



## Muhammad and the Millennial Kingdom

By Noel Joshua Hadley

1/10/2024

AH-HA! Is how I wanted to begin this deliberation, but alas, **Muhammad**'s mile-marker on the [Timeline](#), as well as his activity within the confines of the Millennial Kingdom of Yahusha HaMashiach, is more of a *hmmmmmm* at the moment. Probably not what you wanted to hear. What some of you wanted me to say is that the Quran was written by the **Jesuits** along with the rest of history after the Thousand-Years had come to an end and that there's no reason to sweat it. Well, I don't dance that jig. I'm of the opinion that everything within the Media is a lie and that our Illuminati history books are on equal footing, but then consider that propaganda would lose its potent

toxicity if there were not a Truth needing twisted and contorted for the normies. At the end of the day, I don't know if the Quran was written by Muhammad when they say it was written, between 610 and 632, or if it was written and then re-written by the Committee, perhaps even compiled over the centuries by the Clean-up Crew, which very likely may be the case. None of us know. I wasn't there and neither were you.

You should at least know that I tracked down a copy of the Quran at the local used bookstore and then gave it a thorough read. I would be a fool to criticize something without first offering a thoughtful glance, and to be honest, I cracked it open in hopes of enlightenment. I really did. I wanted to like the Quran. I wanted to walk away with the personal knowledge of how misunderstood Muslims truly are, and in many ways, they are. Also, to his credit, Muhammad is the sort of writer who gets to the point, which I like. The only problem is that Muhammad wrote a book which not only got to the point, but one in which he pretty much stayed on that point like a broken record.

<sup>21</sup> **People, worship your Lord, who created you and those before you,** so that you may be mindful [of Him] <sup>22</sup> who spread out the earth for you and built the sky; who sent water down from it and with that water produced things for your sustenance. Do not, knowing this, set up rivals to Allah. <sup>23</sup> If you have doubts about the revelation We have sent down to Our servant, then produce a single sura like it—enlist whatever supporters you have other than Allah—if you truly [think you can]. <sup>24</sup> If you cannot do this—and you never will—then **beware of the Fire prepared for the disbelievers, whose fuel is men and stones.** But if you do not—and you will never be able to—then **fear the Fire, whose fuel is men and stones, prepared for the disbelievers.**

The Cow: 21-24

We're only three pages in and the message is straightforward. Believe the message of the Prophet or go to Hell. All disbelievers are fuel for the fire. Worship Allah or you'll be sorry. Hell awaits. Passages such as what I have just given you go on and on and on and on to the point of shell shock. After having combed through the Quran, can you blame me for suspecting these are the rants of an MK-Ultra victim? For added clarification, what am I supposed to believe again, so as to avoid Hell? It's already been laid out. Telling you to worship Allah alone is the same thing as saying stop worshipping Yahusha HaMashiach, King of Kings and Lord of lords. Yup, I've just cracked the code. That's the Quran in a nutshell.

Again, would it surprise you to learn that my investigation relies upon the Quran in its original packaging, either as a non-corrupted first edition (which we no longer have) or a series of propaganda pamphlets, making the rounds on the magazine racks in the whereabouts of when they claim it did? Give or take a century. I went into this with several working theories, though at present, that's the story I'm going with. The Quran was war propaganda aimed at rewriting His-Story, brainwashing the normies, and ultimately pulling citizens out of a covenant relationship with YAHUAHA, which was furthermore renewed through Yahusha HaMashiach during the fig tree generation. If you don't believe me or like my conclusions as to the timing of its publication, then feel free to pick up the Quran and read it for yourself. Afterwards, write your own doctoral thesis. I for one will continue developing this point. Here is another one.

<sup>54</sup> **We gave the descendants of Abraham the Scripture and wisdom—and We gave them a great kingdom—**<sup>55</sup> but some of them believed in it and some turned away from it. Hell blazes fiercely enough. <sup>56</sup> **We shall send those who reject Our revelations to the Fire.** When their skins have been burned away, We shall replace them with new ones so that they may continue to feel the pain: Allah is mighty and wise. <sup>57</sup>

**As for those who believe and do good deeds, We shall admit them into Gardens graced with glowing streams and there they will remain forever.**

They will have pure spouses there, and We shall admit them into cool refreshing shade.

