

The Osama bin Laden Hoax

by Noel Joshua Hadley

Publication: 04. 25. 2022




AND then one day in September of 2001, **Osama bin Laden** set down his copy of '1984' long enough to gaze up at the News flickering upon the television screen and quip, "What the alijahim?"

That's *hell* in Arabic in case you were wondering. Being blamed for an attack upon the Pentagon with an airliner that mysteriously evaporated, that big hole in the farmers field in Pennsylvania, also evaporated, along with the people and the luggage, and then the collapse of the World Trade Center but not building 7 probably deserves a much greater reaction. What I'm trying to say is that he didn't do it. The United States created a fictional character out of a living person. He never stood trial but claimed his innocence. Osama bin Laden was a patsy.

It didn't start out that way though. In an earlier decade, bin Laden was the **CIA's contact man** in the Mujahideen when the Russians invaded Afghanistan. Back then he went by another name—a code name, really. **Tim Osman**. Just so that there is no confusion, Osman and bin Laden are the same person. But then it was decided that Mr. Osman was anti-CIA. That's a problem for the Clinton's and the Bush's and everyone else who subscribes to Establishment thinking, you know. Osman-Osama

knew too much, which made him dangerous. Best to turn the propaganda against him. And seeing as how 9/11 was already decades in the making, *clearly*, why not blame the man from Afghanistan? I'm telling you this now so that there will be very few if any surprises later.



Emmanuel Goldstein is a fictional character in [George Orwell's](#) 1949 dystopian novel *Nineteen Eighty-Four*. He is the principal [enemy of the state](#) according to the [Party](#) of the [totalitarian Oceania](#). He is depicted as the head of a mysterious and possibly fictitious dissident organization called "The Brotherhood" and as having written the book *The Theory and Practice of Oligarchical Collectivism*. He is only seen and heard on [telescreen](#), and may be a fabrication of the [Ministry of Truth](#), the State's [propaganda](#) department.


Speaking of 1984, agent Orwell's novel has an enemy-of-the-State going by the name of **Emmanuel Goldstein**. He's depicted as fictitious too. A dissident whose head is only ever seen and heard on telescreen but who, in all likelihood, is a fabrication of the Ministry of Truth and may already be dead, if he ever lived to begin with. Well, *well*, well. Goldstein seems to fit bin Laden's description nicely, don't he? By the by, Goldstein is a **Jooish name**, telling us who he is ultimately working for.



The *same* scenario plays out in the **Robert Downey Jr.** movie, 'Iron Man 3'. The villain this time around is comic book favorite the Mandarin, but with a twist. After a string of bombings claimed by the terrorist has left intelligence agencies bewildered by a lack of forensic evidence, Tony Stark, *aka* Iron Man, traces the Mandarin to Miami of all places. It is there where he discovers that the Mandarin is actually **an English actor** named Trevor Slattery, working for the Intel community while remaining oblivious to the actions carried out in his image.

The movie was released in May of 2013, two years to the month of Osama bin Laden's reported death. They just can't help themselves, slapping us in the face with this stuff. The defining difference however between the Mandarin and bin Laden is that Trevor Slattery was arrested and put to trial, being furthermore pleased with the Media attention, whereas Osama was fed to the fishes. It's the little differences. Intel is telling us that any true conspiracy would have been brought to the light, had Osama attempted to pull a Mandarin on us. But are you buying what they're stocking the shelves with? I'm not.

Another thing which seems certain is that Osama Bin Laden was dead before the year was out. We'll get to that. The Government strung everybody along for nearly a decade, but that was for the purposes of installing fear within us. Also, there was a presidential reelection needing tended to and, within another few years, an adrenaline boost in the polling charts. As you well know by now, it's all psychodrama.



THE PART where they tell us Osama bin Laden was killed in Pakistan by Navy Seals in 2011 was the plot to a Hollywood movie and has no correlation with reality. The real Osama most likely died due to complications resulting from kidney and liver failure sometime in December of 2001, but even his demise may be purposefully planted misinformation. For all I know, Osama bin Laden was already long gone before 9/11. A grave marker is an excellent starting point for creating a fictional character. Dead men tell no tales.

Others have speculated that he died among America's first bombing raids. The simple logic behind this is that Intel knew where he was at all times. You'll see for yourself soon enough that the CIA was speaking openly with him in the days leading up to the event. I'm mostly indifferent as to his fate. The underlying narrative which the Pentagon labored to scrub however involves a death by way of kidney and liver failure, and so, it's the one I'm going with. Yes, that too may be invented. But you know what else was fabricated? The Osama tapes.

Either way, Osama bin Laden had to be offed sooner rather than later. The leader of Al-Qaeda was too dangerous left alive, but not because of his uncanny ability to demolish three buildings with two airliners. No, the *real* Osama bin Laden was attempting to turn the tables and expose everything. Our storytellers couldn't have that. Then again, the world is

a stage. He may have been dancing the jig with Princess Diana by the end of the year—in the hoaxer hideaway. But let's not get distracted.

March 16, 2000 - Suspected terrorist Osama bin Laden reportedly is dying of kidney disease.

Bin Laden, 45, is suspected of masterminding the deadly 1998 bombings of two American embassies in Africa - which killed 224 people, including 12 Americans - and is believed to be hiding in Afghanistan.

The Hong Kong-based magazine *Asiaweek* reports kidney disease is starting to affect bin Laden's liver. It also says a close associate is trying to get a dialysis machine to help him.

CBS News may have been the first to report openly on bin Laden's condition—at least, in western Media. I'm not saying there aren't others. It's simply the earliest that I've read. As you can see with the headline, the suspected terrorist was reportedly dying of kidney disease. They base their claim on a Hong Kong magazine, but I'm not buying it. More than likely, CBS was shifting blame away from their CIA connections.

The weekly news magazine, quoting "a Western intelligence source who has been tracking him," said the Saudi Arabian millionaire remains mostly conscious and is able to talk and hold meetings.

The kidney disease reportedly had begun to affect bin Laden's liver and associates were trying to obtain a dialysis machine to stabilize his condition. But "the man is dying," *Asiaweek* quoted the source as saying.

A line or two down in the same article we discover how "a **Western intelligence source**" was tracking him. See what I mean? How nice of the CIA to report their findings to *Asiaweek* rather than western media.

Several days later, the Chicago Tribune picked up the same story. Tim Osman was reportedly suffering from a kidney and liver failure. The Tribune doesn't even shift responsibility to Hong Kong this time around. A straightforward reading has the Tribune being gifted with a "Western intelligence official" reporting to them. Wink-wink. The Media is the propaganda wing of the Military-Industrial complex, but let's not get sidetracked with my hang-ups. *Continuing.*