venom, making it

the only natural predator of the king of snakes. Weasels were often sent into caves believed to harbor basilisks. There is plenty more where that came from.



Boy, were these weasels giving these cockatrices a hard time or what? You can also see how later on the same logic

applied to dragons. Weasels trump dragons or basilisk everytime. How should you tame your dragon? Answer: Weasels.

*I raised my eyes and saw one of them (watchers). **His looks were frightening like those of a viper, and his garments were multi-colored and he was extremely dark ...***

*And afterwards I looked and behold ... by his appearance and **his face was like that of an adder**, and he was covered with ... together, and over his eyes ...*

this Watcher: Who is he? He said to me: 'This Watcher ... and his three names are Belial, Prince of Darkness and Melkiresha'... vacat...And I said: 'My Lord, what rule ... [And he said to me] ... and all his paths are darkness, and all his work is darkness, and he is... in darkness ... you sec.

And he rules over all darkness... and I rule over all light and all...

The Vision of Amram

The watchers that came down were in all probability of the seraphim class of angels. Among them were Belial, the Price of Darkness. No, I am not talking about the CEO of Eskom and South Africa's falling powergrid but rather HaSatan. It seems in the case of seraphim, you have good ones and bad ones. Similar to the watchers. There were good ones and bad ones. Which I am starting to think, maybe watchers and seraphim are one and the same. HaSatan was obviously a baddy, yet you have those seraphim that are of top rank before the Most High.



As you can see the similarities between seraphim and Qetzalcoatl are astounding. We already made Genesis 6, bird-reptile-connection. From there it is not a long stretch to see how dinosaurs are in fact representing fallen seraphim or even HaSatan himself.

What about Behemoth and Leviathan?

Okay, I have been putting this off for a while now, here is my take on Behemoth and Leviathan. Let me just come out and say it; Behemoth is not a sauropod or stegosaurus or and dino for that matter. I am not going to cover the scriptures we have seen in Job, Psalms and Isaiah. You

know those and you have been over them I presume many times. Today I will hopefully provide some fresh evidence to the case file. The first comes from a rabbinic source:

*On the sixth day He brought forth from the earth a **beast (Behemoth)** which lies stretched out on a thousand hills and every day has its pasture on a thousand hills, and overnight (the verdure) grows of its own account as though he had not touched it, as it is said, " Surely the mountains bring him forth food ". The waters of the Jordan give him water to drink, for **the waters of the Jordan surround all the earth**, half thereof (flow) above the earth and the other half below the earth, as it is said, "He is confident, though Jordan swell even to his mouth ".*

This (creature) is destined for the day of sacrifice, for the great banquet of the righteous, as it is said, " He only that made him can make his sword to approach unto him ".

Pirkei DeRabbi Eliezer

There is much to be said about the above passage. Like the Jordan river was really thriving here and not trickling as it currently is. Even if the Jordan river, as we know it today, was in its prime does it come near the massive river surrounding the earth? Is it even the same river? Is the Zionist State of Israel the actual land of Israel? I don't know. I think this is rather describing a possible world pool at the ends of the earth heading into the Hidden/Invisible Wilderness. I could be wrong though. Now, where else do we read of the great banquet? If you said Isaiah 25 you are on the money.

Now the Lord of armies will prepare a lavish banquet for all peoples on this mountain;

A banquet of aged wine, choice pieces with marrow, And refined, aged wine.

And on this mountain He will destroy the covering which is over all peoples,

The veil which is stretched over all nations.

He will swallow up death for all time,

And the Lord God will wipe tears away from all faces, And He will remove the disgrace of His people from all the earth; For the Lord has spoken. And it will be said on that day,

"Behold, this is our God for whom we have waited that He might save us.

This is the Lord for whom we have waited;

Let's rejoice and be glad in His salvation."

For the hand of the Lord will rest on this mountain,

*And Moab will be trampled down in his place
As straw is trampled down in the water of a manure pile.*

Isaiah 25:6-10 (NASB)

Pirkei DeRabbi Eliezer goes on to explain that Behemoth will be slaughtered on the day of the great banquet of the righteous. In my opinion this is none other than the Isaiah 25-feast and the Wedding Supper of the Lamb which is supposed to happen on Pesach. Some might be waiting for the Feast of Trumpets for this event, however Jeremiah is the one that clearly says this will happen on Passover:

*For thus saith the Lord to Jacob; Rejoice ye, and exult over the head of the nations: make proclamation, and praise ye: say, **The Lord has delivered his people, the remnant of Israel.***

Behold, I bring them from the north, and will gather them from the end of the earth to the feast of the passover: and shall beget a great multitude, and they shall return hither.

