



The 1849 California Gold Rush *Was* a Hoax

6/30/2020

by Noel Joshua Hadley

PART ONE:

The *Empty* City

THE year was **1849**. I highlighted it for you. That's when *it* happened, you know—in 1849. 1849 is the answer to the pop quiz and all you really need to know for the final. 1849. If need that spelled out for you, it's 1-8-4-9. Because San Francisco did not exist before 1849, give or take a year. And something about gold. *Oh*, haven't you heard? Everybody flocked to San Francisco in 1849 for gold. The reference to the gold and also the part about the flocking is important, though we mustn't forget the year. It's

why we even have a name for the gold rush prospectors, and we call them “forty-niners.”

And in case you have already forgotten, or perhaps history isn't really your thing, we have an NFL team called the 49ers. If nothing else, the 49ers will draw you into the cult of patriotism, which is also an important component to the indoctrination process. 1849. I'm starting to think the United States Corporate Gubbernment wants me to know that Nothing of importance happened in San Francisco *before* 1849, but that's probably none of my business.



NEAR EMPTY STANDS watch Bob Hoernschmeyer, Francisco 49ers in a wide sweep around end. Veteran guard Ed Forrest (34) finally cut him down. Dodger pick up 25 yards against the San



The Urbano Monte Map vs. *official*/History

Urbano Monte: 1587

Bay Area founded 1776

San Francisco named 1843

Before anybody accuses me of diddly-dawdling, I might as well get right to the point. As if the title wasn't descriptive enough already. Yes, the 1849 California Gold Rush was a hoax, but then again, the founding of the city of San Francisco doesn't fare much better in my opinion. It's a Millennial Kingdom city, totally preexistent from the official date they give us for its founding. What I am showing you is a slide from my Sacred

Word Publishing 2023 presentation. In that slide you will see two images and three dates. The photo of the Palace of Fine Arts is a definite contender for the MK narrative, existing decades if not entire centuries before the World Fair expositors assign its construction, but more on that some other time.




The map is the [Urbano Monte](#) and it's a thing of beauty. It's dated to **1587**, by the way, though just between you and me the 1 is an 'I' for Jesus, telling us that the year of its creation is actually the year of Jesus **587**. *Tomayto-tomahto*. The elephant in the room in regard to the Urbano Monte map is



that it shows an actual elephant being carried off by a bird. But then an even bigger elephant is in how Urbano unashamedly lists a great deal many pre-existing cities across North America, conveniently where the World Fairs were held. Among North America's cities, you should be able to spot the capitol city of **Quivira** of the Quivira Province easily enough, parked right alongside the two thatanka's. We are told that Quivira was a mythological city, one of the seven of gold which the conquistadors sought after, but come on. You and I both know Saint Louis when we see it. I'm thinking **Chiogiga** is none other than Chicago. There are dozens of other cities and it's a shame that they destroyed them because think of all the ongoing revenue from the World Fairs.



You will get cute and tell me the cities of the plane are Native Americans villages. Amazing then how **San Francisco** is listed among them. I wonder which tribe that one belonged to. Miwok, is it? I will remind you for the third time that the date on the map is **1587**. Official history claims the city on the bay wasn't founded until **1776**. *Oops*. But even then, its name was **Yerba Buena**. It wouldn't become San Francisco until **1835** or thereafter. Story has it that its first inhabitant, **William Richardson**, pitched camp out of a ship's sail on a sandy rise near what is now Grant and Clay. Yeah right. It wouldn't even receive the official San Fran title until January 30, **1847**, in a perfect symmetrical build-up to the Masonic gold rush hoax. How very strange then, considering that a Spanish era map shows a city along the coast called San Francisco. Call me crazy but 16th-century mapmakers weren't prophets. Somebody is lying.



Speaking of lies, here we have a cartoon drawing of what the city of San Francisco supposedly looked like in **1849**. There is that year again. I'm seeing random wooden buildings and people on a camping trip in the