

Cham's Mystery Children: Elohiym Among Men

By Noel Joshua Hadley

Contents

Cham's Mystery Children: Elohiym Among Men	1
Introduction: The Sin of Cham	3
THE SIN OF CHAM FINALLY EXPLAINED	5
WILL THE REAL CHAM PLEASE STAND UP? THE MYSTERY CHILDREN FINALLY REVEALED	18
	27



Introduction: The Sin of Cham

CHAM had sex with his father's wife. *There* it is. Hopefully, you weren't drinking from your morning coffee or chewing on an overpriced blueberry scone while reading that, as I would have either choked or spit up all over the computer screen. Sometimes I struggle figuring out how to start these conversations. But then I got to thinking, everything hinges upon Cham's sin. The topic after all is Cham's *many* children. The guy was a rabbit, and it was nearly always springtime. Names will be dropped. Familiar names. Including those names associated with divine beings. People as well as elohiym whom you never suspected to be his offspring much less real historical figures will be put forward. Therefore, Cham's sin needed to get out of the way. Don't worry, I will explain.

Spinoffs have been in order around here as of late. I figure this is the *second* one to my 7,000-Year Timeline Deception paper. But also, because I only write within my own exclusive multiverse, this is a simultaneous continuation of the <u>Serpent Seed</u> saga. That's two birds with one stone, right there, Choose your path wisely. The *first* Timeline Deception spinoff

was a little ditty which I like to call <u>The Many Lives of Nimrod</u>. In that one I showed you how it was entirely possible to have Nimrod interacting with Avraham when in fact the LXX separates them by a thousand years. No, I won't spoil it for you. That's something you're going to have to read for yourself. The sleight of hand may slip out later on during this exercise, and in fact is likely to happen sooner rather than later now that I think about it, but I drop these links for a reason. I *said* this was a spin off, did I not? Reading a paper such as this one without regarding the parent is like obsessively watching 'Laverne & Shirley' or 'Joanie Loves Chachi' but then never watching a single episode of 'Happy Days.' My Z-Generation and iGen readers probably don't have the faintest clue what I'm talking about. I'm already losing a segment of my audience. *Oh no.* The best policy in situations such as these is to keep on moving.

This time around the hope is to push my Nimrod research forward and to its next logical conclusion. There is always that one person who cannot agree to anything unless the Scholars have signed off on it. Whether it is the dating of books or what may be constituted as a Scriptural authority, the dreaded "pseudo" stamp, much less the delirium of the Hellenization virus which has been wedged into historical and dare I say *fictional* geological column. Another way of saying this is that many will claim they take the words of Elohiym over man but then very few can agree on the scope of Elohiym's words seeing as how men have been so busy at work classifying them into categories.

Regarding Cham's children, I will be criticized for dropping the names that I do because they don't always match up with the modern historians. The modern historians you see have already assigned for us what geological columns the names of Chan's children belong to. Not the ancient historians though. No, the ancient historians had hundreds if not thousands of volumes of records at their disposal but they're all wrong, apparently. It is somehow the modern Institutions, with the few remaining texts conveniently available to them, which know better than everybody. Need I remind you again that this is the 7,000-Year Timeline Deception which you are reading from. There is no desire on my part to leave you hanging. Before this is over, I will show you what I believe is happening.



The Sin of Cham Finally Explained

MANY of you have scoured the Intel-net for an answer regarding Cham's sin, and *now* you've found it. I dropped it in the introduction if you want to know. How many people just skim right over the introduction nowadays? Oh fine. I'll say it again, Cham had sex with his father's wife. Everyone else either beats around the bush, outright denies it, or simply devotes their entire life to keeping you in the dark on the issue. It is the very job of gatekeepers to over-populate the halls of academia and drown out the competition with the loudest speaking voice. Diplomas are printed and framed in such a way that you haven't the faintest clue that the sons of Qayin rule the world. But if you do, they won't grant you one. And no, I'm not bitter. Time is short and the *whispered* things need brought out into the open. Everybody may want to rule the world, like the Tears for Fears song, but the Puppet Masters belong to a singular family.