Women 54-57

The writer of the Quran had quite the challenge before him. On one hand, he couldn't outright reject the Torah and the Wisdom given to Yashar'el, as well as the great kingdom which had visibly manifested in its wake. Why deny the obvious reality around him? Another locale which Muhammad made frequent use of was the **Garden of Paradise**, a destination for the qodeshiym of Yashar'el. That's a reference to the Blessed Land, detailed in my other book, [The Hidden Wilderness](#). What Muhammad needed is for the residents of that said kingdom to reject their citizenship, thereby entering the Garden by another route. To do so, a fork in the road was offered. **Yishma'el** happened to be Avraham's other son through Hagar and made for the perfect **patsy**. And of course, the Torah would have to be done away, as is often if not always the case, with at the threat of hellfire. Even modern Christianity tries their empty threats at that one, claiming obedience sends you there, *oh sigh*. But then there is the biggest fish of all to fry, Yahusha HaMashiach.

<sup>88</sup> **The disbelievers say, 'The Lord of Mercy has offspring.'** <sup>89</sup> How terrible is this thing you assert: <sup>90</sup> it almost causes the heavens to be torn apart, the earth to split asunder, the mountains to crumble to pieces, <sup>91</sup> that they attribute offspring to the Lord of Mercy. <sup>92</sup> **It does not befit the Lord of Mercy [to have offspring]:** <sup>93</sup> **there is no one in the heavens or earth who will not come to the Lord of Mercy as a servant.** <sup>94</sup> He has counted them all: He has numbered them exactly <sup>95</sup> and

they will each return to Him on the Day of Resurrection all alone.

Miryam 88-95

According to the Prophet of Allah, only a disbeliever would say Yahusha HaMashiach was the Son of Alahayam. By the way, I'm not triggered. People get into a hissy fit because the Quran straight up says that Yahusha is not the Son of Alahayam without understanding the greater argument. To the writer of the Quran, there is no heavenly Father. No, Allah may be the Creator of heaven and earth, but the relationship between Allah and men is that he is Lord and men are his servants. Not even the angels regard him as father. There are no adoptions, no intimacy to be had. Therefore, to have a prophet like Yahusha claim a heavenly Father is ludicrous. That's not what I'm claiming, mind you. Some people have short attention spans. The present conversation revolves around the claims of the Quran regarding Yahusha, the Son of Alahayam. Well, here is what Scripture has to say on the issue of fatherhood.

<sup>8</sup> But now, O YAHUAHA, you are our Father; we are the clay, and you are our potter; and we all are the work of your hand.

Yesha'yahu (Isaiah) 44:8

Seems pretty straightforward if you want my opinion on the matter. Can't get any clearer than that. YAHUAHA was the Father of Yashar'el, implying any number of sons. Muhammed didn't like that. And so, returning again to the Quran, here is what else we read.

<sup>79</sup> No person to whom Allah had given the Scripture, wisdom, and prophethood would ever say to people, 'Be my servants, not Allah's.' He would say, 'You should be devoted to Allah because you have taught the

Scripture and studied it closely.’<sup>80</sup> **He would never command you to take angels and prophets as lords.**  
How could he command you to be disbelievers after you had devoted yourselves to Allah?

### The Family of Imran 79-80

You see, already we’re being repetitive, and in only a few short passages. I personally found the Quran to be a copy and paste affair, albeit this particular passage resides on the passive aggressive side of the aggressor scale. Stop worshipping Yahusha HaMashiach because Allah is not anyone’s father. Should you persist, then you’re a disbeliever on the highway to Hell. If only Islam would take Muhammed’s advice and treat Muhammed as a messenger rather than Lord, but I digress. Meanwhile, we shan’t forget the words of the Son of ALAHAYAM when he said:

<sup>27</sup> **All things are delivered unto me of my Father: and no man knows the Son, but the Father; neither knows any man the Father, save the Son, and he to whomever the Son will reveal him.**

### Besorah Mattithyahu (Matthew) 11:17

Is it even possible to get more straightforward than that? No man knows the Father in heaven save the Son and also those whom the Son will reveal. Sounds to me like the Son didn’t reveal the Father to the Prophet, *oops*. I’m detecting a spirit of jealousy from the Prophet. To quote Muhammed, “You should be devoted to Allah because you have taught the Scripture and studied it closely.” Well then, either Muhammed hasn’t read the Scripture or he’s intending an audience that hasn’t read it for themselves.

Here, let me sum up the Quran for you again. The Quran was war propaganda aimed at brainwashing the normies, ultimately pulling

citizens out of a covenant relationship with YAHUAHA, which was furthermore renewed through Yahusha HaMashiach during the fig tree generation. It was also an attempt at scrubbing His-Story in real time. For that claim, I will ask you to consider the *following* passage.

