

Cham's Mystery Children: Elohiym Among Men

By Noel Joshua Hadley

12/23/22

Contents

Cham's Mystery Children: Elohiym Among Men	1
<i>INTRODUCTION: THE SIN OF CHAM.....</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>THE SIN OF CHAM FINALLY EXPLAINED.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>WILL THE REAL CHAM PLEASE STAND UP?.....</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>THE MYSTERY CHILDREN FINALLY REVEALED</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>THE FIRST PHARAOH: OSIRIS AND ISIS IDENTIFIED</i>	<i>47</i>



Introduction: The Sin of Cham

CHAM had sex with his father's wife. *There* it is. Hopefully, you weren't drinking from your morning coffee or chewing on an overpriced blueberry scone while reading that, as I would have either choked or spit up all over the computer screen. Sometimes I struggle figuring out how to start these conversations. But then I got to thinking, everything hinges upon Cham's sin. The topic after all is Cham's *many* children. The guy was a rabbit, and it was nearly always springtime. Names will be dropped. Familiar names. Including those names associated with divine beings. People as well as elohiym whom you never suspected to be his offspring much less real historical figures will be put forward. Therefore, Cham's sin needed to get out of the way. Don't worry, I will explain.

Spinoffs have been in order around here as of late. I figure this is the *second* one to my [7,000-Year Timeline Deception](#) paper. But also, because I only write within my own exclusive Multiverse, this is a simultaneous continuation of the [Serpent Seed](#) saga. That's two birds with one stone, right there, Choose your path wisely. The *first* Timeline Deception spinoff

was a little ditty which I like to call [The Many Lives of Nimrod](#). In that one I showed you how it was entirely possible to have Nimrod interacting with Avraham when in fact the LXX separates them by a thousand years. No, I won't spoil it for you. That's something you're going to have to read for yourself. The sleight of hand may slip out later on during this exercise, and in fact is likely to happen sooner rather than later now that I think about it, but I drop these links for a reason. I *said* this was a spin off, did I not? Reading a paper such as this one without regarding the parent is like obsessively watching 'Laverne & Shirley' or 'Joanie Loves Chachi' but then never watching a single episode of 'Happy Days.' My Z-Generation and iGen readers probably don't have the faintest clue what I'm talking about. I'm already losing a segment of my audience. *Ob no*. The best policy in situations such as these is to keep on moving.

This time around the hope is to push my Nimrod research forward and to its next logical conclusion. There is always that one person who cannot agree to anything unless the Scholars have signed off on it. Whether it is the dating of books or what may be constituted as a Scriptural authority, the dreaded "pseudo" stamp, much less the delirium of the Hellenization virus which has been wedged into historical and dare I say *fictional* geological column. Another way of saying this is that many will claim they take the words of Elohiym over man but then very few can agree on the scope of Elohiym's words seeing as how men have been so busy at work classifying them into categories.

Regarding Cham's children, I will be criticized for dropping the names that I do because they don't always match up with the modern historians. The modern historians you see have already assigned for us what geological columns the names of Cham's children belong to. Not the ancient historians though. No, the ancient historians had hundreds if not thousands of volumes of records at their disposal but they're all wrong, apparently. It is somehow the modern Institutions, with the few remaining texts conveniently available to them, which know better than everybody. Need I remind you again that this is the 7,000-Year Timeline Deception which you are reading from. There is no desire on my part to leave you hanging. Before this is over, I will show you what I believe is happening.



The Sin of Cham *Finally* Explained

MANY of you have scoured the Intel-net for an answer regarding Cham's sin, and *now* you've found it. I dropped it in the introduction if you want to know. How many people just skim right over the introduction nowadays? Oh fine. I'll say it again, Cham had sex with his father's wife. Everyone else either beats around the bush, outright denies it, or simply devotes their entire life to keeping you in the dark on the issue. It is the very job of gatekeepers to over-populate the halls of academia and drown out the competition with the loudest speaking voice. Diplomas are printed and framed in such a way that you haven't the faintest clue that the sons of Qayin rule the world. But if you do, they won't grant you one. And no, I'm not bitter. Time is short and the *whispered* things need brought out into the open. Everybody may want to rule the world, like the Tears for Fears song, but the Puppet Masters belong to a singular family.

You probably noticed that I said his *father's wife* rather than *mother*, and that is because we're being technical rather than figurative. Noach's wife may have been Shem and Yapheth's biological mother, but there was no relation between she and Cham whatsoever. I covered this already in my

[Serpent Seed](#) paper. More homework. Most people aren't remotely aware that Noach's wife *did* have a third child though, and it wasn't Noach's. If you're counting, that makes four sons in all. The story regarding Noach's drunkenness as it is described for us in the Hebrew Masoretic is known, but very few seem to realize it is that event when it happens. It's there. Too many people have blinked and missed it over the years. Read it again.

²⁰ And Noach began to be an husbandman, and he planted a vineyard: ²¹ And he drank of the wine and was drunken; and he was uncovered within his tent. ²² And **Cham, the father of Kena'an, saw the nakedness of his father,** and told his two brethren without.

²³ And Shem and Yapheth took a garment, and laid it upon both their shoulders, and went backward, and **covered the nakedness of their father;** and their faces were backward, and they saw not their father's nakedness.

²⁴ And Noach awoke from his wine and **knew what his younger son had done unto him.** ²⁵ And he said, Cursed be Kena'an; a servant of servants shall he be unto his brethren. ²⁶ And he said, Blessed be Yahuah Elohiym of Shem; and Kena'an shall be his servant. ²⁷ Elohiym shall enlarge Yapheth, and he shall dwell in the tents of Shem; and Kena'an shall be his servant.

Bere'shiyth (Genesis) 9:20-27

I took the red marker out on the fundamental details. The first thing we see is that Cham, "the father of Kena'an, saw the nakedness of his father." It's the little details. Really, it took me years to notice it myself. Cham being the "father of Kena'an" is directly associated to his *seeing* "the nakedness of his father." And there you have it. Kena'an is a result of Noach's nakedness. Confused how that works? No, I'm not changing the rules of sex education. We're getting to it.

Cham immediately leaves his father's tent, after *fathering* Kena'an, and tells his two brothers about the committed deed. That would have been his way of saying, "I'm the Big Boy. I'm in charge now. Patriarch of humanity

am I. Head honcho. VIP. Top dog. Special Sauce and The Boss. So, from now on, you'll have to do what I say," or something to that effect. I'm paraphrasing. Way to go, kicking Noah while he's down, Cham. Shem and Yapheth respond to Cham's *cock-a-doodle* proclamation by respectfully covering their father's nakedness. The shame that has come upon him, that is. While their father *and* mother are still passed out upon the bed, both brothers lay a garment upon their shoulders and walked in backwards, so as not to see *her*.

The same account can be found in Yovheliym (Jubilees).

⁶ And he rejoiced and drank of this wine, he and his children with joy. ⁷ And it was evening, and he went into his tent, and being drunken he lay down and slept, and was uncovered in his tent as he slept. ⁸ And Cham saw Noah his father naked and went forth and told his two brethren without. ⁹ And Shem took his garment and arose, he and Yapheth, and they placed the garment on their shoulders and went backward and covered the shame of their father, and their faces were backward. ¹⁰ And Noah awoke from his sleep and knew all that his younger son had done unto him, and he cursed his son and said: 'Cursed be Kena'an, an enslaved servant shall he be unto his brethren.' ¹¹ And he blessed Shem, and said: 'Blessed be Yahuah Elohiym of Shem, and Kena'an shall be his servant. ¹² Elohiym shall enlarge Yapheth, and Elohiym shall dwell in the dwelling of Shem, and Kena'an shall be his servant.' ¹³ And Cham knew that his father had cursed his younger son, and he was displeased that he had cursed his son. And he parted from his father, he and his sons with him, Cush and Mizraim and Put and Kena'an.

Yovheliym (Jubilees) 7:6-13

It *says* Noah cursed his son. Cham wasn't the son whom he cursed though. So, which son was it, Shem or Yapheth? It wasn't them either. The son whom he cursed is told to us, and that person is Kena'an. Supposing *I do* have it all wrong concerning Cham's sin, and there was no

possible way that he slept with Noach's wife. Then try to explain the curse to follow. Should one of my sons see my plonker in the bedroom and then snicker about it in the hallway among his siblings, cursing *his* child and all the generations which would spring forth from that child seems wildly overreactive. Perhaps Noach was immorally loose behind the canon, being drunk, and Cham simply saw him masturbating. Still over-reactive.

Noach did no wrong here. He had a perfect right to be naked in his own tent. Contrarily, if my son were to rape my wife while we were incapacitated in bed, and now she was to be found pregnant with a child—*his* child—that would be fair reason for cursing, don't you think? It's what I think. My wife's own child would now be my grandchild. Excuse my post-Babel German, but that's some seriously twisted *fu*bar, right there.

It's not like I'm misrepresenting the language picture being presented to us, either. All we need to do is flip over so many pages to Vayiqra (Leviticus) to see precisely what is being described. *Same* author as Bereshith (Genesis). Moshe highlighted the story of Noach's nakedness in order to give visual content to the Torah of Yahuah. Uncovering one's nakedness is a euphemism for sexual intercourse. Take that one step further and uncovering the nakedness of a married woman is uncovering the nakedness of her husband. That is, having sex with another man's wife is akin to having sex with the husband. But not even the father's wife goes unnoticed here. I've taken out the red marker again, just to make that point known.

