

Friday the 13th: The Knight Templar Hoax

By Noel Joshua Hadley

5/19/2020

SHORTLY before daybreak on the morning of Friday the 13th, administrators of King Philip's crown swept in upon Templar Knights, still hitting the hay for the night, and promptly arrested them. The year was 1307. Reports involve as many as 2,000 Templars being rounded up in one fell swoop, while more conservative retellings place the number closer to 700, of which only 50 to 100 were actual knights. I have even seen the number placed as high as 15,000—in one night. *Yeah right*.

This is the same King Philip the Fair of France who somehow managed to kidnap Pope Boniface VIII in 1303, with the help of hired goons, and hold him hostage for three consecutive days. LOL. I am giving you the official recollection of things and it's all scripted. The Templars did nothing to protect their beloved pope, by the by. It is town locals, we are told, who were finally able to repel King Philip's



child play. We are furthermore told there was no resistance from the

Templars in 1307, despite a network of fortifications. What is the purpose of a castle if you refuse to defend yourself?





These are the sort of sort of castles which Templars are said to have cozied up to, and in nearly every pocket of Europe. There was a great deal more than what I am sharing though most we are told have been conveniently destroyed after the fact. How thick do you suppose those walls are and how would one even serve up an arrest warrant for the inhabitants holed up behind them? They are not only well fortified, but I'm also not seeing anything resembling a siege. Not even Jacques de Molay, Grand Master, was protected. Most simply vanished into the night.

King Philip provided the paperwork and then had each slip sent out by couriers an entire month before the siege. Friday the 13th landed in October, and so, we're looking at mid-September for the dispatches. Their arrest warrant began with the *following* words:

Dieu n'est pas content, nous avons des ennemis de la foi dans le Royaume.

I'm detecting French. Definitely written by a Frenchman. Sounds serious as well. Snooty but serious—even if its illegible. Supposing you need that spelled out for you in English, then *here* you go.

God is not pleased. We have enemies of the faith in the kingdom.

Oh dear. I can't recall the last time I read anything so blatantly Orwellian, whereas the meaning of every word can be reversed. Though as I was saying, not one senior officer of the Templar Order warned any member of his brotherhood regarding the orders that were floating around. Even stranger is the significant number of Templars who slipped away in the harbor at La Rochelle. That would be the western coast of France. As many as 15,000 arrests were not carried out until sunrise so that Templar's might slip quietly into the night. An entire fleet disappeared and was never seen nor heard from again, causing many to speculate that they'd sailed to the New World, but I'm thinking it may have been the chunk of real estate which is presently known to us as the <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/journal.org/10.1007/journa

Mind you, I am giving the official story. Though even by *their* standards the Templar trials to follow was a Nuremburg stylized kangaroo court. In a past paper, I had claimed the entire incident to be a scripted hoax. The arrest and the disappearing act, the confessions to follow, and probably even Molay's torturous end. But I'm not so sure what to make of it anymore, all things considered.









I take it the promises of Yahusha HaMashiach are still in your peripheral vision. That should be a given. Tell me what you see then. The **Convent of Christ** in Tomar, Portugal has all the familiar markings of a Millennial Kingdom habitat. I have already been over the peculiars in my Kings and Priests of the Thousand-Year Reign paper and won't be revisiting them again. Take another look at the Portuguese castle grounds. It was built by the Templars. What's more, Tomar is claimed to have become the seat of the Templar's during the second quarter of the 13th century. In 1190, the same convent resisted the armies of caliph Abu Yusuf al-Mansur when they encircled it. Meanwhile, the very flamboyant French king achieved success with those flamboyant fingers of his, casting thousands of arrest orders to the wind. Ridiculous. Following the dissolution of the Templar Order, Pope John XXII transferred party membership to the Order of Christ so that the Portuguese and the Spanish could commence with their maritime exploration, as if that's not suspicious.

We are often reminded that **Freemasonry** descends from the ranks of the Templar and that the bad guys were being outed by the **RCC** of all people. *Is that so?* But then it would be another thing entirely to say our Controllers are simply the inheritors of a former Order and that the Templars themselves are being claimed as part of the controlled opposition game. Nobody does that better than Rome. The way the Pope hugs the Bible to his bosom is simply adorable if I do say so myself. I could see this going either way. In the very least it is worth considering that something far more is going on with the Templar narrative than anyone has dared to acknowledge.