<sup>10</sup> [Prophet], **watch out for the day when the sky brings forth clouds of smoke for all to see.** <sup>11</sup> **It will envelop the people.** They will cry, ‘This is terrible torment!’ <sup>12</sup> Lord relieve us from this torment! **We believe!** <sup>13</sup> How will this sudden faith benefit them? When a prophet came to warn them plainly, <sup>14</sup> they turned their backs on him, saying, ‘He is tutored! He is possessed!’ <sup>15</sup> We shall hold the torment back for a while—you are sure to return to Us. <sup>16</sup> And on the Day We seize them mightily We shall exact retribution.

Quran, Smoke 10-16

Really, I should have started out with this one. So good. Supposing you haven’t gotten around to reading my report on [536: Year of the Blue Reset](#), and I keep asking you to, then you’re probably already lost. The rest of you have done your homework and should be falling out of your chair right about now, as I did. The footnote at the bottom of my Quran claims that some Scholars have interpreted this passage to indicate an actual event which Muhammad experienced within his lifetime. I take that to mean I wouldn’t be the first to suggest the obvious. **536** is being described. In the very least, [The Apocalypse](#) was rehearsed to the writer by someone who managed the experience.

Considering all this impending Fire talk, the Prophet very likely may have been writing to an audience with the aim of making them forget about what had already occurred a generation or two earlier, maybe even their own. Believe me when I say rewriting His-Story in real time is a perfectly achievable goal. [The Mandela Effect](#) has taught me that.

The normies will acknowledge the new reality and reject the old at the flip of a light switch.



To understand what I'm getting at, you will need to recall a discussion we had some years ago regarding the Bolshevik Revolution. Ask any historian and they will readily admit, when faced with the reality of the **Soviet Union**, the rewriting of history became a recognized institution during the **20th century**. Given absolute control over the literature as well as the Media, the memories of hundreds of millions of people were rewired.

Soon after Stalin achieved power, rival **Leon Trotsky**, founder of the Red Army and a monumental force behind the Bolshevik Revolution, simply disappeared from the public eye. You can see him in the provided picture. He's standing directly under the soap box which **Vladimir Lenin** is preaching from, kind of like a 'Where's Waldo?'. What happened to Trotsky? Thanks for asking. He was assassinated in **1940**, ice ax to the brain. Immediately afterwards, every portrait that had once hung in public squares and private households. Trotsky was erased from public consciousness. For the everyday Labour Party Soviet, Stalin and Lenin were inserted into the very role which Trotsky had once held, standing together as the imperial leaders of the




Bolshevik. One might think wiping away the existence of a nationwide public figure would be a conspiracy too large to pull off. And yet it happened. History was re-written. Every Media outlet east of Berlin apparently had a part to play in the propaganda. Educators facilitated it. Museums played their part. Even the postage stamp people were in on the conspiracy.

While younger generations of Soviets believed this illegitimate distortion of the historical records was a true linear portrayal of political events, and likely saw little to no reason to question it, older generations, who had once personally familiarized themselves with the works and portraits of Trotsky in real time, notably struggled with false-memory syndromes. A false memory syndrome, in case you were unaware, is the experience of seeming to remember events that never actually occurred in the official narrative. There is the Mandela Effect for you.

Everyone's favorite Establishment spook, **George Orwell**, took notice of the Stalin Playbook. He later wrote something to the effect:







**“The most effective way to destroy people is to deny and obliterate their own understanding of their history.”**



I know I've been saying this a lot as of late but that right there pretty much sums it up. In his dystopian novel, 'Nineteen Eighty-Four,' such a condition, knowing what is otherwise true and yet cognitively finding no contradiction in simultaneously believing what the Establishment demands is true, is called **doublethink**. People voluntarily learn doublethink due to peer pressure, mainly the desire to fit in, thereby gaining status within the party, cult,

denomination, or institution of their choice. Anyone who recognizes any contradiction within the policy is deemed a 'blasphemer.' He will likely receive harsh disciplinary action and immediate dis-ownership from patriotic party members.

Today, it's a central contributor of Big Brother's formal brainwashing programs, which is a bit of a 'No dub' statement, considering this present investigation. I mean, they've successfully cooked the books of the Millennial Kingdom, have they not? Who is to say that Muhammed did not take a crack at it?



[**UPDATE** 1/11/24: IN the real world, you don't pen one of the biggest sellers of all time without having connections. I'm looking at you, **Augustine of Hippo**. Also, I admit I'm backtracking a hundred years or so, because agent Augustine bit the dust in **430**, whereas Muhammad met his maker in **632**, as official timelines go. I can just imagine all the blue-blooded Controllers, pedophiles pressing 'The City of God' up to their smelly brown noses while taking long walks past pee-pee Pan fountains.