⁶None of you shall **approach to any that is near of kin to him, to uncover their nakedness**: I am Yahuah.

⁷The nakedness of thy father, or the nakedness of thy mother, shalt thou not uncover: she is thy mother; thou shalt not uncover her nakedness. ⁸**The nakedness of thy father's wife shalt thou not uncover: it is thy father's nakedness.** ⁹The nakedness of thy sister, the daughter of thy father, or daughter of thy mother, whether she be born at home, or born abroad, even their nakedness thou shalt not uncover. ¹⁰The nakedness of thy son's daughter, or of thy daughter's daughter, even their nakedness thou shalt

not uncover: for theirs is thine own nakedness. ¹¹The nakedness of thy father's wife's daughter, begotten of thy father, she is thy sister, thou shalt not uncover her nakedness. ¹²Thou shalt not uncover the nakedness of thy father's sister: she is thy father's near kinswoman. ¹³Thou shalt not uncover the nakedness of thy mother's sister: for she is thy mother's near kinswoman. ¹⁴Thou shalt not uncover the nakedness of thy father's brother, thou shalt not approach to his wife: she is thine aunt. ¹⁵Thou shalt not uncover the nakedness of thy daughter in law: she is thy son's wife; thou shalt not uncover her nakedness. ¹⁶Thou shalt not uncover the nakedness of thy brother's wife: it is thy brother's nakedness. ¹⁷Thou shalt not uncover the nakedness of a woman and her daughter, neither shalt thou take her son's daughter, or her daughter's daughter, to uncover her nakedness; for they are her near kinswomen: it is wickedness. ¹⁸Neither shalt thou take a wife to her sister, to vex her, to uncover her nakedness, beside the other in her lifetime. ¹⁹Also thou shalt not approach unto a woman to uncover her nakedness, as long as she is put apart for her uncleanness. ²⁰Moreover thou shalt not lie carnally with thy neighbor's wife, to defile thyself with her.

Vayiqra (Leviticus) 8:6-20

Moshe comes across as repetitive so that it goes on and on and on and on, but only to check off every box possible. No, you may not uncover the nakedness of your mother, your mother's sister, your father's sister, your brother's wife, or your wife's sister. *Kapeesh?* There are of course more references to uncovering a woman's nakedness in the Torah, and 'thy father's nakedness' takes the helm. Consider the *following* passages.

Thou shalt not uncover the nakedness of thy father's wife;
it is they father's nakedness.

Vayiqra (Leviticus) 18:8

Also.

¹¹ **And the man that lieth with his father's wife hath uncovered his father's nakedness:** both of them shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them.

¹⁹ And thou shalt not uncover the nakedness of thy father's sister, or of the sister of thy mother; for that man has **uncovered the nakedness of one near akin:** they shall bear their iniquity.

Vayiqra (Leviticus) 20:11, 19

There is no referee in this discussion but if there were then deep down inside you know and I know that he would blow the whistle and call it. Incest. Cham uncovering his father's nakedness was in reference to Noah's wife. The resulting implication is that Noah could no longer lay with his wife. Before the flood, Noah has two wives. **Na'amah** was a daughter of **Lamech** of **Qayin**, Cham's mother, whereas Yapheth and Shem were descended from Sheth's stock. Afterwards, a plurality of wives not only became an impossibility, but as you will see for yourself, he was no longer capable of fulfilling Yahuah's command and bringing forth *more* children upon the earth.

Emzara is the name of Shem and Yapheth's mother, one of her names at any rate, and she was put away for the remainder of their lives. It's not like there were other women to marry. Every living being with the ruach of life had been destroyed in the floodwaters, save for the animals in the ark, the wives of his sons, and their children. Noah continued another **350** years after the deluge, living **950** years in total. During this time, he became a wine maker. That means he lived a third of his life drinking wine but with nobody to have sex with. You'd have to be a hater of sexual intercourse and probably a Baptist leaning Protestant to disagree when I say that totally *sucks*.

I base my assumption upon another account some twenty chapters later. It involves Re'uven and Bilhah, and reads:

And it came to pass, when Yashar'el dwelt in that land, that Re'uven went and lay with Bilhah his father's

concubine: and Yashar'el heard it. Now the sons of Ya'aqov were twelve:

Genesis 35:22

That's all we are given in the Hebrew Masoretic. Re'uven simply lay with Ya'aqov's concubine. There is a pregnant pause, and then the story simply continues on, leaving you to gasp and wonder at the implications. No wonder why so very few people know about it. A somewhat fuller account can be found once more in Yovheliym which explains the repercussions. More precisely, it details how a righteous man like Noach would have dealt with the same situation.

²And Re'uven saw Billah, Rachel's maid, the concubine of his father, bathing in water in a secret place, and he loved her. ³ And he hid himself at night, and he entered the house of Billah [at night], and he found her sleeping alone on a bed in her house. ⁴ **And he lay with her, and she awoke and saw, and behold Re'uven was lying with her in the bed,** and she uncovered the border of her covering and seized him, and cried out, and discovered that it was Re'uven. ⁵ And she was ashamed because of him, and released her hand from him, and he fled. ⁶ And she lamented because of this thing exceedingly, and did not tell it to any one. ⁷ And when Ya'aqov returned and sought her, she said unto him: 'I am not clean for thee, for I have been defiled as regards thee; for Re'uven has defiled me, and has lain with me in the night, and I was asleep, and did not discover until he uncovered my skirt and slept with me.' ⁸ And Ya'aqov was exceedingly wroth with Re'uven because he had lain with Billah, because **he had uncovered his father's skirt.** ⁹ **And Ya'aqov did not approach her again because Re'uven had defiled her. And as for any man who uncovers his father's skirt his deed is wicked exceedingly,** for he is abominable before Yahuah. ¹⁰ For this reason it is **written and ordained on the heavenly tablets that a man should not lie with his father's wife, and should not uncover his father's skirt, for this is unclean:** they shall surely die together,

the man who lies with his father's wife and the woman also, for they have wrought uncleanness on the earth.¹¹ And there shall be nothing unclean before our Elohiym in the nation which He has chosen for Himself as a possession.¹² And again, it is written a second time: **'Cursed be he who lieth with the wife of his father, for he hath uncovered his father's shame'**; and all the holy ones of Yahuah said 'So be it; so be it.'

Yovheliym (Jubilees) 33:2-12

There, you see? Re'uven *also* uncovered his father's nakedness. His shame. His skirt. The nakedness as well as the shame and the skirt were his father's. It has already been established here at *Cosmology* that the Torah of Yahuah is eternal, and more importantly, that it predated Moshe—even creation. Therefore, you cannot tell me a father's nakedness does not apply to Cham, nor that the specific law written down for us in Leviticus has been done away with. Don't be disgusting. Yovheliym clarifies that adultery is "written and ordained on the heavenly tablets" *above*, telling us that the Torah delivered *below* on Sinai is practiced in the divine court. Having sex with your father's wife always was and still is uncovering his nakedness.

And anyways, it says right there in verse 9: "And Ya'aqov did not approach her again because Re'uven had defiled her." That's the immediate consequence. Like Noah with Emzara, Ya'aqov no longer enjoyed the company of Bilhah. Still need another example? *Fine*. I will give one to you.

²² So they spread Avshalom a tent upon the top of the house; and Avshalom went in unto his father's concubines in the sight of all Yashar'el.

³ And David came to his house at Yerushalayim; and the king took the ten women his concubines, whom he had left to keep the house, and **put them in ward**, and fed them, but **went not in unto them. So they were shut up unto the day of their death, living in widowhood.**

2 Shemu'el (Samuel) 16:22; 20:3 [Cepher]

After Avshalom slept with his father's concubines in plain sight of Yashar'el, David put them away as well. He never slept with them again. It says they were shut up unto the day of their death, living in widowhood. I have just given you two examples apart from Noach and the Torah. Ya'aqov never again slept with his woman, nor David with his concubines. The longer consequence to Re'uven's actions is that he lost the birthright. Qayin of course was the first born of A'dam and Chuah and yet he was never offered the birthright and why is that? Supposing you did your homework and read my serpent seed paper, then you already know why. Kena'an was cursed with servitude, whereas Cham's other children (*still* serpent seed) labored to snatch the kingdoms of the world from Shem and Yapheth. We will get to that part. Re'uven simply lost his birthright to Yahudah. We can see the exact same theme being played out in Yeshayahu chapter 47.

¹ Descend and sit in the dust, kingdom of the congregation of Babylon; sit on the ground, there is no throne of glory, kingdom of the Chaldeans; for thou shalt no more be called tender and delicate.

² Receive this calamity and **go into servitude**; put away the glory of thy kingdom, thy princes are overthrown, the people of thy armies are scattered, they have vanished away like waters of the river.

³ **Thy nakedness shall be uncovered; thy shame shall be seen**; I will take full vengeance on thee and I will change thy judgment from the children of men.

⁴ As for our Redeemer, Yahuah of hosts is His name, the Holy One of Yashar'el.