You probably noticed that I said his *father's wife* rather than *mother*, and that is because we're being technical rather than figurative. Noach's wife may have been Shem and Yapheth's biological mother, but there was no relation between she and Cham whatsoever. I covered this already in my

Serpent Seed paper. More homework. Most people aren't remotely aware that Noach's wife *did* have a third child though, and it wasn't Noach's. If you're counting, that makes four sons in all. The story regarding Noach's drunkenness as it is described for us in the Hebrew Masoretic is known, but very few seem to realize it is that event when it happens. It's there. Too many people have blinked and missed it over the years. Read it again.

²⁰ And Noach began to be an husbandman, and he planted a vineyard: ²¹ And he drank of the wine and was drunken; and he was uncovered within his tent. ²² And Cham, the father of Kena'an, saw the nakedness of his father, and told his two brethren without.

²³ And Shem and Yapheth took a garment, and laid it upon both their shoulders, and went backward, and covered the nakedness of their father; and their faces were backward, and they saw not their father's nakedness.

²⁴ And Noach awoke from his wine and knew what his younger son had done unto him. ²⁵ And he said, Cursed be Kena'an; a servant of servants shall he be unto his brethren. ²⁶ And he said, Blessed be Yahuah Elohiym of Shem; and Kena'an shall be his servant. ²⁷ Elohiym shall enlarge Yapheth, and he shall dwell in the tents of Shem; and Kena'an shall be his servant.

Bere'shiyth (Genesis) 9:20-27

I took the red marker out on the fundamental details. The first thing we see is that Cham, "the father of Kena'an, saw the nakedness of his father." It's the little details. Really, it took me years to notice it myself. Cham being the "father of Kena'an" is directly associated to his *seeing* "the nakedness of his father." And there you have it. Kena'an is a result of Noach's nakedness. Confused how that works? No, I'm not changing the rules of sex education. We're getting to it.

Cham immediately leaves his father's tent, after *fathering* Kena'an, and tells his two brothers about the committed deed. That would have been his way of saying, "I'm the Big Boy. I'm in charge now. Patriarch of humanity

am I. Head honcho. VIP. Top dog. Special Sauce and The Boss. So, from now on, you'll have to do what I say," or something to that effect. I'm paraphrasing. Way to go, kicking Noach while he's down, Cham. Shem and Yapheth respond to Cham's *cock-a-doodle* proclamation by respectfully covering their father's nakedness. The shame that has come upon him, that is. While their father *and* mother are still passed out upon the bed, both brothers lay a garment upon their shoulders and walked in backwards, so as not to see *her*.

The same account can be found in Yovheliym (Jubilees).

⁶ And he rejoiced and drank of this wine, he and his children with joy. ⁷ And it was evening, and he went into his tent, and being drunken he lay down and slept, and was uncovered in his tent as he slept. 8 And Cham saw Noach his father naked and went forth and told his two brethren without. 9 And Shem took his garment and arose, he and Yapheth, and they placed the garment on their shoulders and went backward and covered the shame of their father, and their faces were backward. 10 And Noach awoke from his sleep and knew all that his younger son had done unto him, and he cursed his son and said: 'Cursed be Kena'an; an enslaved servant shall he be unto his brethren.' 11 And he blessed Shem, and said: 'Blessed be Yahuah Elohiym of Shem, and Kena'an shall be his servant. 12 Elohiym shall enlarge Yapheth, and Elohiym shall dwell in the dwelling of Shem, and Kena'an shall be his servant.' 13 And Cham knew that his father had cursed his younger son, and he was displeased that he had cursed his son. And he parted from his father, he and his sons with him, Cush and Mizraim and Put and Kena'an.

Yovheliym (Jubilees) 7:6-13

It *says* Noach cursed his son. Cham wasn't the son whom he cursed though. So, which son was it, Shem or Yapheth? It wasn't them either. The son whom he cursed is told to us, and that person is Kena'an. Supposing *I do* have it all wrong concerning Cham's sin, and there was no