The wartime propaganda couldn't become any more obvious, and in fact painfully so, once William Imbut, the Chief Inquisitor of France, arrived at the confessional booth. The Paris interrogations involved a total of 138 Templars and probably no shortage of torture tactics. The most popular routine apparently involved greasing the soles of their feet with fat or butter and then rolling them over a regulated fire until the roasting drove its victim raving mad. Another routine involved tethering someone's arms behind him and then raising the said person from the ground so that his shoulders were dislocated. It is no wonder then that the accusations against the Templars soon grew to a total of 127. The tortures were ongoing. The initial trials ran from October 19 to November 24, 1307, but the Pope was still investigating as of 1311.

The first tell-all derived from John of Foligny. His involved a windowless room, complete with a checkered floor, starry ceiling, and a box containing a skull, two thigh bones, and a white burial shroud. But then as the list grew the Templars were said to indorse the worship of cats, condoning theft, denying Christ, spitting upon the cross, indulging in carnal relations with fellow members of the order, wearing a belt which had been consecrated by touching a strange idol that happened to resemble a human head with a long beard, and kissing each other on the mouth, the naval, and the lower spine during secret ceremonies.

Grand Master Molay admitted to having renounced Christ and spitting near a cross, though not directly on it. He urged other Templars to confess, as well. The thing about Molay is that he answered only to the Pope of Rome. The man was 63-years old, and somehow, we are expected to believe he was given a mock-crucifixion in line with HaMashiach, which included being nailed to a door, before being laid in a conveniently harbored Masonic burial shroud. *Mm-hmm*. The Grand Master even lived to tell the story. They



then tortured him all over again in 1314, some seven years after the initial arrests were made. He was reportedly burnt at the stake on the Ile des Javiaux in the Seine. That very well may have been a hoax. The fiery end of the Templars could easily have been managed by King Philip's own

version of the Pinkerton crew dressed up like peasants for the canvas painting.

But again, I don't know what to believe anymore. Several years ago, I would have sat here and told you the entire downfall of the Templar was a staged performance from the start. I would have said our Controllers invented a straw man so that its intended audience might gasp at the flatulence, once the curtain was pulled. The Occultism was purposed to blindside normies to the truth in plain-plane sight, you see. Included among the accusations were ritual murders. I nearly forgot to tell you that part. Ritual murders, *hmmm*? Do you see what they did there? The demise of the Templars was a ritual murder if ever I've observed one, even if it was a scripted psyop. The Inquisitors projected when in fact practically everything they described points the fingers right back at our Roman Controllers.

Now I'm thinking we may be peering in upon an actual conspiracy against kingdom of Yahusha HaMashiach. More specifically, the occasion when the cards were laid on the table by the sons of HaSatan and their plot was executed. I am more than willing to be wrong about this one. It will likewise take a suspension of belief for some of my readers, admitting we've been dupped by the propaganda again. But then consider what we've already learned through the investigation. There is a priestly fighting force guarding the camp of Yah. We are often told the Templars were keeping safe passage to the grounds of the destroyed temple in Yerushalayim, long after the events of Revelation, but perhaps it wasn't really that Temple.





[UPDATE 9/28/23: THERE is always going to be opposition to any new idea put forward, though I think of these outings as a search for the Mysteries, and also, a study in controlled opposition. My recent suggestion in my Vesica Piscis discussion, that the two fingers pointing heavenward in Medieval artwork (that it referenced a fusion of polar energies, the male and female duality working in balance together, a sort of Age of Pisces doctrine) met some backlash, which is to be expected. A commentor invoked the Baphomet statue for their rebuttal, seeing as how he-she or them-they, whatever the Satanist statue's pronouns are, also has two fingers erected towards heaven. Perhaps I am assuming but here is the deductive argument as I hear it.

Iesus has two fingers pointing towards heaven. Baphomet has two fingers pointing towards heaven. Therefore, Iesus *is* Baphomet in disguise.

The thing about HaSatan is that he doesn't actually create anything. He simply twists and contorts Creation as well as the Mysteries into cheap knockoffs, lying, stealing, and ultimately destroying all that he touches. And so, which came first, the Iesus artwork or the Baphomet statue? The answer to the quandary seems pretty straightforward. The statue is rather recent. It was not made until 2015. But then the best-known modern image of Baphomet was not draw until 1856 by the short season French occultist Eliphas Levi. I haven't read his book, 'Transcendental Magic: Its Doctrine and Ritual,' by which the illustration is supposedly derived, but the name Levi sounds awfully familiar. He was a Joo.