⁵ Sit thou silent, and get thee into darkness, O glory of the kingdom of Chaldeans; thou shalt no more be called, The mighty one of the kingdoms.

⁶ I was angry with my people, **I have polluted mine inheritance**, and given them into thine hand: thou hast not had compassion upon them, thou didst make thy dominion over the ancient very cruel.

Isaiah 47:1-6 [Targum]

Nakedness shall be uncovered. Going into servitude. Polluting the inheritance, and so on. Interesting correlations. And anyhow, Re'even personally attests to the fact that Ya'aqov never had sex with his concubine again in The Testament of Re'even. We read:

⁹ And now, my children, love the truth, and it will preserve you: hear ye the words of Re'even your father. ¹⁰ Pay no heed to the face of a woman, **Nor associate with another man's wife**, Nor meddle with affairs of womankind. ¹¹ For had I not seen Bilhah bathing in a covered place, **I had not fallen into this great iniquity.** ¹² **For my mind taking in the thought of the woman's nakedness**, suffered me not to sleep until I had ¹³ wrought the abominable thing. For while Ya'aqov our father had gone to Yitschaq his father, when we were in Eder, near to Ephrath in Bethlehem, Bilhah became drunk and was asleep uncovered in her ¹⁴ chamber. Having therefore **gone in and beheld nakedness, I wrought the impiety without her** ¹⁵ perceiving it, and leaving her sleeping I departed. And forthwith an angel of Elohiym revealed to my father concerning my impiety, and he came and mourned over me, **and touched her no more.**

The Testament of Re'even 3:9-14

Someone will surely tell me that these texts, Yovheliym and Re'even, do not agree with the other, as Ya'aqov is informed in two separate ways. Perhaps so. That is not to say however that an angel could not tell him about it while he was occupied elsewhere, before Bilhah came to consciousness. Bilhah could still very well confess to Re'even's actions afterwards—once he finally got around to approaching her. You tell me.

Scripture is often written from different perspectives, Yovheliym belonging to Bilhah and Re'uven to Ya'aqov.

That still begs the question. How was Noah knowledgeable of the fact that Cham was raping his wife but remained incapable of doing anything about it? I have found an explanation and will present it to you. But when I do, it will open up another can of worms regarding the Cham situation. I'm sure you're ready for it though.

Among all the sons of Noah, **Cham** was the least in his fathers' favor, who also by reason of his Magic art (wherein he had great knowledge) **was called Zoroast**, who wholly gave himself over unto all incivility and rude behaviors, following the abominations and vices of those horrible giants before the flood : he, as hating his own father, for that he saw himself least beloved of him, as he saw him thus lying drunk (using some charms of enchantment) took now the time of revenge, and **by his Magic so bewitched his father in those places of generation, that he disabled him ever after to have use of a woman, or to get more children**: for these and other such his detestable impieties, he incurred the wrath and displeasure of Dei, in most grievous manner, and was afterward banished from his father, who afflicted him with no more punishment therein for such his unnatural deed so committed.

The Travels of Noah Into Europe: 6

Sleep paralysis is my best guess. The reason as to why Noah was incapacitated. And magic. Sex magick. Noah knew what was happening in his sleep but remained bound to the bed. The big reveal here derives from Cham's *other* name. More like his secret identity, which is **Zoroaster**. There is your bunny breadcrumb trail I told you about and the ultimate purpose of this paper. I'm simply not ready to go there yet. We are still establishing a very important premise. What I wanted you to see is the magic involved, but also that the *same* text agrees. It claims that Cham disabled his father Noah from ever having use of a woman or being capable of seeding children afterwards.

We started out with a reading from the Masoretic Hebrew. Genesis 9:20-27. Now let us revisit with fresh eyes the *same* passage as given to us in the Targum. Are you ready? Ready as you'll ever be, hopefully. Then let's dive into the deep end of the pool. So, refreshing, to know the Truth of His-Story.

²⁰ And Noach began to be a man working in the earth. And he found a vine which the river had brought away from the garden of Eden; and he planted it in a vineyard, and it flourished in a day; and its grapes became ripe, and he pressed them out.

²¹ And he drank of the wine and was drunken; and he made himself naked in the midst of his tent.

²² And **Cham, the father of Kena'an, beheld the nakedness of his father**, and showed to his brethren without. ²³ And Shem and Japhet took a mantle, and bare it upon the shoulders of each, and went backward, and **covered the nakedness of their father**; and their faces were turned back, and **the nakedness of their father** they did not behold.

²⁴ And Noach awoke from his wine, **and knew, by the relation of a dream, what had been done to him by Cham his son, who was inferior in worth**, on the account that **he had not begotten a fourth son**.

²⁵ And he said, **Accursed is Kena'an who is his fourth son, a serving servant shall he be to his brethren**.

²⁶ And he said, Blessed be Yahuah, the Elohiym of Shem, whose work is righteous; and therefore, shall **Kena'an be servant unto him**.

²⁷ Yahuah shall beautify the borders of Yapheth, and his sons shall be proselyted and dwell in the schools of Shem, and **Kena'an shall be a servant to them**.

Bere'shiyth (Genesis) 9:20-27 [Targum]

Up until this point, you have only eaten cake, as I have withheld the icing. But that is *also* because I chose to save the best for last. But no longer. The Genesis Targum straight up says that Noach had *not* begotten a fourth son, and *yet* a fourth child was born to him. It also says Noach knew by the relation of a dream what had happened to him. Perhaps it is Yahuah who let him in on the news though I am still holding to my sleep paralysis theory via the magick of Zarathustra. You know the names of his first three sons. Shem, Cham, and Yapheth. The *other* was Kena'an.



Will the *Real* Cham Please Stand Up?

THE cat is out of the bag. **Zoroaster** is **Cham**. This is of course assuming you listened to my lecture on Cham's sin and stumbled upon that tidbit with the class or else you won't have the slightest clue what I'm talking about right now. That's what skipping ahead earns you, confusion. I won't be repeating the passage where I derived that information from, either. You will have to retrace those steps on your own because we're moving ahead. Not all is at a total loss either. I predict there will be more sources linking Zoroaster to Cham in the pages ahead. Vigilance is important because you never really know when such claims will jump out at you.

A number of historians, beginning with the Roman **Cephalion** (c. AD 120) asserted that Ninus' opponent, the king of Bactria, was actually **Zoroaster** (or first of several to bear this name), rather than Oxyartes.

At the very least, my decision to make this a *sequel* to 'The Many Lives of Nimrod' should begin to make sense by this point. Zoroaster was **Ninus**' opponent, and we all know who Ninus was, right? He was **Nimrod**.

Certainly not the first to go by that name though. It just goes to show that Cham and Nimrod did not get along by any means. Only makes sense that they wouldn't. Can't have two roosters in the same henhouse. By the way, I am pulling this quote from The Wiki, and as you can see, there were several different individuals who bore his name, according to some ancient historians. Meaning, there are various culprits to be identified and only one of them is Cham. The same claim mentions **Cephalion** as the first recognized historian to pit Ninus and Zoroaster against each other and he was not well loved by **Hadrian**. The Emperor banished him to Sicily where his work was composed, apparently.

Well, here is another one of those historians who felt the *same* way.

The magus **Zoroaster**, king of the Bactrians, is considered important, **against whom Ninus fought**.

The person making the claim is **St. Jerome**. I stumble upon him often, and FYI, he was a **Constantine** propagandist. Perhaps they were all propagandist for one beast regime or another, but what recognized historian isn't? All I know is, they were working with stacks of historical records which we no longer have in our possession, in fact entire libraries of them, and these were the conclusions they came to. In all likelihood, the Zoroaster who went up against Ninus-Nimrod wasn't Cham. There were already two Nimrod's preceding Ninus, and Zoroaster-Cham would have already been dead by that point. Which means we are altogether dealing with another Zoroaster. The son of Zoroaster, possibly. I will do my best to show you where I'm pulling my conclusions from.

²⁷ For these and some other causes, a flood was brought upon the world, as we have said already, and shall say again; and all who were upon the earth were destroyed, except the family of Noach, who survived, with his three sons and their wives. **One of these, by name Cham, unhappily discovered the magical act, and handed down the instruction of it to one of his sons, who was called Mizraim, from whom the race of the Egyptians and Babylonians and Persians are descended. Him the nations who then existed called Zoroaster, admiring him as the first author of the magic art; under whose name**

also many books on this subject exist. He therefore, being much and frequently intent upon the stars, and wishing to be esteemed a god among them, began to draw forth, as it were, certain sparks from the stars, and to show them to men, in order that the rude and ignorant might be astonished, as with a miracle; and desiring to increase this estimation of him, he attempted these things again and again, until he was set on fire, and consumed by the demon himself, whom he accosted with too great importunity.

Recognitions of Clement 4:27

According to the Clementine Homilies, it was the son of Cham, the *same* individual listed in the genealogies as Mizraim, who was first recognized by the Zoroaster name. But then notice what else it states. Zoroaster-Mizraim learned the craft from his father before him. Zoroaster-Cham. Yes, I am fully aware that it doesn't say Cham was Zoroaster but hang with me here. The Zoroaster trade was passed down from father to son, and it was only Zoroaster-Mizraim who thought to author those secrets.