They went forth with weeping, and I will bring them back with consolation, causing them to lodge by the channels of waters in a straight way, and they shall not err in it: for I am become a father to Israel, and Ephraim is my first-born.

Hear the words of the Lord, ye nations, and proclaim to the islands afar off; say, He that scattered Israel will also gather him, and keep him as one that feeds his flock.

the Lord has ransomed Jacob, he has rescued him out of the hand of them stronger than he.

And they shall come, and shall rejoice in the mount of Sion, and shall come to the good things of the Lord, to a land of corn, and wine, and fruits, and cattle, and sheep: and their soul shall be as a fruitful tree; and they shall hunger no more.

Then shall the virgins rejoice in the assembly of youth, and the old men shall rejoice; and I will turn their mourning into joy, and will make them merry.

I will expand and cheer with wine *the soul of the priests the sons of Levi, and my people shall be satisfied with my good things: thus saith the Lord.*

Jeremiah 38:1-14 (LXX)

Mount Tsiyon is where it's at! Sounds like plenty of meat will be on the menu. Also juicy fruits and wine. I hope that it is not too off topic and I will show you the reason why this is important soon enough. Let's carry on to see what Pirkei DeRabbi Eliezer has to say about Leviathan:

*On the fifth day He brought forth from the water the **Leviathan, the flying serpent**, and its dwelling is in the lowest waters ; and between **its fins** 1 rests the middle bar of the earth. All the great sea monsters in the sea are the food for the Leviathan. Every day it opens its mouth, and the great sea monster destined to be eaten that day (tries) to escape and flee, but it enters the mouth of the Leviathan ; and the Holy One, blessed be He, plays with it, as it is said, " This is the Leviathan, whom thou hast created to play with him " .*

Pirkei DeRabbi Eliezer

We know from Job 41 that Leviathan has scales. However, for it to be Leviticus 11-approved it also has to have fins. Lo and behold **it does have fins according to Pirkei DeRabbi Eliezer**. This had to be one unique creature being a flying serpent on top of that.

*And the Lord created the great tanins, **the lev-ya-than and his yoke-fellow which are prepared for the day of consolation**, and every living animal which creepeth, and which the clear waters had swarmed forth after their kind; the kinds which are clean, and the kinds which are not clean; and every fowl which flieth with wings after their kinds, the clean and the unclean. And the Lord beheld that it was good.*

Genesis 1:21 (Targum Jonathan)

The Targum also comes out and tells us that Leviathan is prepared for that great day of banqueting. Seems like Targum Jonathan and Pirkei DeRabbi Eliezer were on the same page. I am not sure if the “yoke-fellow” is in fact Behemoth. Behemoth was created on the 6th day according to other accounts, not the 5th as per the Targum.

That day has been prepared for the elect [as a day of] covenant; and for sinners [as a day of] inquisition. In that day shall be distributed [for food] two monsters; a female monster, whose name is Leviathan, dwelling in the depths of the sea, above the springs of waters; And a male [monster], whose name is Behemoth; which possesses, [moving] on his breast, the invisible wilderness.

*His name was Dendayen in the east of the garden, **where the elect and the righteous will dwell**; where he received [it] from my ancestor, who was man, from Adam the first of men, whom*

*the Lord of spirits made. Then I asked of another angel to show me the power of those monsters, how **they became separated**, how they became separated on the same day, one in the depths of the sea, and one in the dry desert.*

*And he said, You, son of man, are here desirous of understanding secret things. And the angel of peace, who was with me, said, **These two monsters are by the power of God prepared to become food, that the punishment of God may not be in vain...***

Enoch 58:6-13

It does seem like both creatures, Behemoth and Leviathan, were once united. That is probably a whole other study. Except for the Targum and Pirkei DeRabbi Eliezer, Enoch is giving us a similar breakdown of the scene. It is clear the two creatures become food for the saints. That means they have to be clean or *kosher* if you prefer. There you have your **location for the Isaiah 25 banquet, it is Mount Tsiyon in the Hidden/Invisible wilderness, the habitat of Behemoth.** There happens to be another rabbinic source us about the battle between Behemoth and Leviathan during the righteous' pilgrimage to Mount Tsiyon:

