

The End of the Millennial Kingdom

By Noel Joshua Hadley

Contents

THE END OF THE MILLENNIAL KINGDOM	
LEAVING NEVERLAND: AN INTRODUCTION TO THE END 3	
THE KNIGHT TEMPLAR HOAX	
THE GREAT FAMINE OF 1315	
THE BLACK PLAGUE 23	
THE SHROUD OF TURIN AND THE LAST TEMPLAR	
WASTELANDS OF THE SERAPHIM	
MICHELANGELO, THE DIVINE ONE	
Michelangelo, <i>'The Divine One'</i> and the House of Medica 	
STATUES OF THE OLD WORLD UNVEILED	
The End of the Kingdom Republic in the <i>Star Wars</i>	$o_{ m R1}$



Leaving Neverland: An Introduction to The End

TO be forthright and honest I don't even know where to begin an investigation such as this one, nor do I have insiders' knowledge of where it is headed. I get asked the question all the time though. "If it is true what you are saying that we are living in the short season of deception, then what happened to the Millennial Kingdom?" I don't know is my best answer. "But I thought it was eternal," is the other objection. *It is.* You also just called it the Millennial Kingdom. That was your first mistake. The very titled prophesizes a Thousand-Year reign rather than an ongoing one. Meaning it begins until the ticker winds down, *ding*, signaling the end of an era. You can't have it both ways.

The End of the kingdom was probably the first question I had when beginning to suspect that the Thousand-Year Reign had already happened. How could the Edenic vision fail all over again? And so, I suppose you could say this isn't my first rodeo. If we're being technical,

then everything you are about to read (or perhaps not read) is a spin-off from my very first Millennial Kingdom write-up. Wastelands of the Seraphiym. I have since updated that paper and will continue to do so. Read it if you haven't already. Much of what I aim to say will undoubtedly be predicated upon your understanding of the spiritual realm's part in all of this. Don't say I didn't warn you. Are you seriously still reading? I have just counted several sentences between the provided link and this one. The further you get into this the less likely you are to follow the bunny rabbit trail, thereby resolving some unresolved inquiries. That last claim is probably a statistic. Oh fine. Be confused then and don't read it. [EDIT: I went ahead and added the entire Wastelands of the Seraphiym research project to this here paper. You're all out of excuses.]

I will say this again so as to erase any confusion, some of which I am beginning to think is intentional. The kingdom of heaven *is* eternal and well beyond the overreach of the Serpent whereas the Thousand-Year reign of Mashiach upon the earth is the story of humanity in a nutshell. Even if Satan is imprisoned and incapable of temptation, people still choose sin. Despite basking in the warm light of Elohiym, the children created in his image will look to the fork in the road ahead and take the wide road of rebellion. They will desire the curse rather than the blessing and Yahuah Elohiym has a habit of handing them over to it. I could offer Scriptural references as proof but then I might as well just hand you the Bible and tell you to read it, starting from the opening cover. Read it through until the end. Be sure not to skip any parts. Because *doing away* with Yahuah's commands is quite literally a human pastime and the overriding plot of the Book which billions of people claim to adhere to.

²⁰ Moreover, the **Torah** entered, that the offence might abound. But where sin abounded, grace did much more abound: ²¹ That as sin has reigned unto death, even so might grace reign through righteousness unto eternal life, by Yahusha Ha'Mashiach our Adonai.

Romans 5:20-21

An impromptu decision was made to offer you the passage which has recently become a favorite of mine. Many Christians will read the closing statements to the fifth chapter of Romans and insist Pa'al is casting the Torah into a negative light. He isn't. The total opposite is true. He is casting those who rebel against Yahuah Elohiym when claiming it has been done away with in a bad light. The context of Pa'al's statement is Sinai. Korah's rebellion rings a bell. That is what the Torah does. It causes men to rebel. Yahuah's instructions in righteous living is not the cause of men's woes, man is, so do us all a favor and stop blame shifting.

Regardless, many paint the portrait of a barbarous elohiym who brings his children out into the desert simply so that he might set them up to fail, big time, via the Torah, which is perceived as some sick and sadistic social experiment. By that same logic, we are often told Jesus arrived to let us in on a little secret. They were trying too hard in listening to the Demiurge character, and it is only the prophets and a select few others who understood it. God apparently wasn't being serious when telling us to guard his commands, after all. All the more reason to rid ourselves of the Torah. And anyways, wasn't the Law nailed to the cross or something—how does that go again?

There are of course numerous variations to the "law is done away with" doctrine. A flavor for every month. And yet, however one cuts ties with Elohiym's instructions and to what denominator, those who revel in their lawlessness never seem to appreciate the irony. Pa'al is not stating the Torah is the problem. No way, no how. Quite the opposite. The only side-effect to the Torah is that it exposes man's heart. Go ahead and read Exodus for yourself. The Torah itself proves that rebellion increases whenever and wherever man is pressed to conform to Elohiym's righteousness. Sure, man wants to be declared righteous, but more often than not on their own terms. Certainly not as the Torah describes righteousness, because at the end of the day, the true character of Yahuah the Most-High Elohiym of Yashar'el is repulsive to the obstinate sinner, being unwilling to repent of his wrongs and conform.