To better illustrate what I'm talking about, I have arrived with cover illustrations from my father's comic book collection. The series by **Lee Falk** is the perfect example in that many people referred to the Phantom as "the ghost who walks" because they thought it was impossible to kill him. This is due to the fact that there had already been **20 generations** of Phantoms who had proceeded Falk's Phantom, and many of them did die while on the job, being mortal and all. And remember, there were various Zoroaster's with differing stories attached to them. The problem I think

is that they've all blended into one person over the centuries. It would explain why some **two-million** verses and countless other books could be attributed to one name, many of which deal in Necromancy. The confusion is intentional.

Many writers have affirmed, That this **Cham** was a man of singular ingenuity and sharp capacity, and that **he first found out the seven liberal Sciences**, and **had written many books of great worth**, among which, **his chiefest were of Necromancy**, of which most part of them were **burned by the before said Ninus**. Some also say, That he only in the world came out of his mother's womb laughing and with a smiling countenance, which is an uncouth thing, and (as most hold) prognosticating no good. Unto this Cham, **Typhon the Giant** (his eldest son by **Noegla**) **was heir**, and also **succeeded him in humors and malicious dispositions**, who was brought up in Egypt, and there continued.

The Travels of Noach Into Europe 24

But hold on. Here we read that Cham *was* the author of the seven liberal Sciences and Necromancy which he first discovered, thereby finding conflict with Clement. Which is it then? In the very least it agrees in that one of Cham's sons succeeded him as the spokesman of Zoroastrianism. I find no conflict however in his son's name being **Typhon the Giant**. It has already been established that these guys had numerous names. Possibly as many names as there were post-Tower languages, which is **Seventy**. By the way, Noegla is identified as being the wife who accompanied Cham onto the ark. Nimrod-Nembroth would have been Zoroaster-Cham's grandson and eventually his contemporary just as assuredly as Cham's great-great grandson Nimrod-Ninus was the competing contemporary against Zoroaster-Mizraim-Typhon. It was the books attributed to him which Nimrod-Ninus burned in the sacred fire most likely.

Despite there being many different potential Zoroaster's, each with unique stories attributed to them, Cham is the candidate identified with laughing after being born out of his mother's womb, thereby

foreshadowing his nature. Because in the father-son mythos, the painful lesson every child must learn when attempting to wrestle with his father's legacy is that his father did it first, and perhaps more importantly, he did it better. Cham of course was a **Qayin** and the same unidentified demon who is said to have instructed Zoroaster can likely be attributed to the usual candidates. Qayin being one of them. This same demon by the way also brought about his death.



I'm curious as to *who* you think Cham is in these four paintings. Quite the womanizer, it seems. A total babe magnet by the looks of it. More like an incestual and serial rapist, but you get my point. I'll give you a hint as to his identity. He's *not* Zoroaster this time around. I know I asked the real Cham to take a stand, but it appears as though he has only been hunched over up until this point.

20 It hath been already specified, how Noach dividing the universal earth unto his children, and how Cham abounding in all vices and detestable courses, notwithstanding was not deprived of his portion, but had

his right of inheritance justly allotted unto him, which was the third part of the world, and particularly Africa to the hither part of Egypt; for which countries he was commanded by his father to depart, with his wife Noegla, and five and thirty rulers (which is as much to say, as the chiefs of family of his blood and house) as also with all their children and issue: which was accordingly performed, and presently he established himself as king and **Saturn** of Egypt, where he erected and build a city called Chem-Myn: and among them also, he himself was called **Pan**, and **Silvanus**, which people likewise (so engendered and issued of that family) to honor and worship him the more, and to show their love unto him, lived in all impious and ungracious manner, perpetrating most odious and soul-damming villainies, affirming publicly. That men ought lawfully to have the **company of their own mothers, sisters, and daughters**, in all lusts and concupiscence of the flesh; and other many most inhuman and shameful acts, not to be recited. And to show that they gloried and boasted in the wickedness of such their king and ruler, they enterned him by the name **Cham Esenuus**, which signified their infamous god, **Pan**. And thus, he ruled in Egypt long time, even unto the six and fiftieth year of the reign of **Iupiter Belus**, the **second king of Babylon**...

The Travels of Noah into Europe: 20

Cham's greatest claim to fame it seems wasn't in becoming The Pan-Man. Interestingly, the Roman deity Silvanus is another name attributed to him. The part where Cham taught others to lawfully have company of their own mothers, sisters, and daughters once again underlines what he was ultimately doing in Noach's tent. Do we recall that he told his brothers about it afterwards, which is what we see him doing here in instructing others. Perhaps he was attempting to entice Yapheth and Shem to gang rape her as unpleasant as it sounds. Cham is a child of Qayin. These are the sick and sadistic pedophiles who rule our world by way of magic. Also note the timing of his tenure in Mitsriym. Iupiter Belus the *second* of the Nimrod's was ruling from Babylon. Nimrod-Ninus hadn't even come on


the scene by this point. It would be Cham's son Zoroaster-Mizraim-Typhon who took his stand and ultimately lost against him. The wrinkles in the timeline are finally getting ironed out.



And *here* we have a couple of photos from that time when I moved my family to Europe. It took me *forever* to dig them up out of the vault. I took so many pictures of doorknobs and table legs and other random artifacts that it's good to know two of them came in handy. The one on the left is a **date rape kit** from Château de Chambord in France. Correct me if I'm mistaken, but I'm pretty sure it's totally a coincidence that Pan is there promising to show a girl a good time. Maybe this is none of my business, but she already looks woozy. Probably slipped her the juice already.

The *other* is a pan monument in the gardens across from Christ Church, Oxford. I know this to be so because those are pan pipes in-between the creepy looking goat heads. Of course, nothing says the grounds where little **Alice Liddell** went around exploring, pretending to play croquet with the Queen of Hearts or whatever, quite like a monument to pedophilia. "Go ahead, children, have fun playing. I'll pick you up in an hour by the Pan statue. Never mind that strange man." Oh, I'm sure I'm reading too much into this and it's all just another coincidence. That's probably it.

He is the eponymous "Piper at the Gates of Dawn" in the seventh chapter of [Kenneth Grahame's *The Wind in the Willows*](#) (1908). Grahame's Pan, unnamed but clearly recognisable, is a powerful but secretive nature-god, protector of animals, who casts a spell of forgetfulness on all those he helps. He makes a brief appearance to help the Rat and Mole recover the Otter's lost son Portly.



Speaking of Pan showing up at the playground, we're all familiar with **Peter Pan** and the pirates and the Never-boys pedo circuit, but I was surprised to stumble upon the Pan-Man's presence in 'The Wind in the Willows' only a few moments ago. That I did not know about. This time around, the nature-god conveniently shows up when Otter's lost son Portly has gone missing, and even helps Rat and Mole recover the child. Well, that's nice of him. It also says he casts a spell of forgetfulness on all those he helps. *Seriously?* Must be where the men in black and the aliens learned their ideas. Before anyone interrupts to say they've read the novel and Pan is never mentioned by name, tell me who that is on the cover again. Yes, they ditched Mr. Toad to place a pedo-god on the cover. Who are the sick people writing this Cham-centric literature?



Wait, now I am confused. I *thought* Pan was a ladies' man. Why the disgust then? I am told we are gazing upon a Roman fresco from the House of Dioscuri in Pompeii, and that it is Pan spurring the advances of **Hermaphrodites** this time around. FYI, Hermaphrodites was a **hermaphrodite**, though the mythologies insist *he* was born a boy, racking this up to another case of **androgyny**. Good to know in the very least that Cham-Pan had some limitations. Mothers, sisters, and daughters may have been a recipe for the magic, but dudes with boobs were a naughty no-no.

Well, there you have it. Cham is identified with both Zoroaster and Pan. When we pause to consider that Cham was a Qayin, and in fact the father of all Qayin's, then the two connections make more sense than anything. With Zoroaster our Controllers are given a role model in that magic is strictly a discipline and the highest aspiration of the Sciences whereas Pan delivers an unquenched appetite to the ongoing ceremony. I didn't promise this would be a pleasant conversation.



The *Mystery* Children Finally Revealed

YOU'VE heard the expression regarding the apple not falling far from the tree, which is entirely true of Cham in relation to Qayin, but what about *his* children? Up until now, the purpose of this paper has hardly been served. Can you blame me for laying the groundwork though? Imagine me sitting here telling you how the children of Cham *became* our Controllars without first making the serpent seed connection. It wouldn't have nearly the same effect, for sure. Yes, Noach divided a sizable portion of the earth into three parts as an inheritance for his three sons. What I hope to reveal however is that Cham went about usurping those thrones. It happened in his generation and, by all indications, continues until this present hour. Again though, we would be missing the bigger picture if exoteric explanations are the only ones being offered. There is something else going on entirely with Cham and the children whom he seeded. Here, I'll show you.

And in those times **all good and just princes were called gods**, as Pan, Apollo, Jupiter, and infinite others, with their goddesses, muses, and nymphs.