Changing the subject again, but only slightly, say what you will about **Alberto Rivera**, the Roman Catholic whistleblower. He claimed to be a former **Jesuit priest** from Spain, though the official narrative refuses to acknowledge his existence. Another facet of his life which they refuse to acknowledge is the manner of his death. They claim it was colon cancer when in fact Alberto insisted it was poisoning. He's also the individual that inspired the creepy comic book series that lay under my bed and scared the crap out of me as a child. I am showing you six covers from The Crusaders, one of which promises to detail the life of the Prophet. I'll let you find it among the bunch. Can't say I owned that particular one, *bummer*. Sounds like a page turner.

Included within that issue is an excerpt from an interview given by Rivera. In an effort to save the rainforest, you can read the entire thing for yourself on [TUC](#). I will only be backing up the dump truck on a few select quotes, such as the following.

Another problem was the true Christians in North Africa who preached the gospel. Roman Catholicism was growing in power but would not tolerate opposition. Somehow the Vatican had to create a weapon to eliminate both the Jews and the true Christian believers who refused to accept Roman Catholicism. Looking to North Africa, they saw the multitudes of Arabs as a source of manpower to do their dirty work. **Some Arabs had become Roman**

**Catholic and could be used in reporting information to leaders in Rome.** Others were used in an **underground spy network** to carry out Rome's master plan to control the great multitudes of Arabs who rejected Catholicism. When **St Augustine** appeared on the scene, he knew what was going on. **His monasteries served as bases to seek out and destroy Bible manuscripts owned by the true Christians.**

Dang, I knew Augustine was a spook and that there was a smoking gun poking out from underneath his Alb, but this barrel is loaded. The RCC hosted an underground spy network via Augustine's monasteries. His missionary journeys in Brittain are finally making a whole lot more sense if they haven't already. Rivera even has Augustine destroying Bible manuscripts owned by the true Christians. That's a reference to the **Natsarim**. He guards his words carefully so as not to receive a loogie from the KJV-only and 66-Canon crew. I could take a sentence like that to mean Augustine was destroying Bibles in their language so that Latin was the law of the land but I'm thinking it's some of the lost books like 'The Gospel of the Hebrews' which was being chucked into the pyre. Setting up Augustine's monasteries as watercooler hangouts for spooks plays an important role in what Rivera claims next.

**The Vatican wanted to create a messiah for the Arabs,** someone they could raise up as a great leader, a man with charisma whom they could train, and eventually unite all the non-Catholic Arabs behind him, **creating a mighty army that would ultimately capture Jerusalem for the pope.** In the Vatican briefing, Cardinal Bea told us this story: 'A wealthy Arabian lady who was a faithful follower of the pope played a tremendous part in this drama. **She was a widow named Khadijah. She gave her wealth to the church and retired to a convent as a nun but was**

**given an assignment.** She was to find a brilliant young man who could be used by the Vatican to create a new religion and become the messiah for the children of Ishmael. Khadijah had a cousin named **Waraqah,** **who was also a very faithful Roman Catholic, and the Vatican placed him in a critical role as Muhammad's advisor.** He had tremendous influence on Muhammad.

The Vatican needed an Islam army to capture Jerusalem but why not do it themselves? It's not like the official narrative deprives the Pope of fighting men. I'm not saying there wasn't a bloody conflict in Jerusalem during the Kingdom of Mashiach, via 'the Crusades', but my investigation has led me to conclude that Yahuda was purposely underdeveloped by the sons of Yashar'el during the Thousand-Years, as Revelation 18:2 states. Rivera didn't have full disclosure and I don't hold it against him. I could very well see a financed fighting force against Mashiach though. What better way to do that than create a second Messiah for the Arabs?

That's where **Khadijah bint Khuwaylid** steps onto the stage. 'The Mother of Islam' was a wealthy **40-year-old** widow when she married the **25-year-old** Muhammad. She initially brought Muhammad on as an employee three years earlier in order that he might manage her affairs. But then Rivera clarifies, she had already given her wealth to the RCC and retired to one of Augustine's world-famous monasteries. Muhammad was her assignment. Interesting to note, it was not Muhammad that proposed to Khadija, but Khadija to Muhammad. Way to rock the cradle, Khadija. In other news, history records that she became the first woman Muslim while simultaneously overlooking the obvious, she was his handler.

Muhammad began receiving 'divine revelations', and **his wife's Catholic cousin Waraqah helped interpret them.**

**Waraqah ibn Nawfal** is another fascinating character, not simply due to his relations with Khadijah or because he played the part of his other handler, helping Muhammad to interpret his visions, *wink-wink*. Rivera claims he was a Roman spook. Did I not tell you that the Quran comes across like the rants and ravings of an MK-Ultra victim? That is likely to get my head sawn off, but somebody out there needs to hear it before my ruach meets YAHUAHA.