Much is going on with the Baphomet image and I don't intend to cover it all here. It is envisaged as a winged hermaphrodite, containing a multitude of binary human-animal, male and female opposites, with a torch between its horns and a pentagram on its forehead. Its arms bare the Latin words SOLVE and COAGULA, which means to separate and join together. We see two serpents entwined around the Caduceus, the staff of Hermes. And of course, it is employing the use of two fingers to point towards heaven, with its other hand directing our attention towards the earth. Again, much is happening, though I am seeing many stolen, probably corrupted concepts, and very little originality in any of what we are given. Satanism comes across to me as a blah-blah bland, material-based, and agnostic spirituality with very little if anything satisfactory to offer, certainly nothing original, void of all nutritional value but the boring blowing out of birthday candles and dead-end worship of the self. The offense in the Baphomet image isn't simply in its intellectual theft, but its oversaturated strokes of malpractice. The entire image, including the name itself, is shameless propaganda.

Since we're on the subject, it is the word **Baphomet** which ultimately nabs my interest. Class is in session, pay attention and try not to sleep through this part. Mentions of Baphomet have been found in documents going all the way back to the 11th century, smack dab in the Dark Ages. The earliest that I can find is attributed to an early Crusader's letter dating to **1090**. Many suspect Baphomet is an old French corruption of the name **Mohammed**, which was Latinized to *Mahomet*. On this point, I am most definitely open to listen, mostly because I suspect something else entirely was going on with the so-called founder of Islam than what centuries of propaganda have led us to believe.







Interestingly enough, the name Baphomet has Islamic connections well beyond its founder. Medieval chroniclers as well as Crusaders referred to mosques as 'Bafumarias'. There it is. The very name Baphomet is embedded within them. And now I'm thinking I need to put together a presentation on the stunningly beautiful mosques of the Millennial Kingdom. Just look at them, having all the familiar markings of what has already been covered in other areas. Domes, pillars, grand towers, and arches. I am even detecting hollowed out octagonal shaped baptistries for engines. Like the Gothic cathedrals, so too were the Bafumarias aethereal energy harvesting centers.













For those of you who read my theories regarding The End of the Millennial Kingdom (it came to a close in the whereabouts of 1500 AD) you may recall how Templar castles were also shown without allotting the proper time to appreciate them. I simply dropped them into your lap, much like I'm doing now, and moving on with the conversation. I suppose that's the wonderful thing about photos on a page. Learn the intricacies of ancient tech, and the hidden Mysteries of the architecture begins popping out at you whenever and wherever it is cross-referenced.

The Templars of course come heavily into the Baphomet discussion. Especially since the Templars were accused by **King Philip** of France and


his fellow inquisitors of worshiping an idol named Baphomet. It was a few of the Templars who apparently confessed to the deed, among a laundry list of other flamboyant confessions, and those confessions came under torture. It's all Wartime propaganda. What if the Templars were in fact guardians of the realm? Why, that would make their Masonic Inheritors the Controlled Opposition.

It is quite possible that Baphomet is a trans literalization of 'Abu fihamat', an Arabic word which means 'Father of Understanding.' Some of you will still claim the Father of Understanding being referenced is none other than the mythical Baphomet statue, bouncing its way through the Templar kangaroo court. Well then, I am hesitant to do this though may have little choice but to invoke the Apostle Pa'al.

I pray that the Elohiym of our Adonai Yahusha Ha'Mashiach, the Father of glory, may give unto you the Ruach Chokmah and revelation in the knowledge of Him.

Eph'siym (Ephesians) 1:17

Chokmah is the Hebrew word for Wisdom. In Greek, the word for Wisdom is Sophia. The Spirit or the Ruach of Wisdom is none other than the Ruach HaQodesh, and she is feminine. We see here that the giving of the Ruach Chokmah is to give revelation and knowledge of Him, our heavenly Father, the Elohiym of our Adonai Yahusha Ha'Mashiach. There is your fusion of polar energies, the male and female duality working in harmonious balance together. Now, follow the breadcrumb trail from Baphomet to knowledge to Sophia. In order to do that, we will need something called the Atbash Cipher.



The Atbash Cipher is a Hebrew code which substitutes the first letter of the alphabet for the last, the second letter for the second to last, and so on. It was **Dr. Hugh Schonfield**, a translator of the Dead Sea Scrolls, who apparently made the Baphomet discovery. When the Hebrew letters spelling Baphomet are applied to the Atbash Cipher it generates

the Greek word '<mark>Sophia</mark>'. Sophia in Hebrew is שופיא, and the Atbash form is סופיא or baf'omet.

And there it is—the blatant propaganda. It was the Age of Pisces, a blending of the Masculine and the Feminine Divine, and potentially for the Templars, it was Wisdom, as embodied by the Ruach HaQodesh, whom they were representatives of. In the game of Monopoly: The Mysteries of Heaven Edition, the Baphomet property appears to be yet another cheap knock off of a far superior heavenly concept designed to keep you away from the Truth. Controlled opposition at its finest.]