The Travels of Noach Into Europe: 25

The children of Cham were called elohiym upon the earth. *Sure*, Noach and his other sons may have been considered something similar among the post diluvian people groups, but then notice how they weren't worshiped as the sons of Cham were, certainly not unto this very day. What elohiym is Shem worshiped as again? *Oh*, that's right, he became a Melchizedek. Nobody throws the Melchizedek's onto a hieroglyph, and why is that? It is because the children of Noach were showing us how it was done, esoterically speaking. I include Shem in that, but very few people want to follow the ancient path he trod upon. Pan and Apollo as well as Nimrod and Osiris and a host of others are still held as the standard because there is something about their stories which rings true, spiritually speaking.

Here is the secret of the ages. A worship of any one of these elohiym is ultimately the worship of the self because they were the golden relics of a silver or a bronze, perhaps even a clay age. They were the Master Builders of the post-diluvian reset. They managed things on a spiritual plane which no other generation has come even remotely close to achieving. They were Messiah prototypes. Wait, back that up. I should stress antichrists, every last one of them, but you knew what I meant by that. They were antichrists and above all else Nimrod still is the spirit of antichrist. It is up to you to decide what to make of their death and resurrection stories. But I do not believe them to be *merely* symbolic, or else the Mystery religions as well as our contemporary secret societies arising from their ruins would not lead the neophyte into their burial and resurrection initiation rites.

Let's be clear on what I am saying. After a lifetime of contemplating these matters, I have concluded that nearly all world religions are legitimate spiritual expressions. You might even say they are true. Really, pick your elohiym. They answer prayers. Miracles can be backed by many-a faith statements and are evidence to its practitioners as to why their denomination rings true. It's why naming your elohiym is so important to this conversation. Who are you addressing in your prayers? I address

Yahuah Tseva'oth, the Most-High Elohiym of Yashar'el, the Father of ruachoth, because he has shown his testimony to be true through the Word, Yahusha Ha'Mashiach his Son, inheritor, and creator of this world. This is the way which Shem-Melchizedek showed for us, as well as Avraham and Moshe, in turn the prophets, eventually Yahusha. And so, it is the narrow path which I choose to travel down, which is an obedience to the Torah, Yahuah's instructions in righteous living. Everybody wants to live forever and this is the way. The path to immortality. The other elohiym will die like men and only the righteous will pass through the flames confronting the mortal world with eternity. That is my conclusion in a nutshell, but I cannot make your decisions. It is up to you to choose your elohiym.

That was my conclusion to this paper, by the way. The sentence where I stated: "It is up to you to choose your elohiym," was intended as the last one. I simply couldn't help myself, and really, it needed to be said. You will have to accept my apologies then if and when I suddenly cut this off. It's not that I'm being rude or inconclusive or anything, because now you know what my conclusions are. And anyways, regarding my claim that Cham went around usurping thrones, here is one such example.

And to show that they gloried and boasted in the wickedness of such their king and ruler, they entermed him [Cham] by the name Cham Esenuus, which signified their infamous god **Pan**. And thus he ruled in Egypt long time, even unto the six and fiftieth year of the reign of **Jupiter Belus**, the second king of Babylon: in which year he began to travel, **and came into Italy** (which was then called Kytim) to his brother Comerus Gallus, the first king of that country; **after whose death Cham presently usurped and undertook that mighty government** who instead of virtuous instructions and gold laws, in which all other princes round about him, his kinsmen (commanding Germany, Spain, and France) had instructed and taught their people, clean contrary infected the youth of Italy with all manner of impieties, incivility, and corruptible vices: persuading them (being of themselves well addicted) to usury, robbery, murder, poisonings, and the study of the Magic art, who by reason of his own great

skill therein, was surnamed Zoroaster, and was the first inventor and practicer of that of that vile and diabolical learning, of the use of which he composed and writ many books, and he was called generally throughout the world, Cam Esennus, *id est Cam infamis, & impudicus*, propagator. Some have thought that the Turk for those such like causes is called in his letters, patents, *le grand Cam de Tartaria*.

The Travels of Noach Into Europe: 20

In the very least you can see why Nimrod hated Cham so. Nimrod was doing something similar in that he was planting his children's bums on various thrones. They were competitors in every respect, in land grabs but *also* in how they mastered Magick as well as entire religious denominations to follow. And look at the effect which Cham was having. Pan was *born* in Mitsriym only to make a true name for himself in Italy.



I talked about the twins **Romulus** and **Remus** in my [1948 Gog and Magog](#) paper, specifically in making the Rome connection with Zionism, but I'm thinking some of that research would be better served here. Look at the painting depicting Romulus and Remus being nursed to health from the wolf. Who is that with him in the bush? It is Father Cham-Pan, the world-renowned sex offender. The scene is Palatine Hill, one of the seven

hills of Rome. Who is that creeping up to the shrubs so as to discover the babes at the wolf's bosom? A shepherd, we are told. Talmudic literature manages to give us a name and you'll probably never guess who.

Two orphans were left to [Esau], namely Remus and Romulus, and You [Elohim] gave permission to a she-wolf to suckle them, and afterwards they arose and built Rome.

Esther Rabbah 3:5

The answer you were looking for is Esau. The one and only. You may need to refer back to my Nimrod paper to see why I suspect it is Tammuz whom Esau offered in the woods, potentially in devotion or as an act of worship to Semiramis. It's a cyclical weather and harvest thing. How ironic is it then that Esau was responsible for wresting the kingdom of this world away from Nimrod and back into Cham-Pan's hands through the first kings of Rome? I didn't even see the connection on the last go-around, but now I do and so do you.



Fun fact: The *origins* story of Valentine's Day can be traced back to the Roman festival Lupercalia. The name of the she-wolf by the way was Lupa. But even before that, Lupercalia derives from an even older archaic festival. The Lykaia, with its secretive Mystery rites, was once held on the slopes of Mount Lykaion, the tallest peak in Acadia and which literally

means “Wolf Mountain.” And so, coming around full circle, Acadia was the home of **Pan**.

Here’s some of what we know about Lupercalia. The annual holiday, which transpired every year on **February 15**, started with a sacrifice. Luperci priests would disrobe themselves before slaughtering goats in the Lupercal. They would then cut the goat’s skin into strips and run around the Palatine Hill in Rome, assaulting women, presumably while naked. Apparently, this was their way of telling the pretty girls, Won’t you be my Lupercalia?



Some will claim Pan and Cupid are not the same and that I’m getting my wires crossed. Well, in the very least you can say they were tight. I don’t even want to know why they’re wrestling naked in the woods. Cheered on by a rambunctious crowd as well. I’m willing to bet it has something to do with the orgy going on in the background. Sodomites, every last one of them. Why is there an angel flying overhead? Sounding the alarm on a trumpet, no doubt. Only the spiritually attuned will hear it. A nickel of advice: the next time Valentine’s rolls around, hide your wife and children.

One more Esau quote for the road.

I know you can [defeat **Edom**], but I wish to subdue My world through them [i.e., *through* **Rome**]. I need [Rome] for future generations [**until the final Redemption**].

Moshe, your teacher, already wished to engage [the Edomites] in battle, but I said no to him.

Deuteronomy Rabbah 1:16

Esau *is* Edom by the way. No time to explain that now. Perhaps you are not able to believe it, that Esau who is Edom discovered Remus and Romulus, thereby establishing Rome. It makes all the sense in the world to me. Pan being responsible for the foundation of Rome through the nurturing of the twins is an obvious plot point for mythology storytellers, but then tack on Cham with the serpent seed connection and then Esau to boot and Yahuah's 7,000-Year Timeline redemption plan quite suddenly becomes fine-tuned. But again, I am holding up a pen and a pad of paper rather than a gun to your head. Just know that Remus and Romulus are not the only offspring whom Pan tucked away for safekeeping. There are *others*.



Dionysus is one of them, sort of. Are you really surprised though? It's difficult finding a single portrait of the wine elohiym or his **Bacchus** counterpart without the satyr showing up. *Here* we see Dionysus so wasted that Father Cham-Pan has to cart him around for the Maenad parade. Pan's goat association has gone without mention up until now but I'm thinking it couldn't be any more obvious in that **Azazel** was represented

by the scapegoat in Leviticus 16. Who is Azazel again but a Watcher from 'The Book of Chanok,' among other texts. You know what that means, don't you? Azazel is the biological father of Qayin. Many will argue against the idea that Azazel and the serpent are the same entity, but that is not what 'The Apocalypse of Avraham' has to say on the matter.

¹⁴ And the angel said to me, "Know that from henceforth the Eternal One has chosen you. Be of good courage and use this authority so far as I bid you, against him who slanders the truth. Should I not be able to put him to shame who has scattered over the earth the secrets of heaven, and has rebelled against the Mighty One? Say to him, 'Become the burning coal of the furnace of the earth! Go, **Azazel**, into the inaccessible parts of the earth, for **your heritage is to be over those who are with you**, the ones brought forth with the stars and clouds, and with the men whose portion you are, **even those who exist on account of your being**. Justification shall be your enemy. Now depart from me by your perdition!'"

The Apocalypse of Avraham: 14

It *says* Azazel's heritage is to be over a great many people, and that many of them only exist on account because of him. *Inclusive*, you tell me. Keep reading then.