In Truth, the Torah must be done away with by nearly every successive generation in order to justify rebellion as... wait for it... God's will for their life rather than Satans. How do you suppose the Millennial Kingdom rebels phrased it? I'm sure there was no short supply of doctrinal statements. Pa'al's conclusion makes the contrast even more stark. Seeing as how the offences against Elohiym only multiply after the Torah is confronted, leading of course to death as it always has, do you really think

Yahusha's reign of righteousness would also be caught holding hands with a rebellion against his Father? Try not to let cognitive dissonance win the day. And so, that is what I am saying when claiming the Millennial Kingdom came to an end. The Torah was done away with. Release the kraken.



The first thought that sprung to my mind in addressing all of this believe it or not was the **Steven Spielberg** movie 'Hook'. You may recall the ending of the outing, wherein **Robin Williams** wakes up by a statue of Pan in the park. *Sigh. I know.* I am asking you to set aside the obvious connections between Peter Pan and the Pirates and the Lost Boys of Neverland with pedophilia and rape and all-around human trafficking for the moment. It's like I can't mention one without the other or my readers will begin thinking I've started up a steady blue pill diet. Not going to happen. Still red pilling it for breakfast, lunch, and dinner as well as dessert. The scene I am thinking of doesn't help the situation either. The pirate Smee played by **Bob Hoskins** is clearly seen sweeping up as if to keep a lookout on the next potential lost boy if you get my meaning.

Again though, I am asking you to set that aside for the moment and try to wrap your head around the profound significance of Pan waking to consciousness. The last time something like this happened, the boy who swore never to grow up altogether forgot that he had been the Pan Man. The forgetting part is central to the plot of the movie. I have long asked myself how something like that could happen. Forgetting one's former life seemed to require a leap in logic, but only because I did not understand the esoteric qualities of the **J.M. Barrie** narrative let alone the reality of

our own former standing as a son of Elohiym in the preexistence game. The reason why Peter Pan so easily forgot he was the Pan Man in another life and ultimately betrayed himself by welcoming what he swore never to become, an adult, has much and everything to do with the spiritual reality of Neverland. It exists on a spiritual plane.



The 2003 Peter Pan movie really seems to nail it, the astral projection element of Neverland travel, as Pan and Tink take the children on a voyage through the cosmos. First star to the right and straight on till morning, did I get that right? Sounds Masonic if you ask me. But even the Hook outing manages the same approach. Do you recall what Tinkerbell told Robin Williams? In the closing scene, that is. Here is her farewell message, verbatim.

"You know that place between sleep and awake? That place where you still remember dreaming? That's where I'll always love you, Peter Pan. That's where I'll be waiting."

What Tinkerbell is describing is precisely where Peter Pan finds himself at that very moment. He is in the in-between place, bookended by the dream and the waking. The adventure is still remembered but the realities of his life in London are quickly coming into focus. That pits him in a very dangerous position because, to the careful observer, he is capable of forgetting his doppelganger god-self all over again, so long as he remains unfocused or lacking discipline. The next few minutes will be of the utmost importance. Details will very likely slip away, and in a worst-case scenario, all will be lost.

It's why we forget nearly every dream almost immediately after waking. So far as I'm concerned, the missing memory mystery as it relates to our nightly rotunda of dreams has never been adequately answered. Changing levels of acetylcholine and norepinephrine during sleep have something to do with it, not to mention that we have several different fluctuating brain waves; gamma, beta, alpha, theta, and delta. That's just another fancy way of saying the information is filed away somewhere in our consciousness but accessing it is often beyond our know-how.

The shortest explanation may have more to do with a lack of discipline rather than anything else, which is what I'm proposing with the Millennial Kingdom. The Thousand-Year Reign of Messiah wasn't simply spiritual, nor was it merely physical. It was both planes of existence overlaid upon and cohabitating with the other. Or you might say a fulfillment of the prayer, On earth as it is in heaven. What happens when the spiritual component is removed? Yes, that is what I am suggesting. That the Kingdom came to the end of its ticker, and was prophesied to do so, because the people chose rebellion rather than obedience. In Pan terms you might even say they desired to grow up and join the pirates. Wishes when aligned with the desires of one's heart *do* come true. I doubt this is the last time that I will remind you, it's quite literally the story of the Bible.

And so, what happened when the lights turned off? I'm not really sure on that one. The Controllers got into position on the stage most likely. There were also new props set about, seeing as how this was the next act in the play. The old relics of the kingdom remained. They would need new explanations for the normies, but that as we know was accomplished. Particularly since the lights did turn on again, and when that happened the world awoke and forgot all about it.