<sup>94</sup> So if you [Prophet] are in doubt about what We have revealed to you, ask those who have been reading the scriptures before you.

Jonah 94

The Quran has Allah telling the Prophet to go to the people of the Book and ask them how to interpret it. Because ask any former Catholic, reading the Bible for oneself is dangerous business. That quote really stood out to me during my Quran binge reading session. Many will interpret that passage to mean Muhammad was expected to interview Christians and Jews about Scripture but that's not how I take it at all. The people whom Allah assigned as Muhammad's fact-checkers were likely Khadijah ad Waraquah.

Well, get this then. I *checked*, Waraquah was an **Ebionite priest**. Um, are you remotely aware of who the Ebionites were? The Ebionites followed the Torah of YAHUAHA while proclaiming Yahusha as Mashiach. Many of them rejected the teachings of Pa'al as well, holding strictly to vegetarian diets. Light that pipe and smoke on it. Augustine's agents had infiltrated the Ebionites. That confirms everything that Rivera has been claiming regarding the true church. In Augustine's day, the Ebionites and the Natsarim and everyone else opposing the RCC; *yes*, I even include the Gnostics; were probably all infiltrated in Top Management.]





[**UPDATE** 1/22/24: REMEMBER the time when I quoted from the Quran, and it straight up said that Allah has no sons? That passage can be found in Miryam 88-95. And then there is the other passage which I quoted from, the one which claims no servant of Allah would ever take an angel or a prophet as a lord. There is something relating to Muhammad’s argument which needs mentioned, which is why I’m giving it another recitation. Read it again and then we will discuss.

<sup>79</sup> No person to whom Allah had given the Scripture, wisdom, and prophethood would ever say to people, ‘Be my servants, not Allah’s.’ He would say, ‘You should be devoted to Allah because you have taught the Scripture and studied it closely.’ <sup>80</sup> **He would never command you to take angels and prophets as lords.** How could he command you to be disbelievers after you had devoted yourselves to Allah?

The Family of Imran 79-80

Are you having the same troubles with this passage as I am having? It doesn’t line up with other assertions made by Muhammad. Not seeing

it? I think I know what's happening. I neglected to give you Satan's origin story, according to the Prophet. Get ready for a contradiction because I'm about to give it.

<sup>34</sup> **When we told the angels, 'bow down before Adam,' they all bowed. But not Iblis, who refused and was arrogant: he was disobedient.** <sup>35</sup> We said, 'Adam, live with your wife in this garden. Both of you eat freely there as you will, but do not go near this tree, or you will both become wrongdoers.' <sup>36</sup> But Satan made them slip, and removed them from the state they were in.

The Cow: 34-36

It says here that **Iblis**, the Serpent in this story, refused to bow down before A'dam. But then, if I'm reading Muhammad's dissertation right, why should he? I thought we were supposed to have no lord but Allah. Iblis was simply taking Muhammad's advice, or vice versa. Satan was showing strength and resilience of character in refusing to give in to the peer pressure and bow down with the crowd, wanting only to worship Allah alone, and how did Allah repay him? By villainizing Iblis, casting him down out of heaven, whereas he apparently honored Muhammad for the same task.

<sup>59</sup> **In Allah's eyes Iesa [Yabusba] is just like Adam:** He created him from dust, then He said to him: "Be! and he was." <sup>60</sup> This is the truth from your Lord, so do not be one of those who doubt.

The Family of Imram 59-60

Call me a westerner or an infidel or whatever, but I'm not following the logic. Muhammad is straight up recognizing Yahusha HaMashiach as a second A'dam, which is great and all. I mean, doesn't Pa'al do the



same in Romans 5:12-21; 1 Corinthians 15:22, 44-49; and Ephesians 1:10? He *does*. And so, it just seems to me that Iblis would also refuse to bow down before Yahusha, the second A'dam, seeing as how he's a die-hard worshiper of Allah and all. Consistency is key. Which just so happens to be the very action of the Prophet. Mashiach was the second A'dam, King of kings and Lord of lords, though Muhammad wanted nothing of it. Oh sure, Muhammad, keep telling yourself that you would have been with the other angels, bowing down to A'dam. Seems to me like the writer of the Quran was projecting himself onto Iblis in refusing to join with the crowd. He was the one guy in the crowd demanding others to do the same or burn in fire which, ironically, is where Satan and his followers will end up.]