²⁸ And the Eternal Mighty One said to me, "Avraham! Avraham!" And I said, "Here am I!" And He said to me, "Consider from above the stars which are beneath you, and number them for me, and make known to me their number." And I said, "How can I? For I am but a man of the dust of the earth." And He said to me, "As the number of the stars and their power, so will I make your seed a nation and a people set apart for me as my own inheritance, **as distinct from that of Azazel**. And yet I include Azazel in my house."

The Apocalypse of Avraham: 28

From this added information we can deduce that Azazel has a seed just as assuredly as Avraham has a seed. It is strictly speaking of a spiritual seed you will tell me. Very well then. We will have to read further on.

³³ And I said, “Oh Adonai, Mighty and Eternal! Who are the people in this picture on this side and that?” And He said to me, “Those who are on the left side are all those, born before your day and afterwards, some destined for judgment and restoration, and others for vengeance and cutting off at the end of the age. But those on the right side of the picture, they are the. people who have been set apart for me, and whom I have ordained to be born of your line and called my people, **even some of those who derive from Azazel.**”

³⁴ Now look again in the picture, and see *who* it is who seduced Eve, and what is the fruit of the Tree, and you will know what is to be, and how it shall be with your seed among the people at the end of the days of the age, and all that you cannot understand I will make known to you for you are well-pleasing in my sight, and I will tell you of those things which are kept in my heart.

³⁵ And I looked into the picture, and my eyes ran to the side of the Garden of Eden, and I saw there a man of imposing height and mighty in stature, incomparable in aspect, and he was embracing a woman, who likewise approximated to the aspect of his size and stature. And they were standing under a tree of the Garden of Eden, and the fruit of this tree was like a bunch of grapes of the vine. And standing behind the tree was one who had the aspect of a Serpent having hands and feet like those of a man, and wings on its shoulders, six pairs of wings, so that there were six wings on the right and six on the left. And as I continued looking, I saw the man and the woman eating the fruit from the tree.

³⁶ And I said, “Who are these who are embracing, and who is the one between them who is behind the tree, and what

is the fruit that they are eating?” And He said, “This is the council of the world, this one is Adam, and this one, who is their desire upon the earth, is Eve. But **he who is between them represents ungodliness and their beginnings on the way to perdition, even Azazel.**”

The Apocalypse of Avraham: 33-36

Rather difficult making the claim that the Serpent isn't Azazel at this point. Also, that many people don't derive from him when in fact he created a lineage in Eden. I have just taken you through a longwinded detour, but it was necessary to show why Cham-Pan would be so enamored with basing a Mystery religion sex cult around wine. Because *oh*, that's the other thing. The produce from the Tree of Knowledge has been identified. Remember what it is that Noach was drunk upon when Cham worked his magick and the peripheral vision becomes even further in focus. Our Controllars are letting the neophytes know whom they are worshiping when praising Pan and his sexual victims, the first of which was Noach's wife, as well as the offspring resulting from them.



Bacchus was a slacker it seems in that he was often too wasted to spend time with his own Bacchic women. He couldn't even show up in this painting for crying out loud. Leave it to the son of the Azazel Goat to show them how it's done. Because as I mentioned earlier, when it comes time to wrestling the legacy of one's father, the lesson we constantly learn is that our father did it first, and perhaps more importantly, he did it better. It has just now occurred to me in all of this that I went howling

off on the hound hunt without ever showing you where Cham-Pan is listed off as the father of Dionysus. Well, here it is.

It is written, that Cham had one sister which was called Rhea, married to **Hammon**, king of Libya, who also was enamored of one other fair woman, called **Almanthea**, and had of her by adulterous means, a son, whose name afterwards was **Dionysus**; which child was secretly brought up and nourished in a certain city of Arabia, called Nysa: notwithstanding, the matter was not so closely and cunningly handled, but his wife **Rhea** had privy advertisements thereof. Whereupon in despite and jealous discontent, she forsook her husband, and went home to her brother Cham (then abiding in the Island of Sicilia) who presently married and espoused her, and (as some writers hold) his wife Noegla being alive: but of this other he afterwards got many children, as **Kush** the father of **Nembroth**, the giant the first king of Babylon, Typhon the giant, and also many others.

The Travels of Noach Into Europe 21

You may need to take a few minutes to compose yourself because that account was for sure a dizzying one. Dionysus, I recognize, but then what else is going on? For starters, Hammon is identified as the king of Libya. Well, I'll be, that can only mean one thing. He's a son of Cham. He has to be a son of Cham because his wife Rhea was Cham's younger sister and she wouldn't very well have married her brother. Not yet, at any rate. We are not told much more about the Libyan king here, though I'm guessing he is none other than **Ba'al Hammon**, the chief elohiyim of Carthage. Look him up for [yourself](#). He was a weather elohiyim considered responsible for the fertility of vegetation and esteemed as King of the Elohiym among his people. This same Ba'al Hammon had a female cult partner named **Tanit** according to *official* traditions, who is probably the same woman listed here, Almanthea. Together they had Dionysus, which would him as Cham's grandchild.

Ba'al Hammon's bedtime habits with the priestess Tanit-Almanthea, particularly the *elohiyim* of their union, created such jealousy for the king's

wife that we then see her running off with her brother in marriage. The result of their incest was Kush, the father of Nembroth the Giant, the builder of the Tower at Babel. There is your Nimrod origins story. *Oh*, the tangled web they weave.



The Mysteries of Dionysus were apparently started by somebody named **Orpheus**, the Thracian bard. This was the same guy who traveled with **Jason and the Argonauts** in search of the Golden Fleece, going so far as to descend into the Underworld to recover his lost wife **Eurydice**. That's something the legends from this generation all seem to have in common. They were taking the trip to Hades and back. Showing their children how it was done.

According to that same Orphic tradition, the first Dionysus was the son of **Zeus** and **Persephone**. Take a mental note of his being dismembered by the **Titans**, only to be reborn again. You see, a common theme among Noah's post diluvian family. Nimrod and Semiramis were all grasping at the same immortal rites. I'm guessing Persephone is Rhea in this story. Speaking of which, she has her own school named in her honor. Ever hear of the Eleusinian Mysteries? It was all about Persephone. Here is her story in a nutshell according to the ancients.



She was picking flowers on a spring day and minding her own business when the young Persephone was brutally raped and abducted by someone with a god complex. His name was **Pluto** and here whereabouts was Hades is you must know. When she didn't return home, her mother **Demeter** went looking for her. She too we are told was a goddess. As she broadened the scope of her search, Demeter found herself wandering about the entire world, neglecting divine duties as she did so—and the earth suffered. Though the rains had assuredly arrived soon before Persephone's carefree jaunt through the country, the advent of summer witnessed no grains or wheat. By this we can quickly conclude, not only was all of creation involuntarily punished for want of nourishment, but the elohiym were as well. They were deprived of their offerings. *Someone* had to give.

It is for this very reason that Demeter's Olympian siblings demanded the release of her child. There was however a slight complication from the underworld side of things. The naive Persephone, negligent as to the health of her own soul, had eaten of the forbidden fruit—six pomegranate seeds, in fact. Consequently, Persephone was liberated on one condition.

That she must return in Pluto's company every year for six consecutive months. Though Demeter undoubtedly delighted in her daughters' presence throughout the remaining year, her annual sojourn resulted in inconsolable mourning. The harvest was plentiful, but come autumn, the earth once again fell into neglect. That is to say, whenever Persephone was returned to Pluto, winter fell upon the earth's inhabitants.

Pluto and Ba'al-Hammon may very well have been the same person. Ba'al-Hammon had a consort named **Ashtaroth** and I'm thinking that may have been the **Almanthea** whom King Hammon was so enamored with. We are told this was an adulterous affair, so we really don't know who she was married to. That would make Demeter Noach's wife, the council of Olympians Noach and his other sons. Too much? Cham-Pan stealing Rhea-Persephone away from Ba'al-Pluto implies that he was attempting to undo whatever was settled in the council, either an earthly or heavenly one, and play by his own rules. Here is what happens to them.

Cham and his own sister Rhea thus married together. They consulted and advised to be revenged of king Hammon of Libya, and to that effect raised a great army of men, and with the assistance and help of their brothers (**the sixteen giants**) they set forwards from Sicilia, and in the end arrived within the territories and confines of Libya, where they gave king Hammon battle, and in the field overthrew and vanquished him, so that he was glad to flee into the Isle of Crete (now called Candia): not long after this, Rhea had a son of her husband Cham, called **Osiris**, afterwards surnamed **Jupiter Iustus**, who proved a most noble and gallant prince, far differing from the wicked humors and dispositions of his father.

The Travels of Noach Into Europe: 22

What has just happened? We have just puddle jumped from one mystery religion to another is what. Dionysus to Isis. Meanwhile, we read nothing here of Dionysus' demise. It seems implied however in that giants set about to defeat Hammon and his crew, thereby relating the Titans story spoken about by the Thracian barge. The reason why the writer avoids any mention of it may have to do with his love of Osiris. Calling him a

most noble and gallant prince seems a bit biased if I do say so myself. Initiated much? That might be it. Then again, Osiris may have indeed been or started out even as the noble and gallant sort. Who am I to say otherwise? I wasn't there. Look at how Osiris is described in relation to Melchizedek.

