



# The 7000-Year Timeline Deception In Light of the Mandela Effect

*By* Noel Joshua Hadley

10/25/2022

THIS world is a very strange place to inhabit in case you haven't noticed already, and I happen to like it that way. [The Lion & the Lamb](#) is a book I published recently, and as you may have already guessed, it focuses upon the phenomenon known as the **Mandela Effect**. Some of you may be surprised to learn that I don't think the 'wolf and the lamb' passage in Yesha'yahu (Isaiah) 11:6 is necessarily wrong. Oh sure, 'the lion lying with the lamb' is vital to my own past experiences. There

is plenty of residue to back up our collected memories as well. That is to say, the wolf and the lamb never existed in my own reality until maybe a decade ago. It probably took me several years of processing these changes, backed with some deep dives into rarely read texts (many of which have recently come to light), to really begin and appreciate what *appears* to be going on.



They're both correct to their respective parallel realm. Just because Yesha'yahu wrote 'lion' in one reality and 'wolf' in another doesn't mean we have to choose an incorrect proposition. There's actually numerous other Biblical examples that I could give. Perhaps the best that I can think of at the moment is Delilah sending in a barber to cut Sampson's hair rather than doing the deed herself, as many of us remember. Subtle changes such as that one is precisely how Multiverse theory works. In any given day, there are hundreds of decisions which we are tasked with making. These decisions branch off into alternate realities in such a way that the parallels closest to us are subtle in their changes, whereas we might expect to see the most drastic changes the further out we go. I can very much see a reality where Delilah cut his hair and another where her conscious got the better of her and she couldn't do the deed herself. In both cases, the outcome was still the same. Sampson's hair was cut, and his eyes were gouged out.

The only thing I don't like about discussions surrounding the Mandela Effect is that it's been advertised as a new phenomenon when in fact I believe manipulation of our Construct, the subtle blending of parallel realities, has been ongoing for who knows how many generations.

That's where my thoughts have been going with the Biblical timeline debate. If you need a refresher, the modern Hebrew Old Testament which constitutes the bulk of our Bibles is referred to as the Masoretic Text whereas we also have the Greek LXX to contend with. Compare their genealogical timelines, why don't you? The LXX has A'dam's placement in the Garden in 5451 B.C., whereas the MT has him making an appearance in 4065. That's nearly a 1500-year difference. The same timelines have Yahusha HaMashiach being born in the whereabouts of 4,000 years after A'dam's placement in the Garden, according to the Masoretic, or 5,500 if we're going with the LXX.

Supposing the Masoretic timeline is true, Avraham was born in the year 1948, after A'dam's placement, that is. This has been pointed out repeatedly, as the modern nation of Israel was established in 1948, what are the odds? According to the same timeline, Shem outlived Avraham and also would have known Yitschaq and Ya'aqov. Shem would have known 11 generations of his descendants in total.

Not so with the LXX. Shem may have been a contemporary of Nimrod, but they would have both been long gone before Avraham was born. And so, unless you're 66-canon only, there is your tension. Because we have many extra-Biblical books which advocate both timelines. 'Yashar' and 'The Writings of Avraham' unapologetically side with the Masoretic, pitting Avraham in conflict with Nimrod whenever possible and introducing Shem as Melchizedek, whereas a host of others, like 'A'dam and Eve' and 'Besorah Niqodiymon' pointedly line up with the LXX, invoking Yahusha's Thousand-Year reign in 6000, 500 years after his initial arrival. Which of the two timelines are right or wrong and how do we decide which mile marker we've arrived upon?

One well noted observation is that the Jews began tampering with their own Torah after they rejected Yahusha as their Mashiach. Changing the timeline played a vital role to their own delusions. Showing why the LXX was based upon a credible Hebrew translation which was later scrubbed is another discussion entirely though. I'm not interested in having that one today. Though really, the Mandela Effect, with its various interwoven dimensions at play, is a tampering of reality, is it not?

Would it surprise you to learn that both 'Yashar' as well as 'The Writings of Avraham' are recent short season discoveries? That is ample enough proof for many of you that they are fakes or forgeries intended to confuse our roadside mile-markers, which very well may be true, and that what we need to do is huddle the books we are familiar with in Roman approved canon, but to that point I disagree. I had started out saying this world is a strange place to be and that I like it that way. Living within the tension of perceived contradictions as well as unanswered questions is something which I've also grown accustomed to.



I'm not proposing any answers for you today. My aim is to invoke the mere possibility that we're looking at two separate interwoven timelines

of parallel realities. It's almost as though our feet are straddling both of them, if you can buy that, and everyone is taking sides. **C.S. Lewis** already spoke about the various measurements of time within his 'Chronicles of Narnia' series, which I covered in part in my [Narnia Reset](#) paper. Do recall how the four Pevensie children left Narnia for England, resided there for the short span of a year, only to return and learn that thousands of years had passed. What if we have been given a glance into something like that? The equivalent would be two parallel events except that one extended 1500 years beyond the other. Everybody loves to read about these sorts of mind-bending realizations if they're catalogued in the safe space of the library, the fiction section, but then lose their cool the moment someone suggests it's a theoretical possibility. Then the name calling starts. Do they think Lewis was just sitting around with his contemporary Oxford intellectuals making this stuff up? Wait, don't answer that.

Our perception of reality within the Construct is a very fragile thing. Do understand, I'm not supporting the sin of the scribes or tampering of the *said* Matrix in any way shape or form if it is indeed our Controllers playing the part of the Demiurge, corrupting everything. I think it will do us well though to try to the best of our ability and comprehend, if not appreciate, what may very well be going on. As I stated earlier, I believe this tampering has been going on for a great deal longer than most have allotted for. And what I see are two distinct timelines. But then getting people to see the textual corruption is difficult enough.