*...Rabbi Yudan ben Rabbi Shimon said: **The Behemoth and the Leviathan will engage in an animal fight before the righteous in the future.** Anyone who was not a spectator at the animal fights of the nations of the world in this world, will merit to see it in the World to Come. How will they be slaughtered? **The Behemoth will stab the Leviathan with its horns and tear it open, and the Leviathan will smash the Behemoth with its fins and stab it to death...***

*Rabbi Berekhya said in the name of Rabbi Yitzhak: The Holy One blessed be **He is destined to make a feast for His righteous servants in the future, and anyone who did not partake of unslaughtered carcasses in this world will be privileged to see it in the World to Come.** That is what is written: "And the fat of an unslaughtered carcass and the fat of a mauled animal may be used for all labor; but you shall not eat it". So that you will be able to partake of it in the future, therefore Moses cautions Israel and says to them: "These are the living beings that you may eat".*

Vayikra Rabbah 13:3

Talk about a highlights reel. We will be able, somehow, to see an action replay of how the smackdown between Behemoth and Leviathan went down. It does seem the Rabbis are divided on who will slaughter them. Will they slaughter one another or they both be slaughtered by Yahuah? This is where the saints were off to after being taken up from She'ol. Death was swallowed up in victory. And I think this to be more literal than I initially thought. The Isaiah 25 banquet brings an end to these two entities. Now, should these be physical beasts both need to be Leviticus 11 compliant. On rereading the above I am really considering them both to be of another nature, maybe something more esoteric. Maybe like death. That could be the reason death is swallowed in victory.

Conclusion

Now, I am not willing to die on the anti-dino hill, but this is what I have come to find. Just note I have not touched on cases like the behemoth, centaurs, satyrs and other fascinating creatures. I am just looking at what we call dinosaurs today. At this point it is difficult to draw a hard conclusion. **However, given the facts at our disposal there seems to be all indications that what we are being sold as dinosaurs, might very well be nephilim animal hybrids or chimeras or even an euphemism of Quetzalcoatl. Just like the world will not outright tell you Santa is Satan, Dinosaurs are Quetzalcoatl in camo.**

The original dino bones were described as giant human remains by their founders until the Rosies stepped in. The Rosies interjecting their narrative into scientific journals and the Smithsonian losing the best samples of dino bones, it has to make one suspicious. However let's hypothesize for a moment and say that it was in fact not human bones but another type of gigantic creature. What could it possibly be?

Using their 'science' to conveniently scrub the biblical truths, they are hiding something. I think most probably to cover the tracks of the fallen ones they intentionally or unintentionally worship. I think the Rosies knew when giants were uncovered by the archaeologists it was just a matter of time before the other hybrids would be dug up, including the plumed or feathered serpent.

Seraphim-like hybrids were most likely the offspring of HaSatan or maybe of the same angelic class. Dinosaurs were the coverup for when these beings roamed and reigned the earth. Of course there will be layers of truth to uncover here.

The other explanation is the prehistoric possibility. The first earth. The Genesis 1:1-world. In other words, dinos were present in a world before ours. They were destroyed by some sort of event during that age. But that is doubtful and lacks substantial evidence. In my opinion. The best way to end this might be the *Barney* theme song lyrics:

[Verse 1]

Barney is a dinosaur from our imagination

And when he's tall

He's what we call a dinosaur sensation

[Verse 2]

Barney's friends are big and small

They come from lots of places

After school they meet to play

And sing with happy faces

[Verse 3]

Barney shows us lots of things

Like how to play pretend

ABC's, and 123's

And how to be a friend


[Verse 4]

Barney comes to play with us

Whenever we may need him

Barney can be your friend too

If you just make-believe him!



There are so many red flags flaring up here. But I hope you can see how these lyrics relate to the unclean spirits and the fall of HaSatan. How the enemy wants to capture our imagination and turn it against the design and desires of Yah. To a large extent that is what dinosaurs have done. Captured our imaginations. And what is it exactly that we are imagining?

Yes, I think there is an agenda behind the 'invention' of dinosaurs. The next time you want to plug your children before the screen to watch *Barney*, *The Land Before Time* or *The Good Dinosaur*, reconsider. Whose story are the dinosaurs telling? You would not let HaSatan be the presenter and show your children how to bake cookies and do some arts and crafts with them, would you? Even if he were neatly suited with a tophat up you probably won't. Yet, is this not what we are doing when viewing a kiddie friendly version of the plumed serpent?