And now also it shall be fit to revert our history unto the two youngest children of Cham-Zoroastes, which excelled in all good parts and virtuous inclination as their father abounded in the contrary, and that was that **Osiris** before spoken of (the adopted son of Dionysus, king of Libya) and **Isis** **his sister, the fairest and best accomplished damsel in the world**, whom afterward he took to wife and married, with whom he had also the kingdom and principality of Mitsrayim. These two now newly espoused, he being of threescore years of age, and she about fifty (and yet our author Berosus terms them very youthful) began to apply themselves to the study of the nature of herbs, and to the finding out of planting, tilling, and sowing of corn, which afterwards they instructed their people in, and showed the use to their neighbors dwelling in Palestine, of which ruled king and governor **Shem**, surnamed **Melchizedek**, who was the first that ever offered bread and wine unto Elohiym. From thence Osiris passed into Mitsrayim, and there also very painfully showed them the manner of tilling and agriculture, as likewise the Poet Tibullus speaketh of, saying:

He was the first to make plows by hand, Osyris,
And having joined the iron, he pressed the boom.

The Travels of Noach Into Europe: 24

The contrast before us is a stunning one. While Pan-Cham and his crew of Zoroaster misfits as well as the Nimrod's were working their magic so as to manipulate the harvest as well as elevating their own ruach to immortal status and what else have you, Osiris was literally learning how to plant, till, and sow the ground, going so far as to teach others, including Shem-Melchizedek, to do the same. Well, I'll be. Seems like a descent

fellow after all, despite being a son of Cham. The story at least checks out that Osiris and Isis were siblings. Maybe we have it all wrong about the man who became The Eye.

And at any rate, the Mysteries of Isis emphasized the righteousness of their king. His precedent materialized the rule of *maat*. For the Egyptian, the goddess **Maat** personified the ideal natural order—truth, balance, harmony, law, morality, and justice. Maat not only regulated the stars in the womb of **Nut** (whom I have pointed out elsewhere is the *ruach* of the firmament) and the seasons emanating from their father **Geb** but managed both mortals and deities alike. Was Maat an



attributed name for one of the Seventy elohiym set up to rule by Yahuah? Cham and the children of Cham weren't necessarily going around usurping people with god complexes. No, he very well may have been attempting to usurp the elohiym they represented as well and set up his own divine practices. *Sheesh*. And you thought you had a crazy uncle.

Once Set usurped the throne of Osiris, the goddess Maat lost her authority, seeing as how Set had a god complex. Order was therefore ruled by disorder, balance by imbalance. In her place the rule of Isfet materialized. In Egyptian terms, this simply meant injustice, chaos, violence and evil reigned supreme. It would take **Horus**, miraculously conceived child of Osiris through Isis, to usurp the usurper and restore Maat to the natural order of things. In this way, Osiris might be reincarnated again through his son, summing up the religion of the Phar'oh's And *yes*, I have just described the plotline to 'The Lion King'. The Circle of Life continues, you see.

To see if any of these plot points line up with the purported historicity of 'The Travels of Noach Into Europe,' we will have to keep reading.

After he thus was quietly seated in his kingdom of Mitsriym, his brother **Typhon** the Egyptian (**who in all villainy and malice followed the humors of his father**

Cham) began now to repine and envy at the glory and fortune of the Emperor Osiris, in so much as he fell into a present conspiracy with many other malicious Giants for the death and destruction of him and of his greatness: which he most traitorously prosecuted so far, as in the end, by subtle and crafty practices he entrapped him, who was by him and the rest of **the Giants cruelly murdered and torn in pieces, whose body they divided, and had hewn out into **six and twenty** pieces, whereof every Giant had a share and part, as a reward and satisfaction for such their bloody and victorious stratagem: but afterwards **these parts of his body were found out and gathered together again by the means of his wife Isis**, and buried, with their right honor and due solemnity, whom **after his death the Egyptians held and worshiped as elohiym, as also the children of Yashar'el did the like** in the desert.**


The Travels of Noach Into Europe: 32

The story checks out, *mostly*. Osiris was betrayed by his brother **Set**, only here he is called Typhon. We then see the Giants dividing up the pieces of his torn body. Not sure where the **26 pieces** are coming from. Seems a little out of left field since the Isis account specifically notes **14 pieces**. The number **14** is significant due to the 14 stars of the **Orion** constellation. Orion rises to the vertical position each night in November and December, and there are **14 days** of the half lunar period. The Mysteries version also relates how Isis was able to track down every piece of her slain husband except for his *member*. The phallus was apparently eaten by a fish, thereby transforming the Nile River into holy water through its power.

And so, I'm reading nothing here regarding his missing member. Not even the fact that Isis cut off her thumb so as to dignify his corpse, though some versions have her hanging a golden obelisk between his legs. The story *should* read Isis retrieved all of his body parts but one very important farming tool. It's the total opposite of the Semiramis story. Notice how the purported historical account never claims Isis is the righteous one

though. Who knows how far into the depravity of her father she sunk after the passing of Osiris.

I found *this* mural from Pompeii depicting Queen Isis of Mitsriym petting a snake, very Cleopatra of her, but *also* shaking hands with a horned woman. Not sure what's going on here but nearly everyone looks uncomfortable, if not horrified, and I'm pretty sure that's Cham-Pan in the bottom left corner. *Yep*, looks like him, alright. The dude sure got around. I figure he was there to introduce his daughter to the latest offspring, looking very much like his own image this time around.



There is one more child accredited to Cham worth mentioning before closing shop for the day and that would be the character once played by **Kevin Sorbo**. Though why neglect **Dwayne Johnson** and **Arnold Schwarzenegger** in the running for great actor renditions?

Having thus touched the death of this good Patriarch Noe, it shall not be now impertinent something to remember and speak of the wicked and abominable life of his son Cham, which although of itself it be worthless of any recapitulation or recital, yet to descend to the line all genealogy of the Libyan **Hercules the Great**, it cannot be well omitted: from which Hercules, **Dardanus the first founder and erector of Troy**, descended and came.

The Travels of Noach Into Europe: 19

From the loins of Heracles derive Dardanus, the founder and erector of Troy, as well as dozens if not hundreds of other notable descendants, etc., etc. These are the sons of Qayin whom I keep telling you about, our Controllers. I told you there would come an abrupt ending and it's about to happen in any given minute from now. The conclusion has already been given but I would be remiss to dot the last sentence without some sort of closing remark.



There are elohiym in the heavens and in fact Yahuah placed Seventy of them as guardians over the nations. This is all review, of course. By now you are well aware of the fact that the Serpent as well as the Watchers were usurpers, and so, as you've likewise already seen, their children have continued to

model the examples set out for them. It was after all the ruachoth of old who instructed them. In their contempt of Yahuah, the Most High Elohiym of Yashar'el, they assigned him to the seat of the Demiurge and set about to conquer the material world through magical manipulation and the ascendancy of souls. If anything, the generation arising from the wet soil of the rescinding floodwaters set the example for all future generations of Qayin-children to follow in that they became elohiym among men.




The First Pharaoh: Osiris and Isis Identified

10/31/23

NOWADAYS, the archeologists and the scholars are too cool for school—the Mystery School, that is. They will go out of their way to snub Holy Scripture or any other ancient text which seeks to document the events of humanities past, designating them to the fiction section of the University library, though it wasn't always so. Why am I even starting up a tangent on the agnostic history writers? They lost my respect long ago. I've already forgotten about them. If I think to bring our Controllers up now, it's because there was a time when the identities of Cham's children, as documented in the ancient religions, *were* sought out, but I digress.

Nearly an entire year has gone by since turning out my initial report on 'Cham's Mystery Children'. Quite a few claims were made regarding the identity of Cham in His-Story. For example, you will recall how **Zoroaster** and **Pan** were both identified with Noach's troublesome third child. But then there are his many sons and daughters. The apples did not fall far from the tree as they went about usurping thrones, and even Nimrod,

whom I identified as Nimroth the Giant, gave Cham a run for his money. I don't intend to rehash that all again. The only reason I've returned to the conversation is because I was sitting on a park bench, watching my children use the playground equipment in all the wrong ways, and decided to polish up on my Egyptian history until the next band aide needed applied. There were dozens of Egyptian dynasties, the most famous being the 19th, but I wanted to start back with the **First Dynasty**, the original, the one that spun an entire franchise of sequels. Why am I not surprised to learn that Egypt's founders' lines up directly with everything we've read in 'Noach's Travels Into Europe' and other texts? The Scholars are free to spout their nostrils and disagree, but I'm thinking **Osiris** and **Isis**, as well as their child **Horus**, have been identified. FYI, they've been staring at us from the genealogies all along.



Another thing is that I had started out stating how the present exercise is a spin-off to my [7000-Year Timeline](#) doctoral thesis. Understanding the disjointed birth dates of the Patriarchs, when compared between the Greek LXX and the Hebrew Masoretic, is of the utmost importance. I had assumed you'd do your own research by following the link, and maybe you have. But then, here is a chart, which I whipped up after a cup or two of coffee ad breakfast, to help the rest of you catch up to speed.

The MT (Masoretic Text) has Noach's flood occurring in **2350 BC**, but how can that be when, *clearly*, the First Dynasty began in the whereabouts of **3000 BC**, or **3200** by some estimates. It lines up perfectly with the LXX Timeline, because in that version of reality, Noach's flood occurred in **3298 BC**, giving us a two, perhaps **three-hundred-year** window for the unification of Egypt, via its First Dynasty. Fits like a glove. Don't overlook

the Tower of Babel in **2850 BC**, according to the LXX. Egypt, or rather Mitsrayim, preceded the establishment of Babylon by some **150**, maybe even **350** years, another perfect match. Some of you may be thinking that Babel was established before Mitsrayim and that Mitsrayim was the result of the confusion of tongues but then read Barashayath again carefully.

The above were the families of the sons of Nuch, and their descendants by tribes. From them they spread themselves amongst the nations on *ha'aratz*—the earth—after the Flood. **All the country was agreed for settle objects. But some of them marching from the East arrived at a plain in the Bushland and halted there.** Then each said to his neighbor, “Come, let us set to work making bricks, and see that they are properly burnt; and bricks shall serve us for stone, and petroleum for mortar.” So, they agreed, “We will build here for ourselves a City and a Tower whose head shall reach unto *shamayam*; thus, we will make a Beacon for ourselves, so that we may not be scattered over all the surface of the country.

Barashayath (*from* the Paleo Hebrew)

The entire world had already been divided between the three sons of Noach before the Tower was built. Countries were agreed upon. Those who halted in the plains of Shinar did so as a rebellion against the established boundary markers given to them, a direct indifference to the command given in Devariym (Deuteronomy) 19:14 and Mishlei (Proverbs) 22:28. We are often painted a picture wherein the world's dispersion happened after Babel but then that same line of thought seems to treat distinct national entities as a part of the punishment. No, the confusion of tongues was the disciplinary action, a motion on the part of YAHUAHA Alahayam intended to keep people contained within their respective cultures.

Getting back to the First Dynasty of Mitsrayim. Osiris was a son of Cham, and Isis was his daughter, making the two brother and sister. I want to show you who I believe they were in His-Story, but before doing so, a review is in order. Here is where we first learn about them in ‘The Travels of Noach.’

And now also it shall be fit to revert our history unto the two youngest children of Cham-Zoroastes, which excelled in all good parts and virtuous inclination as their father abounded in the contrary, and that was that **Osiris** before spoken of (the adopted son of Dionysus, king of Libya) and **Isis** his sister, the fairest and best accomplished damsel in the world, whom afterward he took to wife and married, with whom he had also the kingdom and principality of Mitsrayim. These two now newly espoused, he being of threescore years of age, and she about fifty (and yet our author Berossus terms them very youthful) began to apply themselves to the study of the nature of herbs, and to the finding out of planting, tilling, and sowing of corn, which afterwards they instructed their people in, and **showed the use to their neighbors dwelling in Palestine**, of which ruled king and governor **Shem**, surnamed **Melchizedek**, who was the first that ever offered bread and wine unto Elohiym. From thence Osiris passed into Mitsrayim, and there also very painfully showed them the manner of tilling and agriculture, as likewise the Poet Tibullus speaketh of, saying:

He was the first to make plows by hand, Osyris,
And having joined the iron, he pressed the boom.


The Travels of Noach Into Europe: 24

I decided to put an extra emphasis on Palestine, or Canaan, this time around. Read it again. It says Osiris and Isis shared their knowledge of planting, tilling, and sowing of corn with their neighbors in Canaan which, at the time, was ruled by Shem, surnamed Melchizedek. Well then, let's see what we can dig up regarding Mitsrayim's first king.

Narmer (Ancient Egyptian: *n'r-mr*, may mean "painful catfish", "stinging catfish", "harsh catfish", or "fierce catfish";^{[1][2][3]} reign beginning at a date estimated to fall in the range 3273–2987 BC) was an ancient Egyptian pharaoh of the Early Dynastic Period.^[4] He was the successor to the Protodynastic king Ka. Many scholars consider him the unifier of Egypt and founder of the First Dynasty, and in turn the first king of a unified Egypt. He also had a prominently noticeable presence in Canaan, compared to his predecessors and successors. A majority of Egyptologists believe that Narmer was the same person as Menes.^{[a][6][7][8]} Neithhotep is thought to be his queen consort or his daughter.

The founder of the First Dynasty is identified to us as **Narmer**. I'm sourcing [Wiki](#), and as you can see, he is claimed to have unified Mitsryim, a credit which has also been heaped upon Osiris. Many of these individuals went by many names, as you know, and Narmer is also identified with **Menes**, the legendary founder of Mitsrayim. What really struck my attention however is the reference to Canaan. Wiki states, "He also had a prominently noticeable presence in Canaan, compared to his predecessors and successors." That lines up with what we've just read in 'The Travels of Noah.' Osiris was a contemporary of Melchizedek and possibly even righteous companion.

We even know the name of his wife, **Neith-hotep**. Why am I surprised to learn that many speculate the Queen consort went on to become the First Female Pharaoh? Because Osiris was murdered, obviously. Narmer and Neith-hotep had a child, and guess what his name was? **Hor-Aha**. The name literally means 'Horus the Fighter.' Because Horus rose victorious against his uncle **Set**, the person who murdered his father, *dub*.



Adding to the intrigue was the mastaba tomb of Neith-hotep, discovered by **Jacques De Morgan** in **1897**. You may be wondering why I'm showing you a sketch rather than a photograph. The superstructure was made of mudbrick, and we are told that it was completely lost in **1904**, the year of its excavation, conveniently, and that it was due to being exposed to the elements, *yeah right*. How in the world does an ancient structure constructed for desert living

completely disappear in the span of one year? For all I know, it took a tumble down the staircase and had an accident, but let's just go with the narrative given to us. I am told that the loot from the 1904 excavation is housed in the Garstang Museum, wherever that is (**EDIT**: I *checked*, it's Liverpool), and that much of the material is ascribed with Hor-Aha's name, telling us that 'Horus the Fighter' built a grand monument for his mother.



You're probably wondering why The Rock is making an appearance, and I don't blame you. I can't say I've ever seen the movie, 'The Scorpion

King,' I hear it's totally fictitious, though the person they based him upon was not. There is one known image of **King Scorpion**. The Scorpion Macehead can be found at the Ashmolean Museum in Oxford, a relic which I actually have set my eyes upon. I don't know if you noticed but he's a **giant**. There's yet another reason why the Dwayne Johnson movie is a farse of reality. Also, he has an animal tail growing out from the space above his pattycakes. I'm sure that's something important thought we're not expected to notice.

Anywho, so little is established regarding the Scorpion King that nobody can seem to agree on whether he is Narmer's predecessor or his challenger, though just about everyone can agree that he derived from upper Egypt, based upon his hat, apparently, and that he was a contemporary of Mitsrayim's first unifier. I might as well throw a coin into the wishing well, seeing as how everyone else has given the guessing game the old college try, and assign a Set to the story. You will want to recall how it went down in 'The Travels of Noach'. Or how about I just recite it for you?

After he [Osiris] thus was quietly seated in his kingdom of Mitsriym, his brother **Typhon the Egyptian** (**who in all villainy and malice followed the humors of his father Cham**) began now to repine and envy at the glory and fortune of the Emperor Osiris, in so much as he fell into a present conspiracy with many other malicious **Giants** for the death and destruction of him and of his greatness: which he most traitorously prosecuted so far, as in the end, by subtle and crafty practices he entrapped him, who was by him and the rest of **the Giants** cruelly murdered and torn in pieces, whose body they divided, and had hewn out into **six and twenty** pieces, whereof every Giant had a share and part, as a reward and satisfaction for such their bloody and victorious stratagem: but afterwards **these parts of his body were found out and gathered together again by the means of his wife Isis**, and buried, with their right honor and due solemnity, whom **after his death the Egyptians held and worshiped as elohiym**, as also **the children of Yashar'el did the like** in the desert.

The Travels of Noach Into Europe: 32

Typhon was the brother of Osiris and also an Egyptian, even though Osiris was technically adopted by Dionysus. In turn, Dionysus was the son of Hammon, king of Libya, through adulterous relations with a woman called Almanthea. This would be the same Hammon who went on to become **Ba'al Hammon**, undoubtedly another version of Hermon, the Mountain of the Watchers. And of course, the children of Yashar'el worshiped him as elohiym in the wilderness. Cham, you will recall, stole Ba'al Hammon's wife, **Rhea**, who was also his sister, and married her. They then brought in sixteen of his brothers, they were all **giants**, and set out to destroy the kingdom of Osiris. One of these giants may very likely have been the Scorpion King.



Then again, even Narmer was a giant, *awkward*. That's him, alright. The **Narmer Palette** is the only known image of Mitsrayim's first unifying king. In fact, he is shown on both sides. He can be seen wearing the crown of Upper Egypt while beating another giant into submission, and then the crown of Lower Egypt while being led in a parade of, *well*, little people. I spy Ba'al-Hermon, probably already being worshiped as an elohiym by some. But also, I'm detecting the presence of two **sauropods**, *er, a-hum*, I

mean, “mythological creatures” [*wink-wink*], one from Upper Egypt and the other from Lower. What are they doing? They are hugging each other at the insistence of their giant trainers. Who knew mythological history could